



The Influence of Organisational Culture on the Institutional Strengthening through a Resource-Based View

M. Ikhwan Maulana Haeruddin ^{a*}

^a Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajebe/2024/v24i71397>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118120>

Review Article

Received: 10/04/2024

Accepted: 13/06/2024

Published: 18/06/2024

ABSTRACT

This study aims to conduct research that principally emphasises the influence of organisational culture on institutional strengthening through the application of a resource-based view. There are a great number of organisational behaviour experts who confirm that the fit level of the person and organisation is based on several factors, such as the work environment. Literature explains that organisational culture is associated with shared values, practices, and behaviours that contribute to the organisation's psychological and social environment. organisational culture also fosters the development and improvement of adaptability and flexibility among organisation members in order to respond more efficiently and effectively to changing situations, which is critical for institutional strengthening. The organisation is helped to ensure that any individual who is attached to the organisation is aware of the objective and/or goal, policy management, as well as the expectations of the organisation, and eventually, effective and efficient communication is perceived as one of the critical factors for institutional strengthening.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ikhwan.maulana@unm.ac.id, yushar.mustafa@stiem-bongaya.ac.id;

Keywords: Organisational culture; flexibility; efficient communication; institutional strengthening.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are a great number of organisational behaviour experts who confirm that the fit level of the person and organisation is based on several factors, such as the work environment. According to the literature, altering the work environments has led to a greater independence of workers and a re-definition of a re-definition of the psychological contract [1,2,3,4]. As a result, such alteration then eventually adjusts both psychological contract and human resource management implementation [5,6,7], and to be more specific, on the organisational culture, which has been recognised as a vital factor of organisational behaviour, particularly on how well an individual “fits” inside a particular organisation [8,9,10]. Moreover, while organisational culture tends to be associated with the behaviour of individuals within organisations, a relationship that is related to the organised human behaviour within a group is defined as an institution [11]. To be more specific, the term institutional strengthening is related to the growing process of the institution's capability to effectively implement the institution's functions, especially in terms of the organisation's development and sustainability in general and organisational culture in particular [12,6,13]. Furthermore, although there is a plentiful finding of organisational culture and institutional strengthening within the literature of organisational studies, nevertheless, there is a gap found in the literature, primarily the role of organisational culture on institutional strengthening. As such, this study aims to conduct research that principally emphasises the influence of organisational culture on institutional strengthening through the application of a resource-based view.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature explains that organisational culture is associated with shared values, practices, and behaviours that contribute to the organisation's psychological and social environment [14,15,16]. Additionally, organisational culture is also formed through several factors, e.g., the styles of leadership and personalities of the founders, the executive team, the staff's mindset, and the dynamics within groups and divisions [1,17,18,19]. There are particular categories of organisational culture, namely: (1) clan culture, which focuses on team collaboration; (2) adhocracy culture, which lets persons inspire the

organisation to deal with risks and to share notions; (3) market culture, which emphasises the revenue of the organisation; and (4) hierarchy culture, which highlights the paths of the organisation member's career [20,21].

Subsequently, based on the study of Tresnasari and Zulganef [13], institutional strengthening is defined as a multidimensional concept that involves a number of standpoints such as leadership, financial management, strategic management, as well as organisational behaviour and culture. Others [12,22] denote that institutional strengthening encompasses the identification process of an organization's problems, such as network difficulties, legislation and policy implementation, etc. Furthermore, there are several key components of institutional strengthening, which are: (1) capacity building, which encompasses the improvement of an individual or a team's managerial as well as technical competences; (2) strengthening governance, which covers the improvement of the decision-making process, especially its transparency and accountability processes and results; and (3) institutional development, which covers the reinforcement of the organisation's works [12,23,22,13].

Moreover, in order to provide a conceptual basis to recognise the relationship between organisational culture and institutional strengthening, this study proposes a conceptual framework with the application of the fundamental concept of the resource-based view, or RBV [24,25,26]. The RBV refers to a theory of strategic management that focuses on the importance of internal resources and capabilities in accomplishing an organisation's target [27]. This view also explains that the internal resources and capabilities of an organisation are seen as the keystones of competitive advantage. Additionally, the key components of RBV are: (a) internal focus, which underlines the importance of focusing on the internal resources and capabilities rather than external factors of the organisation; (b) resource inimitability, which suggests that the resources of the organization should be inimitable; in other words, such resources should not be easy to imitate by the competitors; and (c) resource valuability, which recommends that the resources of the organisation should be valuable, or such resources should be worthwhile in building and designing a competitive advantage [24,27,25].




