



***In Vitro* Contribution of Herbal Products on the Activity of Antifungal Drugs against Clinical *Candida* Isolates**

Yasemin Oz^{1*}, Nuray Gundogdu² and Muge Aslan³

¹*Division of Mycology, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Eskisehir Osmangazi, Eskisehir, Turkey.*

²*Department of Microbiology, Atatürk Education and Research Hospital, Izmir Katip Celebi University, Izmir, Turkey.*

³*Department of Microbiology, Haydarpasa Numune Research and Teaching Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.*

Authors' contributions

All authors together designed the study, performed the tests, analyzed the results and managed the literature searches. Author Y Oz wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2019/v27i330101

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Eliton da Silva Vasconcelos, Department of Physiological Sciences, Federal University of São Carlos – UFSCar, Rod. Washington Luiz, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

Reviewers:

(1) Dr. Ronald Bartzatt, University of Nebraska, USA.

(2) Eman Abd El- Naby El- Masry, Menufiya University, Egypt.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48248>

Received 10 January 2019

Accepted 28 March 2019

Published 12 April 2019

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Aims: The contribution of natural compounds may provide significant progress in the discovery of new antifungal drugs. We aimed to investigate the contribution of carvacrol, farnesol, epigallocatechin gallate, ginger, naringenin and curcumin on the activity of antifungals, voriconazole, caspofungin and amphotericin B against clinical *Candida* isolates.

Methodology: Eight clinical *Candida* isolates were included in this study. The MIC values of each herbal compound and each antifungal were determined using the reference broth microdilution method. The interactions of herbal compounds and antifungal drugs were assessed by checkerboard microdilution method.

Results: The MIC values ranged from 60 to 160 µg/mL for carvacrol, from 16 to 64 µg/mL for

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dryaseminoz@gmail.com;

epigallocatechin gallate, from 80 to 320 µg/mL for ginger and 800 µg/mL for curcumin. Any MIC value was not detected for farnesol and naringenin in concentrations tested. Although the most common interaction type was indifference, farnesol, carvacrol and ginger reduced the MICs of all three antifungals against most isolates. Voriconazole and amphotericin B MICs decreased in combinations with epigallocatechin gallate, whereas naringenin and curcumin did not show any conspicuous effect on antifungal drug activities.

Conclusion: We showed that carvacrol, epigallocatechin gallate, curcumin and ginger enhanced the activity of voriconazole, caspofungin and amphotericin B against *Candida* isolates in vitro. These compounds may represent novel agents to be used in combination with available antifungal drugs to lower the doses of antifungals, thus toxic side effects and treatment costs may decrease.

Keywords: Antifungal; candida; carvacrol; farnesol; epigallocatechin gallate; ginger.

1. INTRODUCTION

Invasive fungal infections (IFIs) are increasing threats for critically ill patients and significant causes of morbidity and mortality for them. *Candida* spp the most common agents of IFIs and still the most common fungi isolated from bloodstream infections. Although new antifungal agents are being developed, there is increasing resistance to standard antifungal therapy, and no new classes of antifungal agents have been approved since 2006 [1]. Currently, three antifungal drug classes including triazoles, polyenes and echinocandins are available to use in the treatment of IFIs. However, treatment is often complicated due to their high toxicity, low tolerability, drug interactions and limited spectrums of activities. Moreover, some fungi are intrinsic resistant to these antifungal agents. Therefore, the requirement of new drug or treatment alternatives especially those with a wider spectrum, lower toxicity and cheaper are increasing day by day.

In recent years, the interest in studies related to the therapeutic use of natural products is increasing. Essential oils are aromatic oily liquids obtained from plant materials [2]. There are many studies investigating the antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal and antiparasitic activity of various herbal oils or their components [3-5]. However, the acts of such compounds on the antifungal activity of available drugs in the treatment of *Candida* infections have not been researched so much. Carvacrol, farnesol, epigallocatechin gallate, ginger, naringenin and curcumin are herbal products that have been shown to have some antifungal effects; carvacrol alone was almost more effective than fluconazole against oral *Candida* isolates [6], and the combination of carvacrol with voriconazole exhibited synergistic or additive activity against drug-resistant *Candida* spp [7]; the combinations

of farnesol with fluconazole and micafungin showed synergistic interactions against *C. albicans* biofilm [8]; epigallocatechin gallate enhanced the activity of miconazole, fluconazole or amphotericin B against *Candida* isolates [9]; antifungal activity of ginger was stronger than those of fluconazole and nystatin against *C. albicans* [10]; synergistic interactions were detected in the combinations of curcumin with azoles and polyenes against clinical *Candida* isolates [11]. In this study, we investigated the contribution of natural compounds carvacrol, farnesol, epigallocatechin gallate, ginger, naringenin and curcumin on the activity of antifungals such as voriconazole, caspofungin and amphotericin B against clinical *Candida* isolates.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Isolates and Media

