



## A Short Note on: Detecting Arbitrage Opportunities in Asymmetric Game

Reza Habibi<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Iran Banking Institute, Central Bank of Iran, Tehran, Iran.

*Author's contribution*

*The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.*

*Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2016/27312

*Editor(s):*

- (1) Wei-Shih Du, Professor in the Department of Mathematics, National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan.
- (2) Hari Mohan Srivastava, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Canada.
- (3) Sheng Zhang, School of Mathematics and Physics, Bohai University, Jinzhou, China.

*Reviewers:*

- (1) Rashmi Awad, Devi Ahilya University, Indore, India.
- (2) Breeann Flesch, Western Oregon University, USA.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/15484>

*Received: 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016*

*Accepted: 24<sup>th</sup> June 2016*

*Published: 24<sup>th</sup> July 2016*

**Short Research Article**

### Abstract

In this note, an asymmetric coin game is studied. The winning scheme of Skeptic is given. Also, the mixed strategy and arbitrage opportunity are considered.

*Keywords: Arbitrage opportunity; asymmetric game, mixed strategy; protocol of game; reality; skeptic; tendency ratio.*

### 1 Introduction

Measure theoretic to probability theory has studied by many researchers. However, Shafer and Vovk [1] used the game-theoretic framework to present fundamental theorems in probability theory, randomness and mathematical finance. The main advantage of this approach is to present theorems without the use of confusing assumptions. They claimed that two ideas (i) dynamic hedging pricing and (ii) the hypothesis of the impossibility of a gambling system (which is equivalent to the efficient market hypothesis) are enough to both probability and finance.

They studied the Strong Law of Large Numbers, the law of the Iterated Logarithm and pricing options in discrete time and continuous time. Let  $I_i$  be the Skeptic capital at stage  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $S_i = \sum_{k=1}^i x_k$ . Following Shafer and Vovk [1], the finite horizon fair coin game protocol is:

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [habibi1356@gmail.com](mailto:habibi1356@gmail.com);

**Parameters:**  $N$  and  $\varepsilon, \alpha, a, b > 0$ .

**Players:** Reality, Skeptic

**Protocol:**

$$\Gamma_0 = \alpha.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :

Skeptic announces  $M_i \in R$ .

Reality announces  $x_i \in \{-a, b\}$ .

$$\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{i-1} + M_i x_i.$$

Let  $a = b$ .

**Winning:** Skeptic wins if  $\Gamma_i$  is never negative or either  $\Gamma_n \geq 1$  or  $\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| < \varepsilon$ .

This game is symmetric because  $a = b$ . In this note, an asymmetric game is considered and it is supposed that  $a \neq b$ . Let

$$z_i = \frac{2x_i - (b-a)}{b+a},$$

and  $T_i = \sum_{k=1}^i z_k$ . Then,  $T_i = \frac{2S_i - (b-a)i}{b+a}$ . Notice that

$$\left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| \leq 0.5 \left( (b+a) \left| \frac{T_n}{n} \right| + |b-a| \right).$$

**Proposition 1.** The Skeptic wins if and only if

$$\left| \frac{T_n}{n} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{a+b} \text{ and } 0.5(b-a) < \varepsilon.$$

For example, suppose that  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$  such that  $n\varepsilon_n$  goes to zero as  $n$  goes to infinity and let  $a = b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

There are many good references about the game theoretic probability. Some of them are listed as follows. Takemura and Suzuki [2] considered the game theoretic derivation of discrete distributions and discrete pricing formulas. Takeuchi et al. [3] proposed a formulation of asset trading games in continuous time. Kumon and Takemura [4] gave a simple strategy for strong law of large numbers in the bounded forecasting game. Kumon et al. [5] which surveyed the optimality properties of a Bayesian Skeptic in coin-tossing games. Takeuchi et al. [6] who considered the multistep Bayesian strategy in coin-tossing games and its application to asset trading games in continuous time. Kumon et al. [7] considered the sequential optimizing strategy in multi-dimensional bounded forecasting games. Vovk [8] considered the game theory strategies in continuous time trading. The rest of this note is considered as follows. In the next section the mixed strategies are studied in this class of games. The arbitrage free conditions are extracted. The delta risk neutral games are considered in Section 3.

## 2 Mixed Strategies and Arbitrage Opportunity

Here, to define the mixed strategies, assume that the  $p_a$  is the tendency ratio of Skeptic prior belief to state  $a$ , and  $p_b$  is defined analogously. Then the subjective expectation  $\mu_i$  of capital attained by Skeptic is

$$\mu_i = \mu_{i-1} + M_i(bp_b - ap_a),$$

or equivalently (assuming  $\mu_0 = 0$ )  $\mu_i = M^*(bp_b - ap_a)$ , where  $M^* = \sum_{k=1}^i M_k$ . Therefore,  $\mu_n = 0$  if and only if  $p_b = 1 - p_a = \frac{a}{a+b}$ . If  $p_b > \frac{a}{a+b}$  (or  $p_b < \frac{a}{a+b}$ ), then  $\mu_n > 0$  ( $\mu_n < 0$ ). The following proposition summarizes the above discussion:

