



# Distribution of Weekly Rainfall and Probability Analysis for Crop Planning in Bastar Region of Chhattisgarh

Adikant Pradhan<sup>1\*</sup>, S. K. Nag<sup>1</sup>, A. Sao<sup>1</sup> and S. C. Mukherjee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>S. G. College of Agriculture and Research Station, IGKV, Jagdalpur (C.G.) 494005, Chhattisgarh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author AP designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and first draft of the manuscript. Authors SKN and AS managed the analyses of the study. Author SCM managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2018/42241 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Francesco Montemurro, Professor, C.R.A. SSC - Research Unit of the Study of Cropping Systems, Metaponto, Italy. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) B. Balaji Naik, PJTS Agricultural University, India. (2) Ionac Nicoleta, University of Bucharest, Romania. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/25828</u>

> Received 6<sup>th</sup> May 2018 Accepted 11<sup>th</sup> July 2018 Published 8<sup>th</sup> August 2018

**Original Research Article** 

# ABSTRACT

Daily rainfall data of 10 years (2004-2013) of Bastar were used for weekly analysis to study the rainfall distribution and the probability of occurrence. The CV was less than 20 per cent from 10<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>nd</sup> standard week, indicated that the rainfall is consistent during this period. The above rainfall analysis showed that the crops could be recommended under rainfed condition between 22<sup>nd</sup> to 35<sup>th</sup> standard weeks as the rainfall is more consistent during this period as compared to 36<sup>th</sup> to 52<sup>nd</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> to 21<sup>st</sup> standard weeks for summer ploughing, which fall under Southwest monsoon. The moisture requirement of crops could be met from 26<sup>th</sup> to 38<sup>th</sup> standard week as these weeks has the probability of 50 per cent for getting 20 mm of weekly rainfall. The analysis revealed that the drought-resistant finger millet, kodo millet, niger, horsegram and drought escaping little millet can be grown. Maize may be grown with supplemental irrigation of one or two to get the best yield out of available soil moisture as rainfed farming. Drought resistant short duration pulses and oilseeds can be grown within the growing period from 39th to 46th standard weeks.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: adi\_197753@rediffmail.com;



Keywords: Rainfall variation; conditional probability; initial probability; crop planning.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The kharif crops represent the main livelihood securiting foods based on rainfall (rainfed agriculture). Rainfed agriculture is the most practiced activity in rural areas, while irrigated agriculture is negligible in this region [10]. The mean average rainfall 1404 mm per annum which divides in four parts i.e. south western monsoon (June to September), Northeast winter monsoon (October to December), (January to March) and Summer (April to May) are 1121.5, 114.8, 45.3 and 124.8 mm, respectively with normal rainy days 55, 7, 4 and 9 in respect to dividend periods of seasons. The normal onset of monsoon is 10 June and normal cessation in 15 September. The soil is predominantly light soil, which is suitable for cultivation of upland rice, small millets, short duration pulses but it also has red loamy soil in lowland farming. In agricultural planning, rainfall distribution helps to take decisions on times of sowing, weed management, fertilizer application etc. Many researchers have reported the advantages of working out weekly rainfall probabilities for the region or an agroclimatic zone [15,8] n crop planning. Probability analysis can be used for predicting the occurrence of future events of rainfall from the available data with the help of statistical methods [7]. [1] attempted to study the variability of annual and seasonal rainfall and its probability and suggested suitable cropping pattern for Tamil Nadu. [11] have suggested the use of weekly rainfall data to predict the occurrence of rainy events and its amount for crop planning in Kota. The initial and conditional probability approach would be relatively effective method for rainfall analysis, especially in the regions where rainfall is erratic or where short dry periods likely to be expected within the wet season. It was suggested that the rainfall at 80 per cent probability can safely be taken as assured rainfall, while that of 50 per cent probability is the medium limit for taking risk of no rainfall [2,3,4]. The probability of rainfall under rainfed situation caters the business of either complete failure or success because rainfed agriculture is gamble of monsoon. This distribution pattern of rainfall is very erratic which influences the crops of the region that is why the study was undertaken to know the weekly variation and trend of distribution for crop planning.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Jagdalpur is situated in the southern part of Chhattisgarh under Eastern plateau and hills region (VII) and positioned at 19°08 N latitude and 82°03 E longitude with an elevation of 553 m above mean sea level. Bastar is located in southern part of Chhattisgarh states, bordering Odissa state in east, Dantewada district in west site. The daily rainfall data from 2004-2013 (10 years) were collected from S.G. College of Agriculture & Research Station, Jagdalpur. The daily rainfall was aggregated into weekly and these primary data were used for analysis in predicting rainfall concerned events. Two types of probabilities *viz.*, Initial and Conditional probability indices were used for the study.