Fig. 1. Conceptual Framework (Data Generated, 2024)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Through the application of RBV, this study finds that organisational culture plays a crucial role in institutional strengthening. This could be seen in the study of Martinez et al. [28], which confirms that the organisational culture influences the institution's function as well as its response to rapid changes and challenges. In other words, the improvement of the institution's capacity to perform its function effectively and efficiently is affected by organisational culture. In addition, based on the study of Žalėnienė and Pereira [29], through clan and adhocracy culture, an organisation can potentially increase its shared values and beliefs about capacity building and institutional development. The reason is that shared values and beliefs are seen as the guidelines for all organisations' members in creating a sense of unity both inside and outside the organisation that may lead the organisation to more efficient and effective institutional functioning. Subsequently, the study of Gaál et al. [20] denotes that through the application of market and hierarchy culture, there will be a great positive result in communication channels inside organisations, particularly in strengthening governance and capacity building. With clear communication, the organisation is helped to ensure that any individual who is attached to the organisation is aware of the objective and/or goal, policy management, as well as the expectations of the organization. Eventually, effective and efficient communication will be perceived as one of the critical factors for

institutional strengthening. Additionally, through the application of the four key components of organisational culture, the study of Tresnasari and Zulganef [13] suggests that organisational culture helps the creation of collaboration and teamwork, which later nurtures an appreciation of shared responsibility and collective ownership among the organisation's members. As a result, through the creation of more effective and efficient collaboration and teamwork, organisations are assisted to produce supportive key factors in increasing their institutional strengthening, especially more effective problem-solving and decision-making for better capacity building, institutional development, and strengthening the governance of the organisation.

Furthermore, organisational culture also fosters the development and improvement of adaptability and flexibility among organisation members in order to respond more efficiently and effectively to changing situations, which is critical for institutional strengthening [30,12,22,13]. In the context of employee engagement and motivation, clan culture also leads the organisation to higher levels of job satisfaction and productivity [1,31,11]. In return, improved job satisfaction and productivity levels later contribute to the overall effectiveness of the institution. To be more specific, the levels of innovation and continuous improvement inside the organisation potentially increase and help the organisation stay ahead in adapting to the change. Subsequently, in the context of leadership, through the improvement

of capacity building, the four key components of organisational culture support a leader of an organisation to personify the values and principles of the institution, and clan, adhocracy, and hierarchy culture later empower such a leader to set a more effective and efficient tone for the rest of the organization [1,32,28,11,10]. Through a more efficient and effective organisational culture and leadership improvement, the accountability and transparency of the organisation will also be boosted. As a result of good management of accountability and transparency in organisational culture, there will be more effective decision-making and a stronger sense of institutional integrity [33,5,17,18].

4. CONCLUSION

This study finds, through the application of RBV, that organisational culture plays a significant role in the institutional strengthening of organizations. Clan, adhocracy, market, and hierarchy culture affect the institutional strengthening of organisations, especially the organisation's strengthening of governance, capacity building, and institutional development. For instance, the organisation is seen to have a great chance of increasing its shared values and beliefs about capacity building and institutional development due to the creation of a sense of unity both inside and outside the organization. Likewise, within the context of communication, organisation culture also plays a vital role in ensuring organisation members are aware of the most important element of every organisation, which is clear communication. The organisation is helped to ensure that any individual who is attached to the organisation is aware of the objective and/or goal, policy management, as well as the expectations of the organisation, and eventually, effective and efficient communication is perceived as one of the critical factors for institutional strengthening. And eventually, there will be good and more effective and efficient collaboration and teamwork, as well as a sense of shared responsibility and collective ownership, an increasing level of job satisfaction among members, and the accountability and transparency of leadership as well as organisational culture inside the organisation.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models

(ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Akbar A, Mustafa MY, Haeruddin MIM, Mariñas-Acosta C, Hasbiyadi H, Alam S, Darmawinata WNS. Days of future past: Scrutinising the artificial intelligence impact on the leadership of internationalising SMEs. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*. 2024;24(5): 53-59. Available:<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.9734/aje/2024/v24i51292>
2. Haeruddin MIM. Mergers and acquisitions: Quo Vadis? *Management*. 2017;7(2):84-88.
3. Hajjali I, Kessi AMF, Budiandriani B, Prihatin E, Sufri MM. Determination of work motivation, leadership style, employee competence on job satisfaction and employee performance. *Golden Ratio of Human Resource Management*. 2022;2(1):57-69.
4. Tambe P, Cappelli P, Yakubovich V. Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward. *California Management Review*. 2019;61(4):15-42.
5. Haeruddin MIM, Natsir UD, Aslam AP, Aswar NF, Mustafa M. When love and hate collide: The influence of conflict on employees' turnover intention. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*. 2023;23(7):16-27.
6. Natsir UD, Dipatmodjo TSP, Arjang A, Hidayat M, Mustafa MY. Eight days a week: Eustress and distress among the athletes. *International Journal of Human Movement and Sports Sciences*. 2021;9(5):912-920.
7. Wallace J, Hunt J, Richards C. The relationship between organisational culture, organisational climate and managerial values. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 1999;12(7): 548-564.
8. Assoratgoon W, Kantabutra S. Toward a sustainability organizational culture model.

