

*Asian Soil Research Journal*

*Volume 8, Issue 3, Page 47-60, 2024; Article no.ASRJ.120635 ISSN: 2582-3973*

# **Assessment of Soil Salinity and Irrigation Water Quality at Tahtay-Adyabo District, Northwestern Tigray, Ethiopia**

**Geberesemaeti Kahsu a\*, Weldegebriel Gebrehiwet <sup>a</sup> , Kinfe Tekulu <sup>a</sup> , Tewolde Berhe <sup>a</sup> , Tsadik Tadele <sup>a</sup> , Solomon Mebrahtom <sup>a</sup> , Samrawit Mebrahtu <sup>a</sup> and Goitom Aregawi <sup>a</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup>Tigray Agricultural Research Institute, Shire Soil Research Center Shire, Tigray, P.O Box -40, Shire, Ethiopia.*

#### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/asrj/2024/v8i3156>

**Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120635>

*Original Research Article*

*Received: 25/05/2024 Accepted: 02/08/2024 Published: 07/08/2024*

# **ABSTRACT**

A study was conducted in Tahtay Adyabo northern Ethiopia to assess the salinity and irrigation water quality at farmer-level irrigation sites in the year of 2012. irrigation sites was identified and sampling units was assigned. 38 soil samples were collected from 19 plots (Dugub, Endaserawat, Mytewldish, Egum dima, and scattered wells in Mentebteb district ) at depths of 0-30cm and 30-

\_

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: semaeti2006@gmail.com;*

*Cite as: Kahsu, Geberesemaeti, Weldegebriel Gebrehiwet, Kinfe Tekulu, Tewolde Berhe, Tsadik Tadele, Solomon Mebrahtom, Samrawit Mebrahtu, and Goitom Aregawi. 2024. "Assessment of Soil Salinity and Irrigation Water Quality at Tahtay-Adyabo District, Northwestern Tigray, Ethiopia". Asian Soil Research Journal 8 (3):47-60. https://doi.org/10.9734/asrj/2024/v8i3156.*

50cm, along with, 21 water samples from 14 wells and 7 rivers. These samples were then analyzed at the Shire Soil Laboratory for salinity and fertility parameters. The results showed that the salinity status of surface soil at 0-30cm depth for dugub, scattered wells, and Myteweldish was 75% nonsaline and 25% slightly saline. For Endaserawat, 50% was slightly saline, 25% moderately saline, and 25% strongly saline. Egum Dima showed 67% slightly saline and 33% moderately saline. In subsurface soil at 30-50cm depth, dugub, scattered wells, and Myteweldish were 75% non-saline and 25% slightly saline, while Endaserawat was 25% slightly saline and 75% moderately saline, and Egum Dima was 67% slightly saline, 16.5% moderately saline, and 16.5% strongly saline. Water analysis for the wells showed that out of 14 samples, 21% were non-saline, 21% were slightly to moderately saline, and 57% were severely saline. For the rivers, out of 7 samples, 43% were slightly to moderately saline and 57% were severely saline. Overall, out of 21 water samples from wells and rivers, 14% were non-saline, 29% were slightly to moderately saline, and 57% were severely saline. In general, the salinity status in Dugub, Endaserawat, Mytewldish, and Egum Dima varies from non-saline to strongly saline, but the severity is more pronounced in Endaserawat and Egum Dima. This salinity is attributed to the parent material and the water table. Crop selection, integration of organic matter, applying extra irrigation water and regular salinity monitoring is recommended, to optimize the productivity of the soils in the irrigation site.

*Keywords: Irrigation water quality; soil salinity; salinity management; Tahtay Adyabo.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Soil Salinity is the presence of excessive concentrations of soluble salts, basically sodium, chlorides and sulfates [1]. Salinity problems are common in arid and semi-arid regions of the world where rainfall is insufficient to satisfy crop water requirements [2]. This results in the accumulation of soluble salts on the surface or subsurface of the soil profile, which affects plant growth and development [3,4]. Salinization is the severe form of land degradation because reclamation is costly once affected [2]. Great early civilizations like those in Mesopotamia, which developed in the Tigris and Euphrates rivers, were based on fertile soil in the river deltas. However, they could not last due to severe long-term salinization [5]. Soil salinity and alkalinity problems are particularly severe in developing countries, especially arid and semiarid regions, resulting in damage to the livelihoods of people in the short term and long term effects on the food security of the country [6,7]. Though soils salinity occur in all continents and under almost all climatic conditions, their distribution is relatively extensive in arid and semi-arid regions. The nature and properties of these soils are also diverse, requiring specific approaches for their reclamation and management to maintain their long-term productivity. For any long-term solutions, it is necessary to understand the mode of origin of salt-affected soils and classify them based on their physio-chemical characteristics, processes leading to their formation, and likely approaches for their reclamation and successful management

