

*International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*

*Volume 13, Issue 6, Page 186-193, 2023; Article no.IJECC.98587 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)* 

# **Influences of Changes in Rainfall and Solar Radiation on Performance of**  *Kharif* **Sorghum**

# **Sourabh Munnoli a++\* , K. Shivashankar a++ and Aishwarya Golshetti a++**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.*

### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i61814

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98587

*Original Research Article*

*Received: 07/02/2023 Accepted: 10/04/2023 Published: 14/04/2023*

## **ABSTRACT**

*Kharif* sorghum is an important crop of the northern transition zone (NTZ) of Karnataka. Historically this zone was characterized by the assured and uniform distribution of rainfall during the southwest monsoon. The last decade has witnessed increased erraticity in the onset, progress and distribution of rainfall, but days without rain also remain cloudy for weeks during *Kharif* season, thus lower the crop canopy, which affects the yield, interrupts solar radiation. Solar radiation, rainfall are the two important climatic factors affecting crop performance, but it is logistically difficult, and resource demanding to artificially create study-growing environment under field conditions. Alternately, Crop Simulation Models can be effectively used for such studies by creating customized weather scenarios within the model. Four rainfall scenarios (±10 and ±20 % over observed) and four solar radiation scenarios (±10 and ±20 % over observed) were created by using 32 years' observed weather data (1985-2016) within the calibrated and validated DSSAT-CERES-Sorghum model [1]. Simulations were run across all the above scenarios for 32 years' seasonal analysis with the best

\_

*++ Research Scholars;*

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sourabh.ssm@gmail.com;*

*Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 186-193, 2023*

four *kharif* sorghum cultivars sown across three dates of sowing under the standard package of practices followed for NTZ. Model simulated annual outputs for grain yield over 32 years were averaged and presented. The model simulated results revealed that for NTZ changes in solar radiation was found to have more effect on yield than rainfall. Any reduction in solar radiation over observed drastically reduces the yield. Across cultivars and dates of sowing under observed weather (1985-2016), on average, 1720 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield was simulated. When solar radiation was reduced by 10 % across rainfall scenarios the average yield was reduced to 1424 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which further reduced to 670 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (61% reduction) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. In contrast, when solar radiation was increased by 10 % and 20 %, the model simulated 2967 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3181 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield, respectively which is 42 and 46 % more over the yield of observed weather. This study showed that for NTZ of Karnataka during *the Kharif* season increased cloudy period will have a more adverse effect on yield than changes to rainfall.

*Keywords: DSSAT-CERES model; rainfall; seasonal analysis; solar radiation; sorghum.*

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

**"**Agriculture is climate dependent and vulnerable to climate change. It is a need of the hour to prepare adaptation measures against climate change. Proper counter measures drawn based on scientific diagnosis and assessment of the impacts of climate change on agriculture are essential in establishing the vision and administrative policies of future agriculture. This will also provide valuable information for establishing mid to long-term agricultural development plans" [2].

The crop growth models are helpful to assess the impact of climate change on the stability of crop production under different management options [3]. Crop growth simulation models provide an effective and efficient means to quantify the effect of climate as well as management practices on soil, crop growth, productivity and sustainability of agriculture production.

"However, the extent of the impact of climate change on crop varies from region to region, crop to crop and from one production system to another, which includes different genotypes, input use the pattern or soil type and its fertility level and water holding capacity. In Northern Transition Zone climate is hot, dry, and subhumid and the annual rainfall is >850 mm about 61 percent of the rainfall is received in the *kharif*  season, which is about average rainfall of 430 mm, 130 mm in the pre-monsoon and 168 mm in the north east monsoon" [4]. "Hence, climate impact assessment studies are required at the zone or local level as well. Hence, this modeling work was taken up for the Northern Transitional Zone (NTZ) of Karnataka state, India to quantify the impact of changes in Rainfall and Solar Radiation on *kharif* sorghum crop using already

calibrated and validated DSSAT–CERES sorghum model" [1]. "Decision Support Systems for Agro-technology Transfer (DSSAT) is a process-oriented dynamic crop simulation model. This model operates on a daily time step and simulates crop growth and development of different crops, including sorghum" [5].

"Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) is one of the important nutritional cereal crops and the major staple food and fodder crop of millions of people in semi-arid tropics. It is known as the 'King of millets' and extensively cultivated in Africa, China, USA, Mexico and India. Sorghum ranks fourth among the world's most important cereal crops after wheat, rice and maize. In India, it is cultivated in *kharif, rabi* and summer seasons. About 85 per cent of total production is concentrated in Maharashtra, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh. Over the year's area, production and productivity has decreased due to the introduction of cash crops, crops suited for mechanized production as well as changing food habits. Since sorghum is extensively cultivated as a rainfed crop, its productivity is largely influenced by climatic factors" [6]. "Yield is affected by growing–season solar radiation, rainfall amount and its distribution, soil water content at planting, plant-available water during its growing period along with crop management practices" [7]. "In Karnataka, *Kharif* and *rabi* area accounts for 1.16 and 9.31 lakh ha, respectively, with a production of 1.60 lakh tonnes in *Kharif*  and 10.14 lakh tonnes in *rabi* season. The average productivity of *Kharif* and *rabi* sorghum is 1379 and 1089 kg ha-1, respectively [8], which suggests that *kharif* yields are higher than *rabi*  yields due to SW monsoon rains during *Kharif*  season, which makes up, on average, 70-80% of total annual rainfall". The long-term average rainfall variability (CV in %) during SWM season

in NIK is much higher (21%) than that of Karnataka state (15 %) and India (11 %) as a whole.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Model Calibration and Evaluation**

"Four *kharif* sorghum genotypes *viz,* CSV-17, CSV-23, CSH-16 and CSH-23 were screened in a field experiment across three dates of sowing viz.,  $15^{th}$  June,  $30^{th}$  June and  $15^{th}$  July for two seasons (2011 and 2012) under All India Coordinate Research Project (AICRP) on Sorghum at University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India located at 15° 26′ N latitude, 75°

07′ E longitude and an altitude of 678 m above mean sea level. This station is located in the Northern Transition Zone (Zone-8) of agroclimatic zones of Karnataka State of India that lies between high rainfall receiving Western Hilly<br>Zone (Zone-9) and very low rainfall Zone (Zone-9) and very low rainfall receiving Northern Dry Zone (Zone-3). The average annual rainfall of the experimental location for 1985–2014 was 521.10 mm (Table 1). Within the calibrated and validated DSSAT-CERES-Sorghum model" [1]. For four genotypes were used in this modeling study to quantify the effect of changes in rainfall and solar radiation on *kharif* sorghum performance using seasonal analysis tool within DSSAT ensemble.

#### **Table 1. Calibrated genotypic coefficients for four kharif sorghum cultivars [9]**



#### **Table 2. Description of genetic coefficients of kharif sorghum cultivars [9]**



*Munnoli et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 186-193, 2023; Article no.IJECC*.*98587*

**Table 3. Rainfall and solar radiation scenarios created for seasonal analysis using 32 years historical weather data (1985-2016)**

<b>Scenarios</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Control	Solar radiation and rainfall no change, and is the current
	scenario (i.e., Observed weather for the period 1985-2016)
RF (10 % +) & SARD (10 % -)	Increase in rainfall by 10 % and reduction in solar radiation by
	10 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (10 % +) & SARD (20 % -)	Increase in rainfall by 10 % and reduction in solar radiation by
	20 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (20 % +) & SARD (10 % -)	Increase in rainfall by 20 % and reduction in solar radiation by
	10 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (20 % +) & SARD (20 % -)	Increase in rainfall by 20 % and reduction in solar radiation by
	20 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (10% -) & SARD (10 % +)	Decrease in rainfall by 10 % and increase in solar radiation by
	10 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (10% -) & SARD (20 % +)	Decrease in rainfall by 10 % and increase in solar radiation by
	20 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (20% -) & SARD (10 % +)	Decrease in rainfall by 20 % and increase in solar radiation by
	10 % for 1985-2016 period
RF (20% -) & SARD (20 % +)	Decrease in rainfall by 20 % and increase in solar radiation by
	20 % for 1985-2016 period

*\* RF – Rainfall and SARD – Solar radiation*







*Munnoli et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 186-193, 2023; Article no.IJECC*.*98587*