#### 2.1 Initial Probability Index (IPI)

The initial probability analysis was taken up to find out the amount of rainfall anticipated at 70 per cent, 50 per cent and 25 per cent probability level. For computing initial probability, the time series rainfall data were arranged in descending order. Initial probability was worked out by using the simple formula [9] and [16].

$$IPI = \frac{N * P}{100}$$

Where,

IPI = Initial probability Index; N = Sample size and P = Probability required.

#### 2.2 Conditional Probability Index

Conditional probability is useful in predicting the receipt of a particular quantity of rainfall for specific agricultural operations. Conditional probability is worked out from the following formula [9] and [16].

$$CPI = \frac{X - x}{100}$$

Where,

CPI = Conditional Probability Index; x = Required rainfall; X= Mean rainfall;

SD = Standard deviation. Since the resultant value does not fall under normal distribution, it has to be referred to 'Z' table and multiplied by 100 to find out the actual probability in

percentage. Two riders are to be considered. (*i*) If the resultant value of the formula given above is positive, the corresponding value may be referred to 'Z' table and multiplied by 100. This gives conditional probability in percentage. (*ii*) If the resultant value is negative, the corresponding 'Z' table value is deducted from unity (1) and multiplied by 100. This gives the conditional probability in percentage.

Weekly rainfall of 10 and 20 mm was considered as thresholds, as they have to carry out agricultural farm operations like sowing, fertilizer application, weeding etc. under rainfed condition.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Rainfall Variability

The highest mean weekly rainfall (35.49 mm) was received during 31<sup>st</sup> standard week (Table 1). The CV was less than 20 per cent from 10<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>nd</sup> standard week, indicated that the rainfall is consistent during this period (Fig. 1). This was also observed during 36<sup>th</sup> to 52<sup>nd</sup> standard week but the quantity of rainfall received during the above period is not sufficient to support the rainfed rice, small millets and pulses. The above rainfall analysis showed that the crop could be recommended under rainfed condition between 22<sup>nd</sup> to 35<sup>th</sup> standard weeks as the rainfall is more consistent during this period as compared to 36<sup>th</sup> to 52<sup>nd</sup> standard weeks for summer ploughing, which fall under South west monsoon. Rakhecha and Pisharoty [12] have studied the heavy rainfall events during the south-west monsoon season for some selected stations over the country [5,12]. Rakhecha and Soman, [13] reported that the annual extreme rainfall records

of mostly are free from trend and persistence [13].

The Author has given a statement that, the rainfall is more consistent between  $22^{nd}$  to  $35^{th}$  standard weeks as compared to  $36^{th}$  to  $52^{nd}$  and  $10^{th}$  to  $21^{st}$  standard weeks for summer ploughing, which fall under South west monsoon which is contradictory to the statement mentioned in the paragraph No. 1 under results sub head 3.1., wherein he has mentioned that, the rainfall during 10th to  $22^{nd}$  standard week, and  $36^{th}$  to  $52^{nd}$  standard week was more consistent with CV < 20%.