[8]. In addition to these, heavy fertilizer application, the use of poor quality irrigation water, and inadequate drainage have contributed to rising groundwater tables, leading to salinityinduced land degradation. The occurrence of potential sodicity hazards happens both in the soil and in the irrigation water, and their study indicates the need for selecting salt-tolerant crops and good water management by using appropriate irrigation methods to sustain productivity [9,10].

Based on the claims of local farmers and expert assessments, a study was conducted in Tahtay Adyabo, specifically in Mentebteb, to assess soil salinity and irrigation water quality in small-scale irrigation at the farmers' level. Although different attempts have been made by various researchers, there has never been a comprehensive study of the soil salinity and irrigation water quality problems in the study area. Hence, the main goal of this study was to assess soil salinity and irrigation water quality in small-scale irrigation at the farmers' level in Tahtay Adyabo, specifically in Mentebteb.

# **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **2.1 Description of the Study Area**

The research was conducted in Tahtay Adyabo, specifically within the Mentebteb district in northwestern Tigray, Ethiopia. Its precise geographical coordinates are depicted on the map provided.



**Fig. 1. The study map of the mentebteb irrigation site**

### **2.2 Methods**

**Selection of site for soil and water samples:**  Per the requests made by local farmers and experts, Mentebteb district was chosen as the location for the evaluation of soil salinity and water quality. The wereda bureau of water resources supplied geographical data for each irrigation site (BOWR., 2012) unpublished. These XY coordinates were then transformed into KML format using ArcGIS 10.4, allowing them to be accessed on a tablet through the Locus free application. Subsequently, mobile or tablet GPS

applications were utilized to locate each irrigation site using these secondary geographical coordinates.

**Soil and water sampling:** After the secondary XY point data was converted to KML format and it was uploaded to a tablet (mobile device) and then exported to the Locus free application. Each point was then tracked using GPS to determine the sample locations. A total of 38 soil samples (at depths of 0-30 cm and 30-50 cm) and 21 water samples (14 from wells and 7 from rivers) were collected and georeferenced on-site, as the locations obtained from the secondary data may vary slightly from the actual on-site locations. Approximately 1 kg of soil sample was collected in plastic bags, and one liter of water sample from the irrigation water sources was collected in clear plastic containers labeled with each sample's information.

**Parameters analyzed at Soil and water laboratory:** The soil samples were collected, airdried, ground, and sieved through a 2 mm sieve in the preparation room to prepare them for laboratory analysis. In the laboratory, the samples underwent analysis for physical properties such as texture and bulk density, as well as for pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon (OC), available phosphorus (P), cation-exchange capacity, and exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K, and Na) among other soil chemical properties. Additionally, key salinity indicators including sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), total dissolved solids (TDS), exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP), and percentage base saturation (PBS) were determined using empirical formulas as indicated in equations 1 to 4.

**Analysis of soil physico-chemical properties:** The soil particle size distribution, or texture, was determined using the Bouyoucos hydrometer method in accordance with [11]. Bulk density was ascertained from undisturbed soil samples collected via core samplers, weighed at field moisture content, and subsequently dried at 105°C.Soil pH was measured using the potentiometer method (pH meter), a widely recognized and precise technique for determining soil pH. This method involves creating a soil-water suspension by mixing soil with distilled water. after stirring and settling of the mixture, the pH of the soil is measured using a pH meter, at 1:5 soil-to-water ratio as described by [12], was used. The Walkey-Blak method, involving wet oxidation and subsequent titration analysis, was employed to determine soil organic carbon content in percentage [13].

The Olsen method, utilizing sodium bicarbonate as the extracting solution, was utilized to determine the available phosphorus. The exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K, and Na) in the soil were determined from the leachate of a 1 molar ammonium acetate solution at pH 7.0, employing the ammonium acetate method. Exchangeable Ca and Mg in the extract were measured via titration method, while K and Na were assessed using a flame photometer from

the same extract [14]. Similarly, the cation exchange capacity (CEC) was assessed by leaching the ammonium acetateextracted soil samples with a 10% NaCl solution and determining the ammonium ion amount in the percolate using the Kjeldahl procedure, and reported as CEC [15]. Total nitrogen was determined using the digestion method [16].