Clinical *Candida* isolates, *C. albicans* (n=3), *C. parapsilosis* (n=3) and *C. glabrata* (n=2), were used in this study. *C. krusei* ATCC 6258 and *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 were used as quality-control isolates for antifungal susceptibility testing. All isolates were subcultured onto Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at 37°C for 24-48 h prior to testing. RPMI-1640 medium (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) buffered to pH 7.0 with MOPS (3-N-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid) was used for broth microdilution testing and checkerboard method.

2.2 Antifungals and Herbal Compounds

Stock solutions of voriconazole (VOR) and amphotericin B (AmB) (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), caspofungin (CAS, Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, MO, USA) in distilled water were prepared

at the concentrations of 6400 µg/mL and 1600 µg/mL, respectively. Antifungal stock solutions were dispensed into 1 mL tubes and stored at -70°C until they were used. Carvacrol (CRV), naringenin (NAR), epigallocatechin gallate (EPG), curcumin (CUR), ginger (GGR) and farnesol (FAR) were commercially obtained (Sigma Chemical Co.) and solved in DMSO, to be at concentrations at least 100 times higher than the desired highest test concentration, prior to each experiment [12].

2.3 Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MIC values for each of antifungal drugs and herbal compounds were determined against all *Candida* isolates using broth microdilution method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M27-A3 guideline [12]. For MIC testing, U bottom 96 well microplates were used and serial twofold dilutions ranging 0.0313 to 16 µg/mL for VOR and AmB, 0.015 to 8 µg/mL for CAS, 10 to 1280 µg/mL for CRV, 3.12 to 1600 µg/mL for NAR, 0.06 to 64 µg/mL for EPG, 1.56 to 1600 µg/mL for CUR, 12.5 to 6400 µg/mL for GGR and 6 to 6000 µM for FAR were prepared in RPMI 1640 medium and stored at -70°C until use. A standard 0.5 McFarland fungal suspension was prepared with sterile 0.85% saline by a spectrophotometer at 530 nm wavelength. This procedure yielded a yeast stock suspension of $1-5 \times 10^6$ cells per mL. It was diluted with RPMI 1640 broth medium to obtain a starting inoculum of $1-5 \times 10^3$ cells per mL. Microplates were inoculated and incubated at 35°C. The MICs were visually read after both 24 and 48 h. Endpoints for azoles, echinocandins and herbal compounds were defined as the lowest concentration of drug that resulted in a prominent reduction (approximately 50% inhibition) of growth compared with the growth control wells, and MICs of AmB were defined as the lowest concentration of drug which resulted in total inhibition of growth [12].

2.4 Checkerboard Microdilution Tests

The interaction of each herbal compound with each of all three antifungals was assessed against all isolates by the checkerboard method using CLSI document M27-A3. The concentrations of antifungal agents ranged from 1/32 to 8xMIC and herbal compounds ranged from 1/8 to 8xMIC. Antifungal and herbal compound dilutions were prepared in RPMI 1640

medium to be 4-fold of the final concentrations in the microplates. Each of them, 50 µL were dispensed to be antifungal in rows and compound in columns of 96-well microplate. Fungal inoculums were prepared and inoculated to all wells as described in antifungal susceptibility testing. After incubation at 35°C, results were visually read at 24 h and when the absence of adequate growth in growth control well, the incubation was extended more 24 h. The interactions of a drug and herbal compound were evaluated based on the fractional inhibitor concentration index (FICI). The FICI was obtained by summing the FIC values of each drug; the FIC was calculated for each agent by dividing the inhibitory concentration of each antifungal or compound when used in combination by its MIC. Synergy was defined as a FICI of ≤ 0.5 ; no interaction was defined as a FICI > 0.5 but < 4 ; and antagonism was defined as a FICI ≥ 4 [13]. Off-scale MIC values were converted to the next highest two-fold concentration.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Susceptibility testing results of all antifungal agents and herbal compounds were summarized in Table 1. Any MIC value was not detected for FAR and NAR in concentrations tested against *Candida* isolates in this study. However, the MIC values ranged from 60 to 160 µg/mL for CRV, from 16 to 64 µg/mL for EPG, from 80 to 320 µg/mL for GGR and 800 µg/mL for CUR. The lowest MICs were obtained with EPG.

