



Assessment of Soil Health and Soil Quality of Bokaro, District, Jharkhand, India

Ankita Kumari ^{a++}, Tarence Thomas ^{a#*},
Narendra Swaroop ^{a†}, Satya Ranjan Mohanta ^{a‡}
and Ashima Thomas ^b

^a Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

^b Department of Agro-Food Science and Technologies, University of Bologna, Italy.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i64667>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116876>

Original Research Article

Received: 06/03/2024
Accepted: 11/05/2024
Published: 15/05/2024

ABSTRACT

Taking care of soil health is most importance for sustainable crop production. Soil quality is a blending of soil processes and provides to a measure change in soil condition as related to following factors such as land use, climate patterns, cropping sequences and farming systems. In the present investigation analysis of different physical and chemical characteristics were made to determine soil health of Bokaro district. Results show that soil bulk density was in normal range,

⁺⁺M.Sc. (Agri.) Soil Science;

[#]Professor and HoD;

[†]Professor;

[‡]Ph.D Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: leo8thomas94@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kumari, A., Thomas, T., Swaroop, N., Mohanta, S. R., & Thomas, A. (2024). Assessment of Soil Health and Soil Quality of Bokaro, District, Jharkhand, India. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 36(6), 642–651. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i64667>

soil pore space % varied from 39.85 to 48.32 g cm⁻³, particle density ranged from 2.24 to 2.69 Mg m⁻³, water holding capacity varied from 34.23 to 43.86 %. Soil pH and EC were found to be acidic and non-saline in nature respectively while soil organic carbon and available phosphorus were in medium to high range. Available nitrogen and potassium were found to be low to medium range i.e., 197.0 to 219.0 Kg ha⁻¹ and 201.96 to 266.01 Kg ha⁻¹ and the range of phosphorus varied from 16.96 to 26.68 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Deficiency of sulphur followed by zinc was found in soils of Bokaro district.

Keywords: Soil health; soil quality; pH; EC; sulphur; zinc; soil.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Soil plays a very important role in sustaining life on the planet. And all of the food that humans consume and except for what is harvested from the marine environments, is grown in the Earth's soils. And the functions that soils provide humans include fiber for paper and clothing, fuelwood production, and foundations for roads and buildings. And the functions that soils serve are providing a medium to attenuate pollutants and excess water, groundwater recharge, nutrient cycling, and habitat for microorganisms and biota” [1].

Soil heterogeneity is the case where soil in a exceedingly small vicinity varies significantly in texture, fertility, topography, moisture content material, drainage etc. Soil heterogeneity is the motives for the numerous nature of cropping and production sample. Soil consists of a solid segment (minerals and organic count) in addition to a porous phase that holds gases and water (Latha and Janaki). Soils provide nutrients, water and area for trees, herbs and different plant life and hence are the basis for existence on the planet. As soil formation is predominantly a vertical system related to climate, parent material, comfort, organisms, time and spatial function and as weathering and humus input is most powerful at the floor and reduces with depth, most soil residences are depth-dependent. The deeper the soil layers are positioned, the decrease is the impact of plant cowl and soil biota and the higher is the impact of weathering, main to specific depth capabilities for soil houses. for example, soil organic carbon (SOC) decreases with growing soil intensity as natural material enters the soil predominantly from the top after which eventually is incorporated into deeper soil layers.

India is one of the second most populous nations globally following China, overall, wherein a huge part of the populace is the based upon the agriculture. There are the stay stock population of India also constitutes 1/6th one of the

international populations. To satisfy the food call for growing human population and forage demand of massive farm animals' populace, herbal forests are not best converted to pasture and the rural fields, however agricultural fields are the additionally put under the excessive strain because of the conventional technique of agricultural practices which in the long run results in the deterioration of soil fitness in converted ecosystems. “Indian soils not handiest show deficiency of number one nutrients like (Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium) but additionally rest of secondary nutrients (Sulphur, Calcium and Magnesium) and micro nutrients (Boron, Zinc, Copper and Iron and many others.) in maximum important parts of the United States. Instated of these three number one nutrients (N, P, k), deficiency of Sulphur and micro nutrients like Zinc and Boron in many of States, and of Iron, Manganese and Molybdenum in a few States, has been grow to be a restricting component in growing meals productivity”(Source: Book-Compendium on Soil Health).