**Water quality analysis:** The collection and handling of irrigation water samples from both wells and rivers adhered to the procedures outlined by the US Soil Salinity Laboratory [17]. Each sample unit yielded one liter of water sample for analysis, focusing on parameters such as pH, EC,  $Ca^{2+}$ , Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Na<sup>+</sup>. TDS and SAR were determined using empirical formulas. Furthermore, the computation of the sodium adsorption ratios (SAR) for both soil solution and irrigation water samples was conducted as per standard protocols [18].

 $SAR = Na^+ / [(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})/2]^{1/2}$ ----------------------- (1)

TDS=ECw\*640--(2)

 $PBS = (Na<sup>+</sup> + Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup> + K<sup>+</sup>)/CEC<sup>*</sup>100$ ------- (3)

ESP =Na<sup>+</sup>/ (Na<sup>+</sup> + Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup> + K<sup>+</sup>)<sup>\*</sup>100-------- (4)

**Data analysis and interpretation:** The laboratory-generated data were analyzed and compared in accordance with the standard rates described for different parameters [19].

# **3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

# **3.1 Soil Analysis and Interpretation**

According to the findings presented in Table 1, the soil at the farm level in Tahtay Adyabo, specifically in the Mentebteb district, was evaluated for general salinity, sodicity, and fertility. The results indicate the absence of saline and sodicity conditions within the 0-30cm and 30- 50cm depths of the Dugub farm level irrigation. The pH levels remain neutral except for plot 2 at a depth of 30-50cm, which exhibits highly alkaline properties. The soil's cation exchange capacity (CEC) is notably high, and the percent base saturation (PBS) is well within the expected range. Overall, the soil is devoid of salinity and sodicity concerns, making it fertile for a wide range of agricultural crops [17].



#### **Table1. Soil chemical parameters and their properties in Dugub site**

*Abbreviations: N=Neutral, VSAL=Very strongly alkaline, SLS= Slightly saline, NS=None saline, VH=Very high, H=high, C=Clay, CL=Clay Loam, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion*  concentration, ECe=electrical conductivity of saturated past, CEC= Cation exchange capacity, EX.(K\*,Na\*,Ca<sup>2+</sup>,Mg<sup>2+</sup>)= Exchangeable (potassium, sodium, calcium and *magnesium) respectively, SAR=sodium absorption ratio, ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage, PBS=percentage base saturation.*

#### **Table 2. Soil salinity parameters for Endaserawat**



*Abbreviations: N=Neutral, VSAL=Very strongly alkaline, SLS= Slightly saline, NS=None saline, VH=Very high, H=high, C=Clay, CL=Clay Loam, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion*  concentration, ECe=electrical conductivity of saturated past, CEC=Cation exchange capacity, EX.(K<sup>+</sup>,Na<sup>+</sup>,Ca<sup>2+</sup>,Mg<sup>2+</sup>)= Exchangeable (potassium, sodium, calcium and *magnesium) respectively, SAR=sodium absorption ratio, ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage, PBS=percentage base saturation.*

According to the findings presented in Table 2, the soil in Tahtay Adyabo, specifically in the Mentebteb district, was evaluated for general salinity, sodicity, and fertility status at the farm level. The results indicate a range from medium to slightly saline overall, with no sodicity issues, except for sample number 8 which demonstrates strong salinity and a sodium hazard at the surface (0-30 cm) and non-salinity with no sodium hazard at the sub-surface (30-50 cm) for the Endaserawat farm level irrigation. pH levels suggest that the soil is moderately alkaline throughout the depth, except for plot 8 at the surface (0-30 cm) which shows very strong alkalinity, while the sub-surface (30-50 cm) is neutral. The soil's cation exchange capacity (CEC) is rated as high. The percent base saturation (PBS) serves as the primary indicator of fertility, showing favorable conditions at the study site. Overall, the soil is medium to slightly saline with no sodicity issues, except for plot 8 at the surface, indicating sodicity problems. Further investigation into management options is recommended [20].