The results of the interactions between antifungal drugs and herbal compounds were exhibited in Table 2. The most obvious positive interaction was observed between FAR and all three antifungal drugs. FAR caused a prominent decreasing in the MICs of antifungal drugs (Table 3). For other combinations, although the most common interaction type was no interaction, CRV and GGR reduced the MICs of all three antifungals against most isolates (Table 3). VOR and AmB MICs decreased in combinations with EPG, whereas NAR and CUR did not show any conspicuous effect on antifungal drug activities.

Although there are several classes of antifungal drugs at the present time, treatment and prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections continue to be a significant clinical problem. Because, treatment is often complicated due to their high toxicity, low tolerability, drug interactions and

Table 1. The antifungal susceptibility testing results for all antifungals and herbal compounds

| Isolates | MIC values ($\mu\text{g/mL}$; μM for FAR) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| | VOR | CAS | AmB | CRV | FAR | NAR | EPG | CUR | GGR |
| <i>C. albicans-1</i> | 0.03 | 0.125 | 1 | 120 | >6000 | 1600 | 32 | 800 | 320 |
| <i>C. albicans-2</i> | 0.015 | 0.125 | 1 | 120 | >6000 | >1600 | 64 | 800 | 240 |
| <i>C. albicans-3</i> | 0.015 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 120 | >6000 | >1600 | 32 | 800 | 160 |
| <i>C. glabrata-1</i> | 0.25 | 0.125 | 2 | 120 | >6000 | >1600 | 32 | 800 | 160 |
| <i>C. glabrata-2</i> | 0.03 | 0.125 | 2 | 160 | >6000 | >1600 | 64 | 800 | 120 |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-1</i> | 0.06 | 0.5 | 1 | 60 | >6000 | >1600 | 32 | 800 | 80 |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-2</i> | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 60 | >6000 | >1600 | 16 | 800 | 320 |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-3</i> | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 60 | >6000 | >1600 | 32 | 800 | 160 |

VOR, voriconazole; CAS, caspofungin; AmB, amphotericin B; CRV, carvacrol; FAR, farnesol; NAR, naringenin; EPG, epigallocatechin gallate; CUR, curcumin; GGR, ginger

limited spectrums of activities, alongside with higher treatment costs. Moreover, some fungi are intrinsic resistant to these antifungal agents. Therefore, new drug or treatment alternatives especially those with a wider spectrum, lower toxicity and cheaper are needed. The most important challenge to developing a new antifungal drug is the eukaryotic nature of fungal cell similar to the mammalian host cell. Natural products are unique chemicals with different biological activities and the potential antimicrobial effects of certain natural compounds have attracted serious attention within the scientific area. Therefore, it has been estimated that significant progress may be observed in the discovery of new antifungal drugs with the contribution of inexpensive, natural, nontoxic and easily accessible natural compounds. This study aimed to investigate the antifungal activities of several herbal products that are widely consumed in the diet worldwide and their contribution to the efficacy of antifungal drugs against *Candida* spp.

Carvacrol is a monoterpene phenol derivative extracted from the herb thyme (*Thymus*) and its many pharmacological properties, including the antimicrobial activity, have been investigated [2, 3,6,14]. It has been shown that CRV is almost more effective than fluconazole against oral *Candida* isolates; the range of MICs was 0.03-0.5% (v/v) at 24 h [6]. There are many studies evaluating the antifungal activity of CRV, its activity in combination with antifungal drugs or its contribution to the activity of antifungal drugs has not been investigated adequately. Recently, Sharifzadeh et al. [7] investigated the interaction of CRV and VOR against drug-resistant *Candida* spp. They reported that MIC values of CRV were $\leq 125 \mu\text{g/mL}$ for all isolates and they detected synergistic or additive interactions in this combination against all *Candida* isolates; FICI