“Maintaining soil health/quality is indispensable for sustaining the agricultural productivity at higher level. Soil quality includes three groups of mutually interactive attributes i.e. soil physical, chemical and biological quality, which must be restored at its optimum to sustain productivity” [2,3]

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bokaro district is lies within the eastern part of Jharkhand nation. It is bounded by the district of Giridih within the north, West Bengal in the south, Dhanbad in the east and Hazaribag in the west. It has a place of 2861 sq. km area and populace of 17,seventy five,961 humans (Census of India, 2001). The district comprises subdivision (Chas and Bermo) and 8 development blocks viz.Chas, Bermo, Gomia, Chandankyari, Jaridih, Kasmar, Peterwar and Nawadih.

Bokaro district is located at Eastern part of Jharkhand state. The climate of the area is moderate to extreme and characterized by hot summer and cold winter. Rainfall in this area is also very moderate... The major cultivated crops in this district are paddy, wheat, maize, pulses, fruits, vegetables etc.

The district has climatic condition slightly distinctive due to less elevation and less forest cover. The summers are hot and winters are frigid. The highest temperature is recorded as 46 degrees Celsius. in wintry weather season temperature come down to 3 to 4 degrees Celsius. whilst frigid wind blows, otherwise the temperature tiers among 10 to 15 degrees Celsius. The average rainfall is 1570 mm. Soil samples was collected only from open spaces from depths of 0-15 cm, 15-30 cm and 30-45 cm from different Blocks of Bokaro district, Jharkhand.

In physical, parameters like bulk density, particle density, porosity and water retaining capacity were measured by the help of Muthuvel et al. [4], method using graduated measuring cylinder. Colours of soil samples were determined by using Munsell Colour Chart [5] and Textural classes were assessed by using Bouyoucos Hydrometer.[6] "In chemical, properties such as soil pH (1:2) and Electrical Conductivity (1:2) were determined by Digital pH Meter and Digital Conductivity Meter and given by ML Jackson [7] respectively. Soil organic carbon was evaluated by Wet Oxidation method given by Walkley and Black.[8] Estimation of nitrogen was done by Alkaline KMnO₄ Method of Subbiah and Asija Assessment of Phosphorus was completed by using Photometric Colorimeter [9] and Potassium by toth and prince [10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical Properties of Soil

3.1.1 Bulk density

The Table 1 depicted that the maximum the maximum mean bulk density found at (V7) Utasara (1.62 g cm⁻³)and the (V7) was significant higher then (V4) Kamalapur (1.59 g cm⁻³), (V8) Darid (1.55 g cm⁻³), (V6) Gomanjara (1.46 g cm⁻³), (V5) Baraikalan (1.44 g cm⁻³), (V1) Bandhdih (1.41 g cm⁻³). The bulk density decrease with increase in soil depth due to low organic matter and less aggregation. Decrease in bulk density was an indicator for improvement in soil physical

properties and congenial environment for crop growth. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11] and Kouzaniet al. [12].

3.1.2 Percent pore space

The Table 1 depicted that the maximum The maximum mean pore space (%) found at (V2) Baradih (46.3 %)and the (V1) Bandhdih (45.8 %)was significant higher then (V3) Bharu(44.9 %), (V6) Gomanjara (44.3%), (V5) Baraikalan (43.8 %), (V7) Utasara (42.4%) then (V9) Keswari (41.6 %), (V8) Darid (41.3%). Decrease in pore space is attributed Increase in compaction in the soil. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11].

3.1.3 Water holding capacity

The Table 1 depicted that the maximum Mean Water Holding Capacity (%) found at (V2) Baradih (42.0 %)and the (V1) Bandhdih (41.0%)was significant higher then (V3) Bharu(40.8 %), (V6) Gomanjara (40.02%), (V5) Baraikalan (39.2 %), (V8) Darid (37.2%) then (V7) Utasara (37.5 %), (V9) Keswari (37.0%). Decrease in pore space is attributed Increase in compaction in the soil. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11].

3.2 Chemical Properties

3.2.1 Soil pH

The Table 2 depicted thatthe maximum mean soil pH (V9 and V2) of 6.91 was found at Village (Keswari and Baradih) and the minimum pH was found at (V3) 6.47(Bharu), (V6) 6.34(Gomanjara), (V5) 5.94 (Barikalalan), (V7) 5.74 (Utasara), (V8) 5.68 (Darid), (V1) 5.52 (Bandhdih), (V4) 5.19 (Kamalapur).Hence, the soil acidity minimum and a major crop production constraint in the study area And the similar finding were reported by Kumar et al. [11].

