

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

28(2): 1-6, 2019; Article no.IJPSS.45691 ISSN: 2320-7035

The Suppressive Effects of Selected Plants Species for the Management of *P. hysterophorus*

Neema Mtenga^{1*}, Thadeo Mokiti¹, Patrick Ndakidemi¹ and Ernest Mbega¹

¹Department of Sustainable Agriculture and Biodiversity and Ecosystem Management, School of Life Sciences and Bioengineering, The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, Arusha, Tanzania.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2019/v28i230101 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Abigail Ogbonna, Department of Plant Science and Technology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Jos, Nigeria <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Anderson Luis Nunes, Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. (2) F. M. Jamil Uddin, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45691</u>

Original Research Article

Received 15 October 2018 Accepted 28 December 2018 Published 20 May 2019

ABSTRACT

Aims: The present study investigated the suppressive effects of *Sorghum bicolor, Sorghum arundinaceum, Amaranthus spinous, Tagetes erictus* and *Cassia tora* on the management of *Parthenium hysterophorus.*

Study Design: A randomized block design was used to assess the suppressive effects of Sorghum *bicolor, Tagetes erictus, Amaranthas spinous, Sorghum arundinaceum and C. tora* in laboratory and pot experiments. The treatments were replicated four times.

Place and Duration of Study: Experiments were conducted at the Tropical Pesticides Research Institute (TPRI) and Nelson Mandela Institution of Science and Technology (NM-AIST) for three months from March to June, 2018.

Methodology: Plant to plant and seed to seed interactions were used to study the growth parameters behavior of tested plants both in pots and in laboratory settings. The germination of each plants in both laboratory and screen house was recorded soon after germination for 14 days at the interval of two days. Additionally, for pot studies, plant height, root length and biomass yield were assessed after a period of 3 months during the termination of the study.

Results: Results showed that Sorghum bicolor, Tagetes erictus, Amaranthus spinous and

Sorghum arundinaceum demonstrated strong suppression on germination inhibition and plant height and root length as well as reduced biomass of *P. hysterophorus*. However, *Cassia tora* exhibited weak suppression effects in both laboratory and pot experiments.

Conclusion: Findings from this study suggest that *Sorghum bicolor, Tagetes erictus, Amaranthus spinous, Sorghum arundinaceum* were effective in affecting *P. hysterophorus.* Our finding provides bases towards developing an effective alternative to manage *P. hysterophorous.*

Keywords: Parthenium; management; suppression; allelloapathic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Parthenium hysterophorus L. (Carrot-weed) is a noxious herbaceous plant originating from the subtropical region of North and South America [1]. In Africa, the weed is recently reported to invade different countries such as Ethiopia, Somalia, Kenva, Madagascar, Mozambique, South Africa, Swaziland, Zimbabwe and Tanzania [2,3,4]. Parthenium is considered as a weed of global significance because of its negative impacts including skin dermatitis, asthma, and bronchitis to human and animals and, effect on agricultural crops incited by its allelopathic dominance [1,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]. P. hysterophorus is characterized by strong tolerance to a wide range of soil and environmental conditions, high seed production and seed persistence in soil banks, rapid germination, seedling growth and short life cycle [12,13]. Furthermore this weed produces phytotoxic substance/chemicals which inhibit germination of other plant species around it [14]: [15]. Different control methods for the weed have been reported so far. One of the mostly reported methods is the use of other organisms (biological management) to control the weed. Biological management of P. hysterophorus has been practiced in different countries in the world. For example, in Australia the use of insects and rust pathogen to control the weed have been practiced [16,17]. It has been shown that, the use of Epiblema stenuana Walker and Zygogramma bicolorata Pallister in the war against P. hysterophorus has shown success, though with some limitations. The organisms do not induce full suppression of the weed [18]. Similar observation on *Parthenium* control using the same organisms was recently reported in Tanzania. Zygogramma has emerged as an alternative biological control of the weed, the approach deals only with parts of the plant such as leaves. Moreover, number of chemical methods have been used in the management of this weed but the results shows that the chemicals kill only the existing *P. hysterophorus* weed population found in the area, but cannot prevent the entry of new seeds that are brought in by wind, water or other dissemination agents [19].