#### 3.2 Initial Probability Index

The initial probability index for weekly rainfall was analyzed which indicated that more than 20 mm of rainfall could be expected from 27<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 31<sup>st</sup>, 32<sup>nd</sup>, and 35<sup>th</sup> standard weeks under 25% probability index, which showed about soil moisture would support to low water demanding crops (Fig. 2), whereas 50% initial probability index was observed under 27<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> standard week with more than 20 mm rainfall over rest of standard week along with CV of 55.52 and 58.09% for these two standard weeks. The expected rainfall of more than 20 mm did not observe in any standard weeks from the mentioned weeks (Table 1) in 75% initial probability index as analyzed the standard week rainfall. Under rainfed agriculture much more risk is there if probability of rainfall lying below 50 per cent. Among the 25, 50 and 75% probability index was analyzed but only 25% of chance to expect more than 20 mm rainfall under limited standard weeks as compared to 50% and 75% which was comparatively lower than 25%.



Fig. 1. Co-efficient of variation (%) for weekly rainfall over Bastar

Std.	Period	Mean actual	CV%	Initia	al probability	/ index
week		rainfall (mm)		75%	50%	25%
1	1Jan-7Jan	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	8Jan-14 Jan	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	15 Jan-21 Jan	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	22 Jan-28 Jan	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	29 Jan-4 Feb	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	5 Feb - 11 Feb	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	12 Feb - 18 Feb	0.0	0.95	0.03	0.09	0.13
8	19 Feb - 25 Feb	3.6	7.71	0.19	0.50	0.75
9	26 Feb - 4 March	10.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	5 Mar - 11 Mar	10.6	9.83	0.28	0.76	1.14
11	12 Mar - 18 Mar	6.9	10.98	0.35	0.92	1.39
12	19 Mar - 25 Mar	2.0	20.68	1.15	3.07	4.61
13	26 Mar - 1 April	9.1	5.47	0.11	0.29	0.44
14	2 April - 8 April	0.5	8.78	0.23	0.62	0.93
15	9 April -15 April	8.8	17.68	0.85	2.26	3.40
16	16 April -22 April	11.4	18.33	0.91	2.43	3.64
17	23 April -29 April	16.1	11.22	0.36	0.96	1.44
18	30 April -6 May	26.2	16.68	0.76	2.03	3.04
19	7 May -13 May	16.2	15.92	0.69	1.85	2.78
20	14 May -20 May	6.3	15.09	0.63	1.67	2.51
21	21 May -27 May	6.6	16.48	0.74	1.98	2.97
22	28 May-3 June	20.2	21.07	1.19	3.18	4.77
23	4 June -10 June	39.5	36.90	3.60	9.59	14.39
24	11 June -17 June	58.5	27.39	2.00	5.33	7.99
25	18 June -24 June	57.0	27.52	2.02	5.37	8.06
26	25 June -1 July	85.2	40.31	4.29	11.43	17.15
27	2 July -8 July	44.7	55.52	8.11	21.62	32.43
28	9 July -15 July	89.5	36.22	3.47	9.25	13.87
29	16 July -22 July	62.6	36.84	3.59	9.56	14.35
30	23 July -29 July	35.6	49.93	6.56	17.50	26.25
31	30 July -5 Aug	61.6	58.09	8.87	23.66	35.49
32	6 Aug -12 Aug	57.6	44.43	5.20	13.87	20.81
33	13 Aug -19 Aug	56.9	36.23	3.47	9.25	13.88
34	20 Aug -26 Aug	17.1	37.40	3.70	9.86	14.78
35	27 Aug-2 Sep	127.3	44.38	5.19	13.84	20.76
36	3 Sep -9 Sep	16.6	39.92	4.21	11.22	16.83
37	10 Sep -16 Sep	111.2	26.24	1.83	4.89	7.34
38	17 Sep -23 Sep	17.6	36.39	3.50	9.34	14.00
39	24 Sep -30 Sep	81.4	24.17	1.56	4.16	6.25
40	1 Oct -7 Oct	62.1	18.68	0.95	2.52	3.78
41	8 Oct -14 Oct	49.5	7.24	0.17	0.45	0.67
42	15 Oct -21 Oct	22.4	17.27	0.81	2.17	3.25
43	22 Oct -28 Oct	1.9	2.77	0.05	0.14	0.20
44	29 Oct-4 Nov	3.0	14.69	0.60	1.59	2.38
45	5 Nov -11 Nov	2.0	14.62	0.59	1.58	2.36
46	12 Nov -18 Nov	1.3	5.25	0.10	0.27	0.41
47	19 Nov -25 Nov	0.0	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.08
48	26 Nov-2 Dec	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
49	3 Dec -9 Dec	3.0	7.37	0.17	0.46	0.69
50	10 Dec -16 Dec	1.0	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.06
51	17 Dec -23 Dec	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
52	24 Dec -31 Dec	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# Table 1. Initial probability index for weekly rainfall