3.2.2 EC (dS m⁻¹)

The Table 2 depicted thatthe highest mean EC was in (V6)- Gomanjara, (0.06 ds m⁻¹) and lowest mean (V1)-Bandhdih (0.02 ds m⁻¹) from Hence, all the soils under the study area are safe for all types of crop production with respect to the soluble salt content. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11].

3.2.3 Organic carbon

Table 2 shows the maximum value of organic carbonmean was in (V6)0.45% in village (Gomanjara), then in (V5) 0.43% (Barikalalan), (V3) 0.41(Bharu), and (V1 and V2) show 0.40 % of

Table 1. Bulk density (Mg m⁻³), particle density (Mg m⁻³), pore Space (%) and water holding capacity (%) of soil at different depth

S.No.	Soil bulk density			Soil partical density			Pore Space (%)			Soil waterholding capacity		
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 Cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm
V ₁	1.40	1.41	1.42	2.27	2.29	2.32	47.42	45.84	44.28	43.86	41.56	40.18
V ₂	1.36	1.41	1.48	2.35	2.36	2.39	48.32	46.75	43.86	44.28	42.39	39.36
V ₃	1.38	1.41	1.44	2.24	2.26	2.30	47.98	44.54	42.26	43.56	40.12	38.95
V ₄	1.56	1.61	1.62	2.65	2.67	2.69	44.35	42.64	41.32	40.95	39.25	38.86
V ₅	1.42	1.44	1.46	2.56	2.57	2.57	45.52	43.86	41.86	40.28	39.86	37.62
V ₆	1.44	1.46	1.50	2.54	2.56	2.61	46.52	44.32	42.16	41.86	40.18	38.78
V ₇	1.57	1.64	1.67	2.51	2.52	2.55	44.32	42.89	40.28	39.62	38.76	34.23
V ₈	1.53	1.54	1.59	2.42	2.44	2.47	42.25	41.89	39.96	38.76	37.56	35.42
V ₉	1.52	1.56	1.58	2.52	2.53	2.53	43.76	41.38	39.85	38.26	37.89	34.89
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.Em. (±)	0.0099	0.0261	0.0304	0.024	0.035	0.038	0.6365	0.6845	0.6409	0.4950	0.5149	0.0429
C.D@5%	0.0294	0.0778	0.0506	0.073	0.106	0.113	1.8911	2.0338	1.9044	1.4707	1.5298	0.1276

Table 2. pH(w/v), EC (dS m⁻¹), organic carbon (%) of soil at different depth

S.No	Soil pH			EC (dS m ⁻¹)			organic Carbon (%)		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45cm
V ₁	5.02	5.60	5.95	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.42	0.41	0.39
V ₂	6.86	6.90	6.99	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.41	0.39	0.38
V ₃	6.18	6.28	6.97	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.43	0.41	0.40
V ₄	4.66	5.01	5.91	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.42	0.41	0.39
V ₅	5.87	5.92	6.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.45	0.43	0.42
V ₆	6.97	6.01	6.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.47	0.45	0.43
V ₇	5.70	5.14	6.40	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.39	0.37	0.36
V ₈	5.94	6.07	6.07	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.38	0.37	0.35
V ₉	6.85	6.98	6.98	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.37	0.36	0.35
F-test	S	S	S	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S
S.Em. (±)	0.5378	0.5668	0.6618	0.008	0.006	0.009	0.03651	0.036514	0.0365
C.D. @ 5 %	0.1154	0.1354	0.2154	6.9376	6.9376	6.2073




Fig. 1. Bulkdensity (Mg m⁻³) and partical density(Mg m⁻³)




Fig. 2. Pore space(%) and water holding capacity(%)

village (Bandhidih to Bharu), where the (V2) show 0.39% , (Baradih), (V7) 0.37% (Utasara) and (V8-V9) has 0.36% (Darid-Keswari) Soil organic carbon status was found to be medium to high which enables the soil for higher crop production. Medium to higher organic carbon in the study area could be attributed to its presence in the bottom of dense. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11].