values were ≤ 0.853 and no antagonistic activity was seen in the strains tested [7]. In the other two studies, CRV MICs were $\leq 100 \mu\text{g/mL}$ and synergic interactions were reported in a combination of CRV with fluconazole [14,15]. The MIC values of CRV were 60-160 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ against all *Candida* isolates in our study. Although we didn't detect any prominent synergistic interaction in combinations with CRV and VOR, CAS or AmB (FICI=0.5-2, 0.58-2, 0.75-1, respectively), CRV caused significant reductions in MICs of all three antifungal drugs; usually 4-fold reduction. Although the exact mechanism is still unclear, it has been reported that the action of CRV based on the inhibition of ergosterol biosynthesis and the disruption of fungal cell membrane integrity similarly to azoles and polyenes [16]. The contribution of CRV on the MICs of antifungals may be explained by; i) these compounds affect simultaneously the same target on fungal cell resulting with enhanced strength in effectiveness; ii) these compounds show sequentially effects on the different targets on fungal cell and the disruption of cell wall by a drug (i.e. an echinocandin) makes it easy to reach of another compound the membrane target. As a result, simultaneously or sequentially effects of antifungals with herbal compounds can provide the reduction in the dose of the administered antifungal.

Farnesol is an extracellular quorum-sensing molecule producing by *C. albicans* and inhibits the yeast-to-hypha transition in *C. albicans* and consequently blocks biofilm formation [17]. FAR is also sesquiterpene alcohol existing in many herbal products. It has been shown that exogenously FAR inhibits the conidiation in *Aspergillus niger* and the germination of macroconidia in *Fusarium graminearum* [18,19]. However, the number of studies assessing the antifungal efficacy of FAR with standardised

Table 2. The combination results of antifungal drugs and herbal compounds

| Isolates | Fractional Inhibitory Concentration (FIC) index (interaction) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | CRV | | | FAR | | | NAR | | | EPG | | | CUR | | | GGR | | |
| | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB |
| <i>C. albicans-1</i> | 0.91 (I) | 0.58 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 0.5 (S) | 0.26 (S) | 0.25 (S) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.5 (S) | 2 (I) | 1.25 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.49 (S) | 1.12 (I) | 0.75 (I) |
| <i>C. albicans-2</i> | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.91 (I) | 0.5 (S) | 0.09 (S) | 0.26 (S) | 1 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 0.38 (S) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 3 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 0.9 (I) | 0.58 (I) |
| <i>C. albicans-3</i> | 0.75 (I) | 0.78 (I) | 0.91 (I) | 0.51 (I) | 0.38 (S) | 0.25 (S) | 1 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 1.25 (I) | 0.62 (I) | 0.75 (I) |
| <i>C. glabrata-1</i> | 0.75 (I) | 0.71 (I) | 1 (I) | 0.14 (S) | 0.75 (I) | 0.25 (S) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 3 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 0.74 (I) | 0.75 (I) |
| <i>C. glabrata-2</i> | 0.5 (S) | 0.78 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 0.5 (S) | 0.27 (S) | 0.25 (S) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 0.37 (S) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.58 (I) | 0.58 (I) | 1 (I) |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-1</i> | 2 (I) | 1.25 (I) | 1 (I) | 0.05 (S) | 0.09 (S) | 0.26 (S) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.5 (S) | 0.53 (I) |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-2</i> | 0.75 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 0.14 (S) | 2 (I) | 0.27 (S) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 0.63 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 3 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 1.03 (I) | 0.75 (I) |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-3</i> | 0.67 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.91 (I) | 0.13 (S) | 2 (I) | 0.26 (S) | 1.5 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 1.5 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 2 (I) | 0.75 (I) | 1 (I) | 0.38 (S) |

CRV, carvacrol; FAR, farnesol; NAR, naringenin; EPG, epigallocatechin gallate; CUR, curcumin; GGR, ginger; VOR, voriconazol; CAS, caspofungin; AmB, amphotericin B; S, synergic interaction; I, indifference; A, antagonistic interaction