Based on the findings presented in Table 3, a comprehensive assessment of the soil at the farm level in the Tahtay Adyabo, specifically the Mentebteb district, was conducted to evaluate its general salinity, sodicity, and fertility status in relation to irrigation. The results indicate that the soil exhibits no salinity or sodicity issues, except for sample numbers 10 and 11, which showed slight salinity at the surface (0-30) and subsurface (30-50) levels, respectively in Myteweldih irrigation site.The pH levels of the soil reflect a predominantly medium to slightly alkaline nature throughout the depth, with the exception of plot 9 at the surface (0-30), which exhibited a neutral pH. Furthermore, the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soil was observed to be medium to high, displaying an increasing pattern across different depths. Conversely, the percent base saturation (PBS) was rated as medium to high and displayed a decreasing trend with depth. The textural class of the soil varied from clay to clay loam.In summary, the assessment indicates that the soil is non-saline, free from sodicity hazards, and holds significant fertility potential for various agricultural crops [20, 21].

The findings presented in Table 4 reflect the assessment of soil salinity, sodicity, and fertility within the irrigation system at the farm level in Tahtay Adyabo, particularly in the Mentebteb district. It should be noted that the wells were non-operational during the sampling period. The results indicate that the soil obtained from Ela

Kidane, Ela Haile, and Ela Abraha within the farm-level irrigation exhibits varying degrees of salinity, ranging from slight to medium, at 0-30cm and 30-50cm depths. The pH levels suggest a medium to slightly alkaline nature throughout the soil depth. Furthermore, the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soil is categorized as medium to high, displaying an increasing trend with depth. The percent base saturation (PBS) is rated as medium to high. based on the textural class ranges from loam to sand clay loam. In summary, the soil presents as non-saline with no sodicity hazard and possesses significant fertility potential for various agricultural crops [22].

As per the findings presented in Table 5, the soil in the Tahtay Adyabo region, specifically in the Mentebteb district, was evaluated for overall salinity, sodicity, and fertility status in the farmlevel irrigation. The outcomes indicate varying levels of salinity from slight to moderate, with no sodicity issues observed for Egum dima and Enda bula at both 0-30cm and 30-50cm soil depths. However, it is worth noting that plot 19 at a subsurface depth of 30-50cm exhibited significant salinity and alkalinity, while plot 15 showed slight salinity throughout the depth. Furthermore, there is a discernible increasing trend of salinity and alkalinity with depth. The pH levels suggest a moderately to slightly alkaline soil profile across the depth. Additionally, the soil's cation exchange capacity (CEC) is rated as high to very high, while the percent base saturation (PBS) is classified as medium to high. The textural composition ranges from clay to sandy clay loam. In summary, the soil in Egum dima and Enda bula exhibits salinity characteristics but poses no sodicity risks, and it demonstrates good fertility potential for a wide range of agricultural crops [10].

# **3.2 Irrigation Water Quality Analysis and Interpretation**

According to the data presented in Table 6, the pH level of the tested irrigation water indicates that it is suitable for irrigation [18]. Additionally, the ECw values obtained from wells W1, W2, and W3, along with the empirically calculated TDS values, suggest that there are no salinity or sodium hazard issues, as the SAR value is rated as very low, indicating no sodium hazard. In comparison, the water from the river shows a slightly to moderately elevated rate of salinity. Overall, the irrigation water quality for Dugub is deemed suitable for use, but caution is advised regarding the continued use of river water, as it may lead to salinity problems [23].



#### **Table 3. Soil salinity parameters for the site in Myteweldish**

*Abbreviations: N=Neutral, VSAL=Very strongly alkaline, SLS= Slightly saline, NS=None saline, VH=Very high, H=high, C=Clay, CL=Clay Loam, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion*  concentration, ECe=electrical conductivity of saturated past, CEC=Cation exchange capacity, EX.(K\*,Na\*,Ca<sup>2+</sup>,Mg<sup>2+</sup>) = Exchangeable (potassium, sodium, calcium and *magnesium) respectively, SAR=sodium absorption ratio, ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage, PBS=percentage base saturation.*





*Abbreviations: N=Neutral, VSAL=Very strongly alkaline, SLS= Slightly saline, NS=None saline, VH=Very high, H=high, C=Clay, CL=Clay Loam, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion*  concentration, ECe=electrical conductivity of saturated past, CEC=Cation exchange capacity, EX.(K+,Na+,Ca<sup>2+</sup>,Mg<sup>2+</sup>)= Exchangeable (potassium, sodium, calcium and *magnesium) respectively, SAR=sodium absorption ratio, ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage, PBS=percentage base saturation.*