3.2.4 Available nitrogen

The Table 3 depicts that the maximum value of nitrogen is found in soil and the mean value was in (V4)-Kamalpur (213.00 kg ha⁻¹),(V2)-Baradih (212.00 kg ha⁻¹),(V6)-Gomanjara (211.00 kg ha⁻¹),(V3)-Baradih (210.00 kg ha⁻¹),(V1-V9)-Bandhidih and Keswari show (209.00 kg ha⁻¹), (V5)-Barikalan (208.00 kg ha⁻¹),(V7)-Utasra (203.00 kg ha⁻¹),(V8)-Darid (200.00 kg ha⁻¹).The available - nitrogen status in the entire

study area was found to be low to medium. The reason may be attributed to the fact that nitrogen content is positively correlated with organic matter content which decreases with depth. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11].

3.2.5 Available phosphorus

The Table 3 depicts that the maximum mean was shown in village (V5)-Barikalan (22.99 Kg ha⁻¹),then after that (V3)-Bharu show minimum range then other (V5) which was (21.94 Kh ha⁻¹),(V3)-Bharu (21.94 kg ha⁻¹),(V2) -baradih(21.36 kg ha⁻¹),(V1)- Bandhdih (21.35 kg ha⁻¹),(V7)-Utasara (20.96 kg ha⁻¹),(V8) -Darid (20.13 kg ha⁻¹),(V6)- Gomanjara (20.01 kg ha⁻¹),(V9)-Keswari (19.03 kg ha⁻¹)The available phosphorus status in the entire study area was found to be medium to high. And the similar finding was reported by Kumaret al.[11] and Koralage et al. [13]

3.2.6 Available potassium

The Table 3 depicts theThehighest range available potassium was found at (V5) - Baraikalan (250.43 kg ha⁻¹) and the lowest mean available potassium was found at (V7)- Utasara(211.81 kg ha⁻¹). The available potassium status in the entire study area was found to be low to medium. The highest K content observed in the surface horizon and showed more or less decreasing trend with depth. This might be attributed to more intense weathering and release of liable K from organic residues.And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al.[11].

3.2.7 Available sulphur

The Table 4 depicts that thehighest mean available sulphur was in (V3 toV6)-Bharu and

Gomanjara (2.59 ppm) and lowest mean available sulphur was found at (V2)-Baradih (0.20 ppm). High deficiency of S in Bokaro soils might be attributed to the upland (Alfisols) leading to leaching of anionic nutrients. Available sulphur was found to be in the range of low to medium and the simliar finding was seen byKumar et al. [11].And Bardsley et al. [14]

3.2.8 Available zinc

The Table 4 depicts that the highest mean available zinc was found at(V6) (0.59 ppm)-Gomanjara and lowest mean (V2 and V7) (0.33 ppm)-Baradih and Utasara. Zn deficiency was observed in all blocks of the Bokaro district. And the similar finding was reported by Kumar et al. [11].




Fig. 3. pH




Fig. 4. EC (ds m⁻¹) and OC (%)

Table 3. Available nitrogen (Kg ha⁻¹), available phosphorous (Kg ha⁻¹), available potassium (Kg ha⁻¹) of soil at different depth

S.no	Available Nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹)			Available phosphorus (Kg ha ⁻¹)			Available potassium (Kg ha ⁻¹)		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45cm
V1	215.00	209.00	203.00	24.36	20.75	18.96	224.85	212.18	203.56
V2	218.00	213.00	205.00	23.68	21.12	19.28	246.55	231.93	222.36
V3	217.00	209.00	204.00	25.12	22.85	17.85	253.56	242.85	233.72
V4	220.00	213.00	207.00	23.42	21.28	18.54	244.75	236.38	229.63
V5	215.00	208.00	201.00	26.68	22.42	19.87	266.01	249.91	235.37
V6	219.00	212.00	204.00	22.68	19.92	17.45	258.89	246.22	232.65
V7	209.00	203.00	199.00	23.86	20.17	18.86	220.96	211.65	202.84
V8	205.00	200.00	197.00	22.37	20.67	17.37	230.34	220.75	204.12
V9	216.00	210.00	203.00	21.52	18.62	16.96	219.79	205.62	201.96
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.Em. (±)	2.6239	3.2501	0.4487	0.347482	0.37250	4.2394	3.2857	3.0338	0.2376
C.D. @ 5 %	7.7962	2.7816	1.3348	1.03242	1.10678	1.5961	9.7623	9.0138	0.2376