Despite of all the efforts applied in the management of P. hysterophorusin in Tanzania, the weed is still spreading rapidly. Due to its harmful effects, there is a need to investigate other management strategies such as suppressive potential from different plants. The use of suppressive plants have been done in countries such as India using guinea grass (Panicum maximum Jacq.) tanner's cassia (Cassia auriculata L.) and Fedogoso (Cassia occidentalis L) [20], Ethiopia using; Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L, Moench); [21] and in South Africa using African Lovegrass (Eragrostis curvula Nox; [22]).

Althouah several reports have shown suppressive effect from plants such as A. spinous. T. erictus and C. tora on the management of P. hysterophorus in different parts of the world, the suppressive effects of the same plants in the management of P. hysterophorus in Tanzania is not documented. Therefore, this study aimed at investigating the suppressive effects of the selected plants species on the management of *P. hysterophorus*. Seed to seed and plant to plant interaction approaches were used in the management of weed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Sites

The experiments were conducted at The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology (NM-AIST) Laboratory and at the Tanzania Pesticides Research Institute (TPRI) Arusha-Tanzania.

2.2 Plant Material Used in the Study

Five plants species namely Tagetes erictus, Amaranthus spinous, Cassia tora, Sorghum

bicolor and *Sorghum arundinaceum* were used as suppressive plants. Seeds from mature plants were collected from different fields at Nambala village in Arusha, region, Tanzania. For each plant, 0.25 kg of seeds was collected and properly labeled and stored at -4°C at NM-AIST, laboratory until used.

2.3 Seed-seed and Plant-plant Interaction Experiments

The experimental design for both laboratory and pot were established using a randomized block design. The treatments included seeds of 1) P. hysterophorous grown alone; 2) T. erictus + P; hysterophorous; 3) A. spinosus + P. hysterophorous; 4) C. tora + P. hysterophorous; 5) S. bicolor + P. hysterophorous, and 6) S. arundinaceum + P. hysterophorous. In petri dish experiment, germination was performed based international seed testing standards on (IST2014) in which seed subsamples were placed on blotters in petri dish. For P. hysterophorous grown alone, 200 seeds were planted in the petri dishes (20 seeds/petri dish). For treatments including a combination of species (A. spinosus, C. tora, S. bicolor, and S. arundinaceum) with P. hysterophorous, each petri dish was planted with 20 seeds of each species and 20 seeds of P. hysterophorous. These treatments were replicated four times. Before starting germination test all seeds were sterilized using sodium hypochlorite (5%) to remove any possible contaminations and then the seeds were washed thoroughly 4 times with distilled water. After planting, each treatment in petri dishes were irrigated with 3 mL of distilled water equally in the interval of four days to maintain moisture. The same treatments above were also planted in plastic pots containing six kilograms of sterile soils with a ratio of 1:3 sand and forest soils. These were replicated eight times. The plots were exposed to direct rain, and no fertilizer neither watering was used. All plants that germinated other than those selected species sown and P. hysterophorus were removed manually. The petri dish (Laboratory experiment) and four replications of the pot experiments were kept for 21 days. Other four replications of the pot experiments were evaluated for three months to determine the suppressive effects between plants.

During the 21 one days of germination test, the percentage germination was rated as normal, subnormal and dead seeds. In this experiment, only percentage of normal seeds was considered. Percentages of inhibition/stimulation effect on seed germination over control (T1) were calculated using the formula proposed by [23] Inhibition (-) or stimulation (+) = [(Germinated seeds in association - Germinated seed in control) / Geminated seeds in control] x 100.

For pot experiments that were evaluated for three months to determine the suppressive effects between plants, the growth parameters such as plant height and root length were determined by selecting five plants randomly, uprooting them from each of the replicated pots. All samples were separated from *P*. *hysterophorus* or test species, then dried for 72 h at 70°C, and weighed for dry plant biomass.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The effects of treatments on different parameters such as percent germination, plant height, root length and dry biomass were assessed using one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The analysis were done using STATISTICA package Version 8. The significant means were compared at p=0.05 according to Fischer's least significant different test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Laboratory Tests to Evaluate Effect of Seeds of *A. spinous*, *S. bicolor*, *S. arundinaceum*, *T. erictus* and *C. tora* on Germination of *Parthenium hysterophorus*