**Proposition 2.** The subjective expectation of Skeptic capital, under the tendency ratio of him  $p_a$  to the state of  $a$ , is zero if and only if

$$p_b = 1 - p_a = \frac{a}{a+b}.$$

Next, suppose that the tendency ratio at each stage differs and it is  $p_a^i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,

$$|\mu_n| \leq nM^*|bp_b - ap_a|.$$

**Remark 1.** Under the tendency ratio of  $p_a^i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , for each stage, then  $\mu_n = 0$  if and only if

$$\bar{p}_b = 1 - \bar{p}_a = \frac{a}{a+b}.$$

Hereafter, the arbitrage free conditions are surveyed in a asymmetric coin game. Suppose that the risk free rate is  $r, (0 < r < b)$ . Then,

$$\frac{\Gamma_i}{(1+r)^i} = \frac{\Gamma_{i-1}}{(1+r)^{i-1}} + \frac{M_i x_i - r\Gamma_{i-1}}{(1+r)^i}.$$

The conditional subjective expectation of  $\frac{\Gamma_i}{(1+r)^i}$  given information up to time  $i - 1$ , is  $\frac{\Gamma_{i-1}}{(1+r)^{i-1}}$  if and only if the expectation of  $M_i x_i - r\Gamma_{i-1}$  is zero. Necessary conditions to this end are  $\Gamma_{i-1} = M_i$  and  $bp_b - ap_a = r$ . The following proposition summarizes.

**Proposition 3.** The asymmetric game is arbitrage free if  $\Gamma_{i-1} = M_i$  and  $p_b = \frac{r+a}{a+b}$ .

**Remark 2.** The asymmetric coin game may be generalized by replacing  $\{-a, b\}$  to  $(-a, b)$ . Again the no arbitrage assumption is hold, by assuming a uniform tendency measure for Skeptic on  $(-a, b)$  is  $\Gamma_{i-1} = M_i$  and  $b = a + 2r$ .

**Remark 3.** Shafer and Vovk (2001) studied the protocol of predictably unbounded forecasting game for proving the iterated logarithm law at which the Forecaster announces  $m_i \in R, c_i \geq 0$ . While the Skeptic announces  $M_i \in R$  and Reality announces  $x_n \in [-c_n, d_n]$  and the capital at stage  $i$  is,

$$\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{i-1} + M_i(x_i - m_i).$$

Then the no arbitrage conditions are  $\Gamma_{i-1} = M_i$  and  $d_i - c_i = 2(m_i - r)$ .

### 3 Risk Neutral Game

Here, suppose that there are two Skeptics in an asymmetric coin game with capital  $\Gamma_i^1$  and  $\Gamma_i^2, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, assume that the first Skeptic takes the long position and the second takes the short position in  $\gamma$  units of his capital. Therefore, the self-financed portfolio of both skeptics has the capital  $\Gamma_i^1 - \gamma\Gamma_i^2$ , which is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i^1 - \gamma\Gamma_i^2 &= (\Gamma_{i-1}^1 - \gamma\Gamma_{i-1}^2) + M_{i,1}x_{i,1} - \gamma M_{i,2}x_{i,2} \\ &= (\Gamma_{i-1}^1 - \gamma\Gamma_{i-1}^2) + (M_{i,1}\sigma_1 - M_{i,2}\sigma_2)\epsilon_i. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\sigma_k, k = 1, 2$ , are discrepancy measures. To make this portfolio risk neutral, it is enough to take,

$$\gamma = \frac{M_{i,1}\sigma_1}{M_{i,2}\sigma_2}.$$

The following proposition gives the result.

**Proposition 4.** A self-financed portfolio including long position for the first Skeptic and the short position in  $\gamma$  units of his capital for second Skeptic is delta neutral if and only if at each stage  $i$ ,

$$\gamma = \frac{M_{i,1}\sigma_1}{M_{i,2}\sigma_2}.$$

## 4 Conclusion

In an asymmetric coin game, the winning scheme of Skeptic is given. Also, the mixed strategy and arbitrage opportunity are considered. The delta neutral condition for a self-financed portfolio of Skeptic is derived.

## Acknowledgement

The author thanks editorial board of journal and referees for suggesting several comments to improve the paper.

## Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- [1] Shafer G, Vovk V. Probability and finance: It's only a game. Wiley; 2001.
- [2] Takemura A, Suzuki T. Game theoretic derivation of discrete distributions and discrete pricing formulas. 2005;arXiv:math/0509367v1.
- [3] Takeuchi K, Kumon M, Takemura A. A new formulation of asset trading games in continuous time with essential forcing of variation exponent. Bernoulli. 2007;25:130-135.
- [4] Kumon M, Takemura A, Takeuchi K. Capital process and optimality properties of a Bayesian Skeptic in coin-tossing games. Stochastic Analysis and Applications. 2008;26:1161-1180.
- [5] Kumon M, Takemura A. On a simple strategy weakly forcing the strong law of large numbers in the bounded forecasting game. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics. 2008;60:801-812.
- [6] Takeuchi K, Kumon M, Takemura A. Multistep Bayesian strategy in coin-tossing games and its application to asset trading games in continuous time. (Submitted for Publication); 2008.
- [7] Kumon M, Takemura A, Takeuchi K. Sequential optimizing strategy in multi-dimensional bounded forecasting games. 2009;arXiv:0911.3933v1.
- [8] Vovk V. Continuous-time trading and the emergence of randomness. Stochastics. 2009;81:455-466.

---

© 2016 Habibi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15484>