Table4. Sulphur(ppm) and zinc(ppm) of soil of different depth

S. NO	Sulphur(ppm)			Zinc (ppm)		
	0-15cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45cm
V1	3.70	2.77	1.84	0.56	0.37	0.18
V2	0.37	0.18	0.06	0.58	0.33	0.08
V3	2.77	2.59	2.41	0.35	0.18	0.01
V4	3.70	2.22	0.74	0.85	0.40	0.05
V5	2.96	2.40	1.84	0.59	0.37	0.15
V6	2.75	2.59	2.43	0.77	0.59	0.41
V7	3.70	2.59	1.48	0.59	0.33	0.07
V8	2.96	2.40	1.84	0.96	0.40	0.16
V9	3.34	2.22	1.10	0.85	0.57	0.29
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.Em. (+)	0.0377	0.0447	0.0365	0.0115	0.006	0.032
C.D. @ 5 %	0.0215	0.0055	0.00233	0.0343	0.0186	0.0955




Fig. 5. Available nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium (Kg ha⁻¹)




Fig. 6. sulphur(ppm) and zinc(ppm)

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the soils of Bokaro District of Jharkhand state are in good physical and chemical condition'. The major crop production constraint in the study area was found to be soil slightly acidity. Due to soil erosion and water logging, most of the soils were found to be deficient in available nitrogen, potassium, zinc and sulphur content. So, application of liming materials along with application of soil test based nitrogenous, potassic, zinc and sulphur containing fertilizers and manures will help to obtain higher crop production as well as sustaining soil health. Hence, it is concluded that to obtain better soil health and soil quality a more attention is needed to pay in the role of macronutrient enhancement in the soil which will lead to optimum economic yield. And for maintaining soil health and soil quality adoption of organic farming will be an essential step.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my Advisor Dr. Tarence Thomas Professor and Head, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, for his diligent guidance and constructive suggestions at every step during my work. I thank him for his creative follow-up and valuable suggestions for improving the quality of this work. I also extend my gratitude to all the teaching and non-teaching staff of our department because without them I would not be able to complete my work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Schoonover JE, Crim JF. An introduction to soil concepts and the role of soils in watershed management. 2015;154(1)21-47.
2. Kumar R, Rawat KS, Brijesh. Vertical distribution of physico-chemical properties under different topo-sequence in soils of Jharkhand. Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, 834006, Jharkhand; Division of Agricultural Physics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi - 110 012; 2012.
3. Ashokkumar V, Rajani V, Behra R, Hartmann NB, Files. Soil Quality and Soil Health. Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat; 2019.
4. Muthuaval PC, Udaysooriyan R, Natesa PP. Ramaswami. Introduction to soil analysis, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore-641002; 1992.
5. Munsell AH. Munsell soil colour charts. Munsell colour company Inc., 2441 N, Baltimore, Maryland; 1971.
6. Mwendwa S.A modification of the Bouyoucos method of soil texture analysis; 1927.
Available:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344561274_The_Mwendwa_Protocol_A_modification_of_the_Bouyoucos_method_of_soil_texture_analysis/fulltext/5f80475192851c14bcb93908/The-Mwendwa-Protocol-A-modification-of-the-Bouyoucos-method-of-soil-texture.pdf
7. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. 1958;221.niordc.ir/uploads\86_106_Binder 2.pdf · Soil...
8. Walkley A, Black. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1947;37:29-38.
9. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanbe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Agricultural, United State Department of Agriculture in Cooperation with the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. 1954;939:1-19.
10. Toth SJ, Prince AL. Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by Flame Photometer Technique. Soil Science. 1949; 67:439- 445.
11. Kumar R. Dr AT Jeyaseelan, Ravish Kumar, Shri PGShanware, SoodKD. Report on soil resources of Bokaro district, North Chhota Nagpur commissionaire, Jharkhand State. JSAC/TECH-REP/DoIT-GoJ/NRIS/10-11; 2010.
12. Kouzani A, Kaynak A, Yang Khoo S. Soil bulk density estimation methods: A review. Pedosphere. 2018;28(4):581-596.
DOI:10.1016/S1002-0160(18)60034-7

13. Koralage ISA, Weerasinghe P, Silva NRN, De Silva CS. Phosphorous assessment of phosphorus availability in soil. the determination of available phosphorus in Soil. Retrieved from [link].
14. Bardsley CE, Lancaster JD. Determination of reserve sulphur and soluble sulphate in soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1960;24:265-268.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116876>