Week no.	Std. week	10 mm	20 mm
1	1Jan-7Jan	0.00	0.00
2	8Jan-14 Jan	0.00	0.00
3	15 Jan-21 Jan	0.00	0.00
4	22 Jan-28 Jan	0.00	0.00
5	29 Jan-4 Feb	0.00	0.00
6	5 Feb - 11 Feb	0.00	0.00
7	12 Feb - 18 Feb	0.40	0.70
8	19 Feb - 25 Feb	2.26	3.96
9	26 Feb - 4 March	0.00	0.00
10	5 Mar - 11 Mar	3.44	6.02
11	12 Mar - 18 Mar	4.20	7.35
12	19 Mar - 25 Mar	13.95	24.41
13	26 Mar - 1 April	1.32	2.31
14	2 April - 8 April	2.82	4.93
15	9 April -15 April	10.29	18.00
16	16 April -22 April	11.03	19.31
17	23 April -29 April	4.37	7.65
18	30 April -6 May	9.21	16.11
19	7 May -13 May	8.41	14.72
20	14 May -20 May	7.60	13.30
21	21 May -27 May	8.99	15.73
22	28 May-3 June	14.46	25.30
23	4 June -10 June	43.58	76.27
24	11 June -17 June	24.19	42.33
25	18 June -24 June	24.41	42.72
26	25 June -1 July	51.93	90.89
27	2. July -8. July	98 20	98.04
28	9 July -15 July	42.00	73 50
29	16 July -22 July	43 44	76.02
30	23  July - 29  July	79.50	95.67
31	30 July -5 Aug	97.80	97.05
32		63.02	96.50
33		42.02	73 54
34	20 Aug -26 Aug	44 77	78.35
35	27 Aug-2 Sep	62.88	91 94
36	3 Sep -9 Sep	50.95	89.16
37	10 Sen -16 Sen	22.22	38.88
38	17 Sen -23 Sen	42 40	74 21
39	24 Sen -30 Sen	18.91	33.10
40	1 Oct -7 Oct	11 45	20.03
40	8 Oct -14 Oct	2 04	3 57
42	15 Oct -21 Oct	0.84	17 22
42	22 Oct -28 Oct	0.62	1 08
40	29 Oct-4 Nov	7 22	12.64
45	5 Nov. 11 Nov.	7.15	12.04
46	12 Nov -18 Nov	1 25	2 18
47	19 Nov -25 Nov	0.26	0.45
יד 19	26 Nov 2 Dec	0.20	0.40
40	20  NOV-2 Dec	2.00	3.67
49 50	3 Dec - 9 Dec 10 Dec 16 Dec	2.10 0.17	0.20
50	17 Dec - 10 Dec	0.17	0.29
01 50		0.00	0.00
52	Z4 Dec -31 Dec	0.00	0.00

Table 2. Conditional probability index for weekly rainfall



Fig. 2. Expected probability of rain at 50% initial probability index



Fig. 3. Probability for 20 mm weekly rainfall over Bastar

Sarkar and Biswass [14] reported that even 30 per cent probability rainfall can be taken as weekly assured rainfall for computing moisture index if the annual rainfall is less than 400 mm [14]. But, in current study 50 per cent probability level was considered, which is critically desirable in the region due to more annual precipitation [6].