- Journal of Cleaner Production. 2023;400:136666.
9. Ouchi WG, Wilkins AL. Organizational culture. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 1985;11(1):457-483.
 10. Silverthorne C. The impact of organizational culture and person-organization fit on organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Taiwan. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. 2004;25(7):592-599.
 11. Paais M, Pattiruhu JR. Effect of motivation, leadership, and organizational culture on satisfaction and employee performance. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*. 2020;7(8):577-588.
 12. Jacobs C. Institutional strengthening and technical co-operation: Developing a best practice model. *Journal of International Development: The Journal of the Development Studies Association*. 1998;10(3):397-406.
 13. Tresnasari R, Zulganef Z. Increasing MSME performance through institutional strengthening, entrepreneurship, and digital marketing. *International Journal of Research in Community Services*. 2023;4(1):11-17.
 14. Davies HT, Nutley SM, Mannion R. Organisational culture and quality of health care. *BMJ Quality & Safety*. 2000;9(2):111-119.
 15. Griffin RW, Phillips JM, Gully SM, Creed A, Gribble L, Watson M. *Organisational behaviour: Engaging people and organisations*. Cengage AU; 2023.
 16. Robbins S, Judge TA, Millett B, Boyle M. *Organisational behaviour*. Pearson Higher Education AU; 2013.
 17. Mustafa MY, Abdi A, Nurlaely R, Dewi A, Riwayat A, Nurjannah N. The rise of skywalker: The critical vehemence of customer loyalty inside the E-commerce platform. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*. 2023;41(2):57-67.
 18. Mustafa MY, Mustafa F, Mustafa R, Mustafa R. Japanese enterprises role on SMEs development in Indonesia: Inside tobiko export and import. *Hasanuddin Economics and Business Review*. 2018;2(2):83-95.
 19. Sun Z. Artificial leadership: An artificial intelligence approach. *PNG UoT BAIS*. 2018;3(12):1-7.
 20. Gaál Z, Szabó L, Obermayer-Kovács N, Kovács Z, Csepregi A. Clan, adhocracy, market or hierarchy? Which is the best for knowledge sharing in Hungary. The 2nd European conference on intellectual capital; 2010.
 21. Lund DB. Organizational culture and job satisfaction. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*. 2003;18(3):219-236.
 22. Serge Kubanza N, Simatele MD. Sustainable solid waste management in developing countries: A study of institutional strengthening for solid waste management in Johannesburg, South Africa. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*. 2020;63(2):175-188.
 23. Liu Y, Zang Y, Yang Y. China's rural revitalization and development: Theory, technology and management. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*. 2020;30:1923-1942.
 24. Barney JB, Ketchen Jr DJ, Wright M. The future of resource-based theory: Revitalization or decline? *Journal of Management*. 2011;37(5):1299-1315.
 25. Lockett A, Thompson S, Morgenstern U. The development of the resource-based view of the firm: A critical appraisal. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. 2009;11(1):9-28.
 26. Olavarrieta S, Ellinger AE. Resource-based theory and strategic logistics research. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*. 1997;27(9/10):559-587.
 27. Kruesi MA, Bazelmans L. Resources, capabilities and competencies: A review of empirical hospitality and tourism research founded on the resource-based view of the firm. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*. 2023;6(2):549-574.
 28. Martinez N, Kilag OK, Macario R. The impact of organizational culture on leadership strategies in crisis management. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*. 2023;1(5):454-466.
 29. Žalėnienė I, Pereira P. Higher education for sustainability: A global perspective. *Geography and Sustainability*. 2021;2(2):99-106.
 30. Akbar A, Haeruddin MIM, Mustafa F, Mustafa R, Aswar NF, Aslam AP, Mustafa MY, Nurgraha SDW. Pelatihan dan pengembangan SDM dalam perspektif ilmu manajemen: Sebuah studi literatur. *Southeast Asia Journal of Business, Accounting, and Entrepreneurship*. 2023;1(1):1-7.

31. Lou NM, So ASI, Hsieh YJ. Integrated resort employee competencies: A macau perspective. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 2019;31(1):247-267.
32. Jensen M, Potočník K, Chaudhry S. A mixed-methods study of CEO transformational leadership and firm performance. *European Management Journal*. 2020;38(6):836-845.
33. Haeruddin MIM, Akbar A, Dipatmodjo TS, Kurniawan AW, Abadi RR. The toxicity of our city: The effect of toxic workplace environment on employee's performance. *International Journal of Social Science and Business*. 2022;6(2):183–190.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118120>