**Fig. 1. Yield of four sorghum cultivars across different climate scenarios**

#### **2.2 Seasonal Analysis**

Seasonal analysis tool within the DSSAT ensemble was used to test the response of four *kharif* sorghum genotypes popular in NTZ (as listed in Table 1) across eight combination scenarios, four rainfall scenarios (±10 and ±20 % over observed) and four solar radiation scenarios (±10 and ±20 % over observed) were created by using 32 years observed weather data (1985- 2016). The main rationale behind using 32 years of baseline weather (1985–2016) was to run each genotype for recent past 32 years' observed weather which represents natural interannual and intra-annual variation, and expose the crop to the natural variability of weather representing below normal, above-normal and normal years of weather (in this case solar radiation and rainfall), as well as extremes if any experienced during simulation runs during these 32 years.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Climate is the most important dominating factor influencing the suitability and yield potential of a crop for a given location. That is why studies showed that more than 50 per cent of the variation in crop yield is determined by climatic factors [10]. The most important climatic factors that influence growth, development and yield of crops are solar radiation and rainfall. Photosynthesis is mainly driven by the availability of solar radiation; hence, crop growth is affected with changes in solar radiation during crop growing season, whereas reduced rainfall

creates moisture stress and affects physiological processes ultimately affecting yield.

#### **3.1 Effect of Variation in Solar Radiation at Constant Rainfall on Yield**

When rainfall was increased by 10 %, at 10 % reduction in solar radiation model simulated 1518 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further reduced to 785.4 kg  $ha^{-1}$  (48.2 % reduction) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. In contrast, when rainfall was increased by 20 %, at 10 % reduction in solar radiation model simulated 1329 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further reduced to 556.4 kg  $ha^{-1}$  (58.2 %) reduction) when, solar radiation was reduced by 20%. Here it can be observed that increase in rainfall amount has not contributed much to yield variation but reduction in solar radiation has affected the yield losses very much severally.

When rainfall was reduced by 10 %, at 10 % increase in solar radiation model simulated  $3094.7$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield, which further increased to  $3372.4$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.9 % increase) when solar radiation was increased by 20 %. Whereas, when rainfall was reduced by 20 %, at 10 % increase in solar radiation model simulated 2838.9 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further increased to 2998.8  $\text{kg}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (5.4 % increase) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. Here it can be seen that decrease in rainfall does not affect much to reduction in yield levels as compared to solar radiation.

#### **3.2 Effect of Variation in Rainfall at Constant Solar Radiation on Yield**

When solar radiation was increased by 10 %, at 10 % reduction in rainfall model simulated 3094 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield, which further reduced to 2838.9 kg  $h\overline{a}^1$  (8.2 % reduction) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. In contrast, when solar radiation was increased by 20 %, at 10 % reduction in rainfall model simulated 3372.9 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further reduced to 2992.8 kg ha $^{-1}$ 1 (11.2 % reduction) when rainfall was reduced by 20 %.

When solar radiation was reduced by 10 %, at 10 % increase in rainfall model simulated 1158 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield, which further increased to 1329 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (14.7 % increase) when rainfall was increased by 20 %. In contrast, when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %, at 10 % increase in rainfall model simulated 785.4 kg ha yield, which further reduced to  $554.4$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (29.4 % reduction) when rainfall was reduced by 20 %.

#### **3.3 Effect of Variation in Solar Radiation at Constant Rainfall on Dry Matter Production**

When rainfall was increased by 10 %, at 10 % reduction in solar radiation model simulated 3466.0 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further reduced to 1878.4  $kg$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (48.2 % reduction) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. In contrast, when rainfall was increased by 20 %, at 10 % reduction in solar radiation model simulated 3089.9 kg ha yield, which further reduced to 1418.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (58.2 % reduction) when, solar radiation was reduced by 20 %.

When rainfall was reduced by 10 %, at 10 % increase in solar radiation model simulated 7338.3 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further increased to 8301.6  $\text{kg}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (8.9 % increase) when solar radiation was increased by 20 %. In contrast, when rainfall was reduced by 20 %, at 10 % increase in solar radiation model simulated 6916.6 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further increased to 4163.6  $\text{kg}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (5.4 % increase) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %.

#### **3.4 Effect of Variation in Rainfall at Constant Solar Radiation on Dry Matter Production**

When solar radiation was increased by 10 %, at 10 % reduction in rainfall model simulated 7338.3 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further reduced to 6916.6 kg  $h\overline{a}$ <sup>1</sup> (8.2 % reduction) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. In contrast, when solar radiation was increased by 20 %, at 10 % reduction in rainfall model simulated 8301.6 kg ha $^{-1}$  yield, which further reduced to 4163.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (11.2 % reduction) when rainfall was reduced by 20 %.

When solar radiation was reduced by 10 %, at 10 % increase in rainfall model simulated 3466.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield, which further reduced to 3089 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (14.7 % increase) when rainfall was increased by 20 %. In contrast, when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %, at 10 % increase in rainfall model simulated  $1878.4$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yield, which further reduced to  $1418.2$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (29.4 % reduction) when rainfall was increased by 20 %.