#### 3.3 Conditional Probability Index

The chance of getting weekly rainfall of 20 mm with more than 50 per cent probability was observed during  $27^{th}$  and  $31^{st}$  standard week (Fig. 3). It also indicated that, none of the weeks has more than 60 per cent probability for the chance of getting more than 20 mm rainfall during the week (Table 2).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the above rainfall analysis it has been concluded that the major cropping period under rainfed condition is only during south west east monsoon season. But within the cropping season, dry spells are expected limiting the crop production. Even during monsoon season, supplemental irrigations while early stages of the crop growth and during maturity stages can alleviate the problem of shortening length of growing period due to early and terminal droughts. Generally, moisture requirement of crops could be met from 26<sup>th</sup> to 38<sup>th</sup> standard week as these weeks has the probability of 50 per cent for getting 20 mm of weekly rainfall. The analysis revealed that the drought resistant finger millet, kodo millet and drought escaping little millet, niger, horsegram can be grown. Maize may be grown with supplemental irrigation of one or two to get the best yield out of available soil moisture.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Chattopadhyay N, Ganesan GS. Relative contribution of energy and aerodynamic terms to potential evapotranspiration at Madras. Mausam. 1995;46(3):263-274.
- Ghosh DC, Nandi P and De B. Phenological development and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) at different dates of sowing. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 2000;70:390-395.
- Gupta SK, Babu R, Tejwani KG. Weekly rainfall of India for planning cropping programme. Soil Conserv Digest. 1975; 3(1):31-36.
- Hague MM. Physiological analysis of yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in relation to sowing date. Ph. D. thesis. University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh; 2000.
- Hakim MA, Hossain A, Teixeira da Silva JA, Zvolinsky VP, Khan MM. Yield, protein and starch content of 20 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes exposed to high temperature under late sowing conditions. J. Sci. Res. 2012;(4):477-489.
- Jat BT, Dhakar LL, Poonia TC. Phenological and heatunit accumulation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. emend Fiori and Paol) varieties under varying sowing dates and seed rates. Agric. Sci. Digest. 2003;23:84-87.
- Kumar D, Kumar S. Rainfall distribution pattern using frequency analysis. J. Agril. Engg. 1989;26(1):33-38.
- 8. Nemichandrappa M, Balakrishnan P, Senthilvel S. Probability and confidence

limit analysis of rainfall in Raichur region, Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci. 2010;23(5):737-741.

- 9. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 1954;361.
- Pradhan Adikant, Nag SK, Patil SK. Traditional techniques of harvesting and processing for small millets in tribal region of Bastar. Indian Journal of traditional Knowledge. 2010;9(4):681-683.
- 11. Prakash C, Rao DH. Frequency analysis of rain data for crop planning, Kota. Indian J. of Soil Cons. 1986;14(2):23-26.
- 12. Rakhecha PR, Pisharoty PR. Heavy rainfall during monsoon season: Point and spatial distribution. Current Science. 1996; 71:177-186.
- 13. Rakhecha PR, Soman MK. Trends in the annual extreme rainfall events of 1 to 3 days duration over India. Theoretical and Applied Climatology.1994;48:4.
- Sarkar RP, Biswas BC. A new approach to agroclimatic classification to find out crop potential. Mausam. 1988;39(4):343-358.
- Subbulakshmi S, Selvaraju R and Manickasundaram S. Rainfall probability analysis for crop planning in selected locations of Tamil Nadu. Madras Agric. J. 2005;92(1-3):76-83.
- Veeraputhiran R, Karthikeyan R, Geethalakshmi V, Selvaraju R, Sundarsingh SD, Balasubramaniyan. TN Crop planning – Climate Atlas Manual. A. E. publications, Coimbatore. 2003;41.

© 2018 Pradhan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/25828