Table 3. The effects of herbal compounds on the antifungal MIC values

| Isolates | CRV | | | FAR | | | NAR | | | EPG | | | CUR | | | GGR | | |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB | VOR | CAS | AmB |
| <i>C. albicans-1</i> | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 2 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 8 ↓ | 4 ↓ |
| <i>C. albicans-2</i> | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 2 ↓ | 32 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 2 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 2 ↑ | 2 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ |
| <i>C. albicans-3</i> | 4 ↓ | 8 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 2 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 2 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 2 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 8 ↓ | 4 ↓ |
| <i>C. glabrata-1</i> | 4 ↓ | 36 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 8 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 2 ↑ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ |
| <i>C. glabrata-2</i> | 4 ↓ | 8 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 2 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 8 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-1</i> | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 16 ↓ | 32 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 32 ↓ |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-2</i> | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 2 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 2 ↑ | 1 ↔ | 32 ↓ | 2 ↓ |
| <i>C. parapsilosis-3</i> | 140 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 16 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 1 ↔ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ | 4 ↓ |

CRV, carvacrol; FAR, farnesol; NAR, naringenin; EPG, epigallocatechin gallate; CUR, curcumin; GGR, ginger; VOR, voriconazole; CAS, caspofungin; AmB, amphotericin B; ↑, fold increase of MIC; ↓, fold decrease of MIC; ↔, no change of MIC

methods is limited. In a study evaluating the combinations of FAR with fluconazole, micafungin and AmB against *C. albicans* biofilm, synergic interactions were observed for FAR with fluconazole and micafungin combinations, and no interaction for FAR with AmB combination according to FIC indexes [8]. Cordeiro et al. [20] evaluated the antifungal activity of farnesol and its interaction with fluconazole, itraconazole, AmB and CAS against drug-resistant strains of *Candida* species (n=45); the MICs of FAR ranged 4.68-150 μ M and FAR significantly reduced the MICs of all antifungals against all isolates. Furthermore, they observed significant rates of synergic interactions without any antagonistic interactions in all combinations [20]. We didn't detect any MIC value in concentrations tested for FAR against the isolates in this study. However, synergistic interactions and MIC reductions in antifungal MICs were conspicuous in all three combinations with FAR. The action of FAR on the fungal cell is largely unclear, probably; it is effective with several mechanisms including growth-inhibitory and apoptosis-promoting effects [8].

Epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate, the main polyphenol component of green tea, has been extensively investigated for antioxidant, anticancer, antibacterial and antiviral effects [21-23]. In a study evaluating many different teas, although EPG didn't have any effect against *C. krusei*, *C. tropicalis*, or *A. fumigatus* at the concentrations tested, the MICs of EPG were 0.3125 μ g/mL against *C. glabrata*, and 5.0 μ g/mL against *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* [24]. Ning et al. [9] reported that synergistic interaction was observed between EPG and micafungin, fluconazole or amphotericin B against most of the planktonic and biofilm cells of seven *Candida* isolates and EPG enhanced the activity of these antifungals. In our study, EPG alone had the MICs between 16-64 μ g/mL, the combinations of EPG with antifungals frequently resulted in indifference, however, EPG caused the reductions at MICs of VOR and AmB. Navarro-Martinez et al. [25] reported that the combination of EPG with azoles showed synergistic interactions against *C. albicans* and the mechanism of this effect could be explained by disturbing the folate metabolism and inhibiting of ergosterol production because EPG affects the folic acid metabolism by inhibiting dihydrofolate reductase.

GGR is used as a spice derived from the roots of ginger all over the world and it contains more

than 60 active compounds [26]. In a study evaluating the antibacterial, antifungal and anti-biofilm activity of GGR, MICs of GGR were 20–40 μ g/mL for bacteria, 5 μ g/mL for *C. krusei* and 10 μ g/mL for *C. albicans*. Antifungal activity of GGR was stronger than those of fluconazole and nystatin against *C. albicans* [10]. Whereas, Soares et al. [27] did not detect any inhibitory effect on the growth of *C. glabrata* isolates at the tested concentrations (GGR MIC > 3200 μ g/mL). We detected MICs \leq 320 μ g/mL for GGR against our *Candida* isolates, while we didn't observe significant synergy, GGR reduced the MICs of antifungals for especially CAS and AmB in combination tests.