#### **3.5 Performance of Sorghum Cultivars**

Among all the cultivars CSH-16, recorded 2606.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> grain yield and 6096.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> dry matter production across the scenarios. The results are in agreement with the findings of Bhoomiraj et al., (2012) who used Infocrop model and simulated the effect of future climate change scenarios on *kharif* sorghum yields (CSH 16 and CSV 15) at different locations across India Next better cultivars were CSV-23 (2270.7 kg ha $^{-1}$ grain yield and 5563.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> dry matter production) and CSH-23 (2139.3 kg ha $^{-1}$  grain yield and  $5153.1$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> dry matter production). CSV-17 recorded lower grain yield 1227.1 kg ha $^{-1}$  and 3329.1 kg ha $^{-1}$  dry matter production.

#### **3.6 Effect of Dates of Sowing**

Simulation results across all the climate scenarios reviled that, crop sown on 15<sup>th</sup> June recorded 5198.1 kg ha<sup>1</sup> dry matter production and 2030.5 kg ha $\sqrt{q}$  grain yield. Meanwhile crop sown on 30<sup>th</sup> June recorded higher grain yield of 2394.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4949.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> dry matter production. Late sowing on 15<sup>th</sup> July recorded lower grain yield (1961.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and dry matter production  $(4795.5 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^3)$ . Here early sown crop though recoded higher dry matter production it failed to convert to grain yield due to variation of climate.

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

The DSSAT 4.6 model based seasonal analysis study showed that sorghum crop was found to be more sensitive to changes in solar radiation than rainfall amount any reduction in solar radiation

over observed drastically reduces the yield. When solar radiation was reduced by 10 % across rainfall scenarios the average yield was reduced to 1424 kg ha $^{-1}$  which further reduced to 670 kg ha-1 (61% reduction) when solar radiation was reduced by 20 %. In contrast when solar radiation was increased by 10 % and 20 %, the model simulated 2967 kg/ha and 3181 kg/ha yield, respectively which is 42 and 46 % more over the yield of observed weather. This study showed that for NTZ of Karnataka during *Kharif* season increased cloudy period would have a more adverse effect on yield than changes to rainfall. Among the cultivars in study, CSH-16 performed better over all the cultivars. Sowing the crop on  $30<sup>th</sup>$  June found to be optimum across all the climate scenarios

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Manjanagouda SS, Patil RH, Rajanna GA. Calibration and evaluation of DSSAT– CERES model for *kharif* sorghum genotypes. J. Exp. Agric. Int. 2019;30(3):  $1 - 8$ .
- 2. Cenacchi N, Lin Y, Sulser TB, Islam S, Mason-D'Croz D, Kim CG, Wiebe KD. Climate change, agriculture, and adaptation in the Republic of Korea to 2050: an integrated assessment. International Food Policy Research Institute: 2016;1586.
- 3. Hoogenboom G, Tsuji GY, Jones JW, Singh U, Godwin DC, Pickering NB, Curry RB. Decision support system to study

climate change impacts on crop production. ASA Spec. Publ. No. 59. Am. Soc. Agron, Madison, WI. 1995; 51–75.

- 4. Kajal K. Monogabay news and inspiration from natures frontline in India; 2020.
- 5. Matthews R, Stephens W, Hess T, Mason T, Graves A. (Applications of crop/soil simulation models in developing countries. United Kingdom: Institute of Water and<br>Environment. Cranfield University. Environment, Cranfield University. 2000;175.
- 6. Srivastava A, Naresh Kumar S, Aggarwal PK. Assessment on vulnerability of sorghum to climate change in India. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 2010;138: 160–169.
- 7. Assefa Y, Staggenborg SA, Prasad VPV. Grain Sorghum Water Requirement and Responses to Drought Stress: A Review. Cm*.*; 2010.

DOI: 10.1094/CM-2010-1109-01-RV

- 8. Anonymous. Area, production and productivity of major cereals in India. *In:*  Agricultural Statistics at a glance, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. 2016;195-221.
- 9. Sannagoudar MS, Patil RH, Rajanna GA. Calibration and evaluation of DSSAT-CERES model for Kharif sorghum genotypes. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2019;30(3):2457- 0591.
- 10. Eghball B, Power JF, Binford GD, Baltensperger DD, Anderson FN. Maize temporal yield variability under long-term manure and fertilizer application: fractal analysis. Soil Sci. Society of Am. J. 1995;59(5):1360-1364.

*© 2023 Munnoli et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98587*