Code	Pн	rating	<b>ECe</b>	rating	<b>CEC</b>	rating	$Ex. K+$	$Ex. N+$	Ex. $Ca2+$	Ex. $Mg^{2+}$	<b>SAR</b>	<b>PBS</b>	<b>ESP</b>	Tex
			ds/m			meg/100g of soil						In $%$		
sol15-30	6.74	N	3.044	<b>SLS</b>	28	н	0.16	0.38	4.8	3.2	0.210	30.48	4.41	<b>SACL</b>
sol15-50	6.63	N	2.574	<b>SLS</b>	38.6	H	0.18	0.38	3.6	4	0.192	21.15	4.71	
sol16-30	7.74	<b>SLAL</b>	5.158	MS	28	Н	0.30	l.12	4	5.8	0.465	40.08	9.98	SL
sol16-50	7.53	<b>SLAL</b>	2.645	<b>SLS</b>	31.6	H	0.18	0.29	3	4	0.145	23.63	3.87	<b>SAL</b>
sol17-30	8.16	MAL	3.443	<b>SLS</b>	22.2	м	0.24	0.48	4.2	3.2	0.269	36.58	5.92	
sol17-50	7.56	SLAL	2.554	<b>SLS</b>	51.4	VH	0.32	0.33		5.8	0.138	26.17	2.47	C.
sol18-30	7.7	<b>SLAL</b>	3.457	<b>SLS</b>	44.8	VH	0.34	0.35	2.8	11.2	0.105	32.79	2.38	<b>SCL</b>
sol18-50	7.91	<b>MAL</b>	4.695	MS	53.6	VH	0.25	0.44	10.8	6.2	0.177	33.01	2.49	C.
sol19-30	8.2	MAL	4.459	MS	48.8	VH	0.37	1.01	8.2	7.6	0.365	35.20	5.86	C.
sol19-50	9.98	VSAL	10.40	<b>STS</b>	51.8	VH	0.35	0.39	9.6	5.9	0.160	31.34	2.40	

**Table 5. Soil salinity parameters for Degum dima and enda bula**

*Abbreviations: N=Neutral, VSAL=Very strongly alkaline, MAL= moderately alkaline, SAL=Slightly alkaline, SLS= Slightly saline, NS=None saline, VH=Very high, H=high,*  C=Clay, CL=Clay Loam, P<sup>H</sup> = hydrogen ion concentration, ECe=electrical conductivity of saturated past, CEC=Cation exchange capacity, EX.(K+,Na+,Ca<sup>2+</sup>,Mg<sup>2+</sup>)= *Exchangeable (potassium, sodium, calcium and magnesium) respectively, SAR=sodium absorption ratio, ESP=Exchangeable sodium percentage, PBS=percentage base saturation.*

## **Table 6. water quality parameters of dugub and ratings based on [18]**



*Abbreviations: W=Well, NR=normal range,NS=None saline, S to M=slight to medium ,P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion concentration, ECw=electrical conductivity of water, TDS=Total dissolved solids, Ex.N<sup>+</sup> , Exchangeable sodium, SAR=sodium absorption ratio.*

None saline(%) Slightly saline(%) Modratly saline(%) Strongly saline(%) 80 70 % soil salinity leve **% soil salinity leve** 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 30cm | 50cm | 30cm | 50cm | 30cm | 50cm | 30cm | 30cm | 50cm | 50cm Dugub | Endaserawat | scatered wells | Myteweldish | Egum dima  $\vert$  None saline(%)  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  25  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  0  $\textsf{Slightly}$  saline(%) 25 25 35 50 0 25 25 25 25 67 67  $\vert$  Modratly saline(%)  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  25  $\vert$  75  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  0  $\vert$  33  $\vert$  16.5 Strongly saline(%) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.5

*Kahsu et al.; Asian Soil Res. J., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 47-60, 2024; Article no.ASRJ.120635*

**Fig. 2. Status of soil salinity levels for mentebteb irrigation sit**





*Abbreviations: R=River, NR=normal range S to M=slight to medium, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion concentration, ECw=electrical conductivity of water, TDS=Total dissolved solids, Ex.N<sup>+</sup> ,= Exchangeable sodium, SAR=sodium absorption ratio.*