Laboratory germination results indicate that P. hysterophorus germination was significantly decreased when grown in association with S. bicolor, T.erictus, S. arundinaceum and Α. spinous (Table 1). The highest germination percentage was 97.5% in the control treatment as compared with other treatments. The germination percentage was lowered from 97.5% to 22.8, 21.3, 17.5, 11.3 and 10 for C. tora, S. arundinaceum, A. spinous, T. erictus and S. bicolor, respectively (Table 1). Furthermore, numerically, S. bicolor showed highest inhibition effects on germination of P. hysterophorus. Seeds to seeds interaction showed highest inhibition percentage value -89.5%, (equivalent to 10.0% germination) for S. bicolor compared with lowest inhibition percentage of -74.9% (equivalent to 22.8% germination) for C. tora.

Plants are known to produce metabolites which can affect the growth and development of other plants [24]. The extracts of plants have been considered in the past for management of P. hysterophorous due to their inhibition potentials [25,26], the trend also observed in this experiment. Herein, we have assessed the seed to seed interaction of different plant to manage the growth of P. hysterophorous. We found that different seeds (S. bicolor, T. erictus, S. arundinaceum and Α. spinous) showed suppressive effect on the measured growth parameters. Other studies have also reported the use of biological agents to manage weeds. For example, the use of phytopathogenic fungi extracts were reported to strongly suppress the growth of P. hysterophorous and hence manage its spread in Pakistan [25]. Furthermore, [26] reported that extracts of different parts of Sorghum showed significance reduction on the germination of Amaranthus refroflexus weed. In a similar way, our findings on the tested plants showed suppressive inhibition on Р hysterophorus seed germination. This suggests that allelochemicals present in S. bicolor, T. erictus, S. arundinaceum and A.spinous have suppression effects to the germination of other crops. Findings from this study suggest that both tested plants could be used in management of the weed as they exhibited growth and germination inhibition. From these results, we conclude that the suppressive effects contributed by allelochemicals present in the tested plant species which have strong inhibition property and competes with the *P. hysterophorus* for nutrition and growth.

3.2 Suppressive Effects of Selected Plant Species on the Growth of *Parthenium*

The pot experiments from all the plant species showed a significant suppressive effects on germination of *P. hysterophorus* expect *Cassia tora* (Table 2). The highest germination percentage was 91.3% in the control as

compared with other treatments. The germination was lowered from 91.3% to 62.5%. 18.8% 16.3%, 16.3% and 12.5% for C. tora, S arundinaceum, T. erictus, A. spinous and S. bicolor respectively .Numerically, S. bicolor showed stronger inhibition effect compared with other treatments. Inhibition percentage increased significantly (p<0.005) from -37.5%, -81.5%, -82.4%, -83.8% and -87.5% for C. tora, S. arundinaceum, T. erictus, A. spinous and S. bicolor respectively. Furthermore, this study showed that plant height, root length and dry biomass were significantly lowered when P. hysterophorus was grown in association with S. bicolor, T. erictus, S. arundinaceum, A. spinous (Table 2). However, sowing *P. hysterophorus* with *C. tora* had no significant effects on plant height, root length and dry biomass yield.

These findings suggest that, S. bicolor, T. erictus, S. arundinaceum, A. spinous had significant suppressive effects on the growth of P. hysterophorus, whereas C. tora was not effective in inhibiting the growth of P. hysterophorus. The effectiveness of S. bicolor, T. erictus. S. arundinaceum and A. spinous in reducing growth of P. hysterophorus could be attributed the presence of active bv metabolites/allelochemicals which resulted in the suppression effects. For instance, it has been shown that, compounds such as organic and amino acids, phenolics, cyanogenic glycosite, sorgoleone, benzoquinone, alpha-terthienyl produced by *A. spinous*, *S. bicolor*, *S.* arundinaceum, and T. erictus affects the growth of other plants by suppressing growth [27, 28.29.301. Such compounds could have contributed to the suppression effects on the growth P. hysterophorous observed in our study. This plants could be further tested in large scale and for other weeds.