Naringenin is a flavonoid derivative from citrus fruits. It has been shown that although NAR and its derivatives had significant antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*, it had no antimicrobial efficacy against Gram-negative bacteria and fungi including *Alternaria* sp., *Rhodotorula rubra* and *C. albicans* even at the high concentrations [28]. Similarly, we detected any activity of NAR neither alone nor in combination against our *Candida* isolates; NAR did not exhibit any decreasing effect on the antifungal MICs.

CUR, known as Indian saffron in Asia, is a polyphenolic compound and cause of the golden colour in turmeric [29]. Martins et al. [29] detected the strong antifungal activity of CUR; it was a more potent antifungal than fluconazole against *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* and 2.5-fold more potent than fluconazole at inhibition of the adhesion to buccal epithelial cells of *C. albicans* or *C. parapsilosis* [29]. Neelofar et al. [30] observed the antifungal activity of CUR against 14 *Candida* strains, with high MICs varying 250-2000 μ g/mL, but CUR was less effective than fluconazole. Sharma et al. [11] detected synergistic interactions along with a 10-35-fold reductions in the MIC80 values of drugs in combinations of CUR with azoles and polyenes against clinical *Candida* isolates. In the present study, CUR had high MICs against *Candida* isolates tested, and no positive interaction was observed causing decreases in the MIC values of antifungals against our isolates.

4. CONCLUSION

We showed that some natural products such as carvacrol, epigallocatechin gallate, curcumin, and ginger, acting as an adjuvant, enhanced the in vitro antifungal effects of voriconazole,

caspofungin and amphotericin B against *Candida* isolates. Although the exact mechanism of action of these products is not clear, these compounds may represent novel agents to be used in combination with available antifungal drugs to lower doses of antifungal, thus toxic side effects may decrease and treatment costs may reduce. However, further studies are necessary to clarify the mechanisms of action and also to prove in vivo efficacies and present study may be a guide for them.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK, grant number: 215S602).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. McCarthy MW, Kontoyiannis DP, Cornely OA, Perfect JR, Walsh TJ. Novel Agents and Drug Targets to Meet the Challenges of Resistant Fungi. *J Infect Dis.* 2017;15: S474-83.
2. Abbaszadeh S, Sharifzadeh A, Shokri H, Khosravi AR, Abbaszadeh A. Antifungal efficacy of thymol, carvacrol, eugenol and menthol as alternative agents to control the growth of food-relevant fungi. *J Mycol Med.* 2014;24:e51-56.
3. Markovic T, Chatzopoulou P, Siljegovic J, Nikolic M, Glamoclija J, Ciric A et al. Chemical analysis and antimicrobial activities of the essential oils of *Satureja thymbra* L. and *Thymbra spicata* L. and their main components. *Arch Biol Sci.* 2011;63:457-64.
4. Bishop CD. Antiviral activity of the essential oil of *Melaleuca alternifolia* (Maiden and Betche) Cheel (tea tree) against tobacco mosaic virus. *J Essent Oil Res.* 1995;7:641-4.
5. Khan R, Zakir M, Afaq SH, Latif A, Khan AU. Activity of solvent extracts of *Prosopis spicigera*, *Zingiber officinale* and *Trachyspermum ammi* against multidrug resistant bacterial and fungal strains. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2010;4:292-300.
6. Marcos-Arias C, Eraso E, Madariaga L, Quindós G. In vitro activities of natural products against oral *Candida* isolates from denture wearers. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2011;11:119.
7. Sharifzadeh A, Shokri H, Abbaszadeh S. Interaction of carvacrol and voriconazole against drug - resistant *Candida* strains isolated from patients with candidiasis. *J Mycol Med.* 2018;piiS1156-5233(18): 30189-6.
8. Katragkou A, McCarthy M, Alexander EL, Antachopoulos C, Meletiadis J, Jabra-Rizk MA et al. *In vitro* interactions between farnesol and fluconazole, amphotericin B or micafungin against *Candida albicans* biofilms. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2015; 70:470-8.
9. Ning Y, Ling J, Wu CD. Synergistic effects of tea catechin epigallocatechin gallate and antimycotics against oral *Candida* species. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2015;60:1565-70.
10. Aghazadeh M, Zahedi Bialvaei A, Aghazadeh M, Kabiri F, Saliani N, Yousefi M et al. Survey of the antibiofilm and antimicrobial effects of zingiber officinale (*in Vitro* Study). *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* 2016;9:e30167.
11. Sharma M, Manoharlal R, Negi AS, Prasad R. Synergistic anticandidal activity of pure polyphenol curcumin I in combination with azoles and polyenes generates reactive oxygen species leading to apoptosis. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 2010;10:570-8.
12. CLSI. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard—Third Edition. CLSI document M27-A3. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.
13. Odds FC. Synergy, antagonism, and what the checkerboard puts between them. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2003;52:1.
14. Ahmad A, Khan A, Manzoor N. Reversal of efflux mediated antifungal resistance underlies synergistic activity of two monoterpenes with fluconazole. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2013;48:80-6.
15. Doke SK, Raut JS, Dhawale S, Karuppayil SM. Sensitization of *Candida albicans* biofilms to fluconazole by terpenoids of plant origin. *J Gen Appl Microbiol.* 2014; 60:163-8.
16. Pinto E, Pina-Vaz C, Salgueiro L, Gonçalves MJ, Costa-de-Oliveira S, Cavaleiro C et al. Antifungal activity of the essential oil of *Thymus pulegioides* on *Candida*, *Aspergillus* and dermatophyte species. *J Med Microbiol.* 2006;55:1367-3.
17. Hornby JM, Jensen EC, Lisec AD, Tasto JJ, Jahnke B, Shoemaker R et al. Quorum