## **Table 8. Water quality parameters of Asphalt and ratings based on [18]**

*Abbreviations: W=Well, NR=normal range, S to M=slight to medium, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion concentration, ECw=electrical conductivity of water, TDS=Total dissolved solids, Ex. Na<sup>+</sup>= Exchangeable sodium, SAR=sodium absorption ratio.*

#### **Table 9. Water quality parameters of egum dima and enda bula and ratings based on [18]**



Abbreviations: W=Well, NR=normal range, S to M=slight to medium, P<sup>H</sup>= hydrogen ion concentration, ECw=electrical conductivity of water, TDS=Total dissolved solids, ex. *Na+=Exchangeable sodium, SAR=sodium absorption ratio.*



*Kahsu et al.; Asian Soil Res. J., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 47-60, 2024; Article no.ASRJ.120635*

**Fig. 3. Status of water quality at mentebteb at farmer level irrigation site**

As per the findings presented in Table 7, the pH level of the irrigation water indicates no adverse impact for irrigation [18]. The ECw from the river and the empirically calculated TDS values suggest severe salinity issues for Endaserawat and Miflah bun, and mild to moderate issues for myteweldish. The SAR value, which is lower than 0.070, indicates no sodium hazard for the locations listed in Table 7 [22]. In summary, the irrigation water quality for Endaserawat is deemed unsuitable for irrigation. While the water for Miflah bun is deemed slightly suitable for irrigation, caution is advised due to the potential development of salinity issues with continuous use [24].

Based on Table 8, the pH level of the irrigation water tested indicates no significant impact on irrigation [18]. However, the empirical calculation of the TDS value based on the ECw measurements from wells W4 to W9 suggests severe salinity issues. Despite this, there is no sodium hazard due to the low SAR value of less than 0.13, which is considered negligible. In general, the irrigation water quality for the wells listed in Table 8 presents severe problems, except for the last two wells, Ela Abrha and Ela Haile, which are deemed slightly to moderately suitable for use [20,21].

The pH level of the irrigation water, as outlined in Table 9, is within the acceptable range. Analysis of the water has revealed no negative impact on irrigation [18]. Furthermore, the ECw value for wells W10-W14, along with the empirically calculated TDS value, indicates significant salinity issues but no sodium hazard, as the SAR value is notably low and rated as having no adverse effects. In summary, the irrigation water quality for Egum Dima, as detailed in Table 9, suggests severe salinity challenges [25].

# **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TION**

# **4.1 Conclusion**

The examination of soil salinity levels was conducted at depths of 0-30cm and 30-50cm, and the results were compared against the established standards. The analysis revealed the salinity status of the surface soil taken from depths of 0-30cm in Dugub, Scattered Wells, and Myteweldish, indicating that 75% of the samples were non-saline and 25% were slightly saline. In Endaserawat, 50% of the samples were slightly saline, 25% were moderately saline, and 25%

were strongly saline (miflah bune). Similarly, in Egum Dima, 67% of the samples were slightly saline and 33% were moderately saline. Concurrently, the subsurface soil taken from depths of 30-50cm in Dugub, Scattered Wells, and Myteweldish showed that 75% of the samples were non-saline and 25% were slightly saline. In Endaserawat, 25% were slightly saline, and 75% were moderately saline, while in Egum Dima, 67% were slightly saline, 16.5% were moderately saline, and 16.5% were strongly saline.The water analysis from 14 well samples revealed that 21% were non-saline, 21% were slightly to moderately saline, and 57% were severely saline. From the 7 river samples, 43% were slightly to moderately saline, and 57% were severely saline. Overall, the analysis of 21 water samples (river + well) showed that 14% were non-saline, 29% were slightly to moderately saline, and 57% were severely saline. These results indicate the presence of salinity issues in both water and soil samples. With the exception of wells 1, 2, and 3 in Dugub, the entire water sample, including both river and well samples, exhibited poor quality for irrigation.

The soil analysis in the study area indicated slightly to moderately saline conditions, except in Dugub and Myteweldish, where the soil ranged from non-saline to slightly saline. The degree of salinity was highest in Endaserawat and Egum Dima.