Plant name	% Germination	% Inhibition		
P. hysterophorous	97.5 ± 4.33a			
P. hysterophorus+ A. spinous	17.5 ± 2.50b	-81.8 ± 4.76a		
P. hysterophorus+ T. erictus	11.3 ± 1.25b	-88.5 ± 2.37a		
P. hysterophorus + S. bicolor	10.0 ± 2.04b	-89.5 ± 2.39a		
P. hysterophorus + S. arundinaceum	21.3 ± 7.18b	- 78.2 ± 7.17a		
P. hysterophorus+ C. tora	22.8 ± 8.98b	-74.9 ± 9.43a		
F- statistics	41.8****			

Table 1. Effects of seed-seed interaction

Values presented are means± SE. Values with the same letter in the column are

not statistical different (p=0.05)

Plant name	Germination (%)	Inhibition %	Plant height (cm)	Root length (cm)	Biomass (g)
P. hysterophorus	91.3± 8.75c	-	4.25±0.51b	2.50 ± 0.11b	4.05 ± 0.21b
P. hysreophorus + A. spinous	16.3 ± 6.88a	-83.8 ± 6.88a	1.09 ±0.26a	1.02 ± 0.49a	1.50 ± 0.29a
P. hysterophorus+ T. erictus	16.3 ± 4.73a	-82.4 ± 4.31a	1.10 ±0.34a	0.86 ± 0.15a	1.50 ±0.29a
P. hysterophorus + S. bicolar	12.5 ± 1.44a	-87.5 ± 1.44a	1.05 ±0.19a	0.89 ±0.09a	1.03± 0.39a
P. hysterophorus + S. arundinaceum	18.8 ± 54.27a	-81.3 ± 4.27a	1.42±0.03a	1.24 ± 0.34a	1.13 ± 0.13a
P. hysterophorus + C. tora	62.5 ± 13.62b	-37.5 ± 13.62b	3.63±0.70b	2.69 ± 0.41b	3.45± 0.33b
F-Statistic	18.35***		11.95***	7.38***	16.56***

Table 2. Suppressive effect of different plants on growth of Parthenium hysterophorus in pots

Values presented are means ± SE. Values with the same letter in the column are not statistical different (p=0.05)

4. CONCLUSION

The motivation of the present study was to investigate the suppressive effects of S. bicolor, T. erictus, S. arundinaceum, A. spinous and C. tora in the management of germination and growth of P. hysterophorus. Tested plant species showed suppression effects on seed germination, growth, root length, and dry biomass on parthenium. Degree of suppression differed significantly with C. tora showing minimum suppressive effects. The study provide parthenium management. basis for The promising plants are recommended for large scale testing in areas where the weed is increasingly becoming a problem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge the financial support from African Development Bank (AFDB) through The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology (NM-AIST) for NCM Msc fellowship. Furthermore, NCM expresses her appreciation to Mr Ramadhan Kilewa at Tropical Pesticide Research Institute (TPRI) for his great support during laboratory and screen house experiments set up.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Evans HC. Parthenium hysterophorus. A review of its weed status and the possibilities for biological control. Biocontrol News and Information, 18:89N-98N. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Parthenium Management, 5–7 December 1997, Bangalore, India. 1997;6–10.

- 2. Medhin B. *Parthenium hysterophorus,* new weed problem in Ethiopia. In Plant Protection Bulletin, FAO. 1992;40:49.
- 3. Clark K, Lotter W. What is *Parthenium* weed up to in Tanzania, in International Parthenium News; 2011.
- Ramadhan Kilewa A. Distribution of Invasive Weed Parthenium hysterophorus in Natural and Agro-Ecosystems in Arusha Tanzania. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2014;3(12):1724-1727.
- Levine JM, Vila M, D'Antonio CM, Dukes JS, Grigulis K, Lavorel S. Mechanisms underlying the impacts of exotic plant invasions Protection. R. Soc. Lond. B, Ecosystems. 2003;270:503-523, 775-781.
- 6. Zavaleta E. Valuing. Ecosystem services lost to Tamarix invasion in the United States; 2000.
- Belnap J, Phillips SL. Soil biota in ungrazed grassland. Response to annual grass (*Bromus tectorum*) invasion. Ecol. Appl. 2001;11:1261-1275. Plant Protect. Quart. 1994;9:73-76.
- Maharjan S. Allelopathic effects of aqueous extract of leaves of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. on seed germination and *seedlin*g growth of some cultivated and wild herbaceous species. Sci. World. 2007; 5(5):33-39.
- Tamado T, Milberg P. Control of parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus*) grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) in the smallholder farming system in eastern Ethiopia. Weed Technol. 2004;18:100– 105.
- 10. Mahadevappa M, Das TK, Kumar A. *Parthenium*: A curse for natural herbs. Paper Presented at National Research Seminar on Herbal Conservation, Cultivation, Marketing and Utilization with Special Emphasis on Chhattisgarh. The Herbal State. 2001;13-14.