- sensing in the dimorphic fungus *Candida albicans* is mediated by farnesol. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2001;67:2982-92.
18. Lorek J, Pöggeler S, Weide MR, Breves R, Bockmühl DP. Influence of farnesol on the morphogenesis of *Aspergillus niger*. *J Basic Microbiol.* 2008;48:99-103.
 19. Semighini CP, Hornby JM, Dumitru R, Nickerson KW, Harris SD. Farnesol-induced apoptosis in *Aspergillus nidulans* reveals a possible mechanism for antagonistic interactions between fungi. *Mol Microbiol.* 2006;59:753-64.
 20. Cordeiro RA, Teixeira CE, Brilhante RS, Castelo-Branco DS, Paiva MA, Giffoni Leite JJ et al. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of amphotericin B, azoles and caspofungin against *Candida* species are reduced by farnesol. *Med Mycol.* 2013; 51:53-9.
 21. Cabrera C, Giménez R, López MC. Determination of tea components with antioxidant activity. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2003;51:4427-35.
 22. Khan N, Mukhtar H. Multitargeted therapy of cancer by green tea polyphenols. *Cancer Lett.* 2008;269:269–80.
 23. Steinmann J, Buer J, Pietschmann T, Steinmann E. Anti-infective properties of epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), A component of green tea. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2013;168:1059-73.
 24. Chen M, Zhai L, Arendrup MC. *In vitro* activity of 23 tea extractions and epigallocatechin gallate against *Candida* species. *Med Mycol.* 2015;53:194-8.
 25. Navarro-Martínez MD, García-Cánovas F, Rodríguez-López JN. Tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits ergosterol synthesis by disturbing folic acid metabolism in *Candida albicans*. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2006;57:1083-92.
 26. Ahmad B, Rehman MU, Amin I, Arif A, Rasool S, Bhat SA et al. A Review on Pharmacological Properties of Zingerone (4-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-butanone). *Scientific World Journal.* 2015; 2015:816364.
 27. Soares IH, Loreto ÉS, Rossato L, Mario DN, Venturini TP, Baldissera F et al. *In vitro* activity of essential oils extracted from condiments against fluconazole-resistant and -sensitive *Candida glabrata*. *J Mycol Med.* 2015;25:213-7.
 28. Stompor M, Żarowska B. Antimicrobial Activity of Xanthohumol and Its Selected Structural Analogues. *Molecules.* 2016;21:pii:E608.
 29. Martins CV, da Silva DL, Neres AT, Magalhães TF, Watanabe GA, Modolo LV et al. Curcumin as a promising antifungal of clinical interest. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2009;63:337-9.
 30. Neelofar K, Shreaz S, Rimple B, Muralidhar S, Nikhat M, Khan LA. Curcumin as a promising anticandidal of clinical interest. *Can J Microbiol.* 2011;57: 204-10.

© 2019 Oz et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48248>