# **4.2 Recommendation**

Based on the of the study conducted in Mentebteb indicates, there is presence of salinity development in the irrigation sites of Enda Serawat and Egum Dima. This salinity is attributed to the parent material and the water table. Consequently, management options like,selection of salt tolerant crops which are more resilant to saline conditions, integration of organic matter, applying extra irrigation water to remove salts around root zonesand regular salinity monitoring is recommended, to optimize the productivity of the soils in the irrigation site of mentebteb.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Tigray agricultural research institute is the source of finance. Therefore, a great thank is deserved. Thanks to Shire soil research center and all members of the laboratory for conducting soil and water analysis.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Chhabra R. Classification of Salt-Affected Soils. Arid Land Research and Management. 2004;19(1):61-79.
- 2. Etikala B, Adimalla N, Madhav S, Somagouni SG. Salinity problems in groundwater and management strategies in arid and semi‐arid regions. Groundwater geochemistry: pollution and remediation methods. 2021;42-56.
- 3. Rengasamy P. Soil processes affecting crop production in salt-affected soils. Functional Plant Biology. 2010;37(7):613- 20.
- 4. Eynard A, Lal R, Wiebe K. Crop Response in Salt-Affected Soils. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture. 2005;27(1):5- 50.
- 5. Jacobsen T, Adams RM. Salt and Silt in Ancient Mesopotamian Agriculture: Progressive changes in soil salinity and sedimentation contributed to the breakup of past civilizations. Science. 1958; 128(3334):1251-8.
- 6. Zawude S, Shanko D. Effects of salinity stress on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) landraces during early growth stage. International Journal of Scientific Reports. 2017;3(7):214-9.
- 7. Gelburd DE. Managing salinity lessons from the past. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 1985;40(4):329-31.
- 8. Hanay A, Büyüksönmez F, Kiziloglu FM, Canbolat MY. Reclamation of saline-sodic soils with gypsum and MSW compost.<br>Compost science & utilization. Compost science & 2004;12(2):175-9.
- 9. Li C, Gao X, Li S, Bundschuh J. A review of the distribution, sources, genesis, and environmental concerns of salinity in groundwater. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2020;27(33):41157-74.
- 10. Oster J, Shainberg I. Soil responses to sodicity and salinity: challenges and opportunities. Soil Research. 2001; 39(6):1219-24.
- 11. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analyses of soils 1. Agronomy journal. 1962;54(5):464-5.
- 12. Smith JL, Doran JW. Measurement and use of pH and electrical conductivity for soil quality analysis. Methods for assessing soil quality. 1997;49:169-85.
- 13. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil<br>organic matter. and a proposed organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil science. 1934;37(1):29-38.
- 14. Jackson M. Interlayering of expansible dension in increasing of experience weathering. Clays and Clay minerals. 1962;11(1):29-46.
- 15. Hesse PR, Hesse P. A textbook of soil chemical analysis; 1971.
- 16. Bremner JM. Nitrogen‐total. Methods of soil analysis: Part 3 Chemical methods. 1996;5:1085-121.
- 17. Laboratory RS. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils: US Department of Agriculture; 1954.
- 18. Ayers RS, Westcot DW. Water quality for agriculture: Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations Rome; 1985.
- 19. Wogi L, Dechassa N, Haileselassie B, Mekuria F, Abebe A, Tamene LD. A guide to standardized methods of analysis for soil, water, plant, and fertilizer resources for data documentation and sharing in Ethiopia. CIAT Publication; 2021.
- 20. Stavi I, Thevs N, Priori S. Soil salinity and sodicity in drylands: A review of causes, effects, monitoring, and restoration measures. Frontiers in Environmental Science. 2021;9:712831.
- 21. Wang J, Provin T, Zhang H. Measurement of soil salinity and sodicity. Soil test methods from the southeastern United States. 2014:185.
- 22. Ganjegunte G, Sheng Z, Clark J. Soil salinity and sodicity appraisal by electromagnetic induction in soils irrigated to grow cotton. Land Degradation & Development. 2014;25(3):228-35.
- 23. Rhoades J, Miyamoto S. Testing soils for salinity and sodicity. Soil testing and plant analysis. 1990;3:299-336.

*Kahsu et al.; Asian Soil Res. J., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 47-60, 2024; Article no.ASRJ.120635*

- 24. Hillel D. Salinity management for sustainable irrigation: integrating science, environment, and economics: World Bank Publications; 2000.
- 25. Crescimanno G, Iovino M, Provenzano G. Influence of salinity and sodicity on soil structural and hydraulic characteristics. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1995;59(6):1701-8.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120635>*