Mtenga et al.; IJPSS, 28(2): 1-6, 2019; Article no.IJPSS.45691

- 11. APFISN/Asian-pacific forest invasive species network invasive, Newsletter of the Asian-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Network. Kerala, India; 2007;9.
- Nguyen TL, Navie SC, Adkins SW. The effect of parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) on plant diversity in pastures in Queensland, Australia. In 17th products. In: Diseases of nematodes. (Eds.): G. O. Poinar, Jr. and H.-B. Jansson. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL. 1988;1:3-22.
- Dhileepan K. Reproductive variation in naturally occurring populations of the weed *Parthenium hysterophorus* (Asteraceae) in Australia. Weed Science. 2012;60:571– 576.
- 14. Mulatu W, Gezahegn B, Befkadu B. Allelopathic effects of *Parthenium hysterophorus* extracts on seed germination and seedlinggrowth of lettuce. Trop. Sci. 2005;45(4):159-162.
- 15. Mulatu W. Allelopathic effects of *Parthenium hysterophorous L. on onion germinati*on and growth. Allelo. J. 2009; 24:351-362.
- McFadyen RE. Biological control against Parthenium Weed in Australia. Crop Protection. 1992;11:400-407. Plant Protection Quarterly. 1996;11(2): 76-88.
- Dhileepan K, McFadyen RC. Parthenium hysterophorus L.—Parthenium. In: Biological Control of Weeds in Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne. 2012;448– 462
- Dhileepan K. Current status of the stemboring weevil *Listronotus setosipennis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) introduced against the weed *Parthenium hysterophorus* (Asteraceae) in Australia. Biocontrol Science and Technology. 2003; 13(1):3-12.
- Sushilkumar Current spread, impact and management of *Parthenium* weed in India. Internationa Parthenium News. 2012;5:1-6.
- Yaduraju NT, Sushilkumar MBB, Prasad B, Gogoi AK. *Parthenium hysterophorus*; 2005.

- 21. Tamado T, Milberg P. Control of parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus*) grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) in the smallholder farming system in eastern Ethiopia. Weed Technol. 2004;18:100–105.
- 22. Van der Laan M, Reinhardt CF, Belz RG, Truter WF, Foxcroft LC, Hurle K. Interference potential of the perennial grasses *Eragrostis curvula*, *Panicum maximum* and *Digitaria eriantha* with *Parthenium hysterophorus*. Trop. Grassland. 2008;42:88–95.
- 23. Singh AP, Chaudhary BR. Allelopathic of algae weed pithophora aedogonia (Mont.) ittrock on the germination and seedling growth of *Oryza sativa* L. Botany Research International. 2011;4(2):36-40.
- 24. Jong–Bum Kim. Pathogen, insect and weed control effects of secondary metabolites from plants; 2005.
- 25. Arshad Javaid, Huma Adrees. *Parthenium* management by cultural filtrates of phytopathogenic fungi germination and growth; 2009.
- 26. Yarnia M, Khorshidi Benam MB, Farajzadeh Memari Tabrizi E. Allelopathic effects of sorghum extracts on *Amaranthus retroflexus* seed; 2015.
- Ali S, Khan IA. Management of Parthenium hysterophorus using suppressive plants. Malaysian Journal of Science. 2017;36(2): 75-84.
- Shafique S, Bajwa R, Shafique S. *Tagetes* erectus L.-a potential resolution for management of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2011;43: 885-894.
- 29. Thapar R, Singh N. Allelopathic influence of leaf residue of *Amaranthus spinosus* on growth and metabolism of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. Ecoprint: An International Journal of Ecology. 2005;12:77-84.
- Gommers FJ, Bakker J. Physiological diseases induced by plant responses or products. In: Diseases of nematodes. (Eds.): G. O. Poinar, Jr. and H.-B. Jansson. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL. 1988;1:3-22.

© 2019 Mtenga et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45691