

Journal of Scientific Research and Reports

Volume 30, Issue 6, Page 961-974, 2024; Article no.JSRR.117838 ISSN: 2320-0227

Management of Orobanche in Mustard

Patel, J. R. a++*, Patel, D. M. a# and Desai, J. S. a†

^a Department of Agronomy, C. P. College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha, Gujarat –385 506, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62113

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117838

Original Research Article

Received: 02/04/2024 Accepted: 04/06/2024 Published: 07/06/2024

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during *rabi* season in 2017-18, 2019-20 and 2021-22 (three years) at Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India having loamy sand soil to study the management of *Orobanche* in mustard. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design and four replications with nine treatments. On three years pooled results rewarded that for securing higher yield and net return keeping mustard crop weed-free (removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) but under laborer scarce situation, advised to carry out a directed spray of glyphosate 25 g active ingredient/ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha at 50-55 DAS on *Orobanche*. Further, weed-free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) also significantly increased seed yield (1809 kg/ha) while maximum net realization and benifit: cost ratio (BCR) was registered with the application of glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS followed by treatment weed free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) in pooled results.

Keywords: Orobanche; mustard; glyphosate; weed free.

Cite as: J. R., Patel, Patel, D. M., and Desai, J. S. 2024. "Management of Orobanche in Mustard". Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 30 (6):961-74. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62113.

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

[#] Professor and Head;

[†] Ph. D. Scholar;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: janak15688@sdau.edu.in;

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the major agricultural crop losses, weeds alone caused severe yield losses ranging from 10% to 95%. Yield losses caused by weeds could vary from crop to crop and region to region. Parasite weeds attack other plants through linkages and draw partially or completely their nutrition from the host. They attach themselves either to the roots or the shoots. They produce a large number of long-lived seeds. Orobanche or Broomrape (Orobanche spp.), locally known as Margoja, Rukhri, Khumbhi or Gulli. is a phanerogamic, obligate, troublesome holo root parasite that lacks chlorophyll and obtains carbon, nutrients, and water through haustoria which connect the parasite with the host vascular system. It germinates only in response to specific chemicals released by the host plant. After germination, the seedling-attached parasite functions as a strong metabolic sink, often named "super sink", strongly competing with the host plant for water, minerals and assimilates. The diversion of these substances to the parasitic weed causes moisture and assimilate starvation, host plant stress and growth inhibition leading to extensive reduction in crop yield and quality in infested fields. Depending upon the extent of infestation, environmental factors, soil fertility, and the crops' response, damage from Orobanche can range from zero to complete crop failure [1-4]. Additionally, a single broomrape plant can produce more than 5 lakh seeds. Keeping this view, the present experiment was planned to study the effectiveness of neem and castor cakes, seed treatment with herbicides and post-emergence application of glyphosate at low doses against Orobanche in mustard crops.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted during the rabi season of the years 2017-18, 2019-20 and 2021-22 (three years) at Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Aariculture. Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar having loamy sand soil to study the management of Orobanche in mustard. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design and four replications with nine treatments viz., T1: Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS, T₂: Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS, T₃: Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation. T4: Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm, T₅: Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm fb

pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation. T₆: Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha, T₇: Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha, T₈: Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche) and T₉: Control. A mustard variety GDM-4 was used as a test crop. The soil texture of the experimental field was loamy sand. Mustard seeds (3.75 kg/ha) were sown at a row distance of 45 cm and 10 cm plant-to-plant distance. Various growth and vield-attributing characteristics of the crop were measured and studied during investigations. Other management practices were followed as recommended. In addition, recommended dose of fertilizer 50: 50: 00 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O/ha was applied commonly in all the treatments. Statistical analysis of the data of various characters studied in a present investigation was carried out with the help of the computer as per appropriate procedure suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) for the design of the experiment [5-7].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect on Plant Population, Growth and Yield Attributes (Pooled)

Pooled data over three years on plant population at 30 DAS and harvest are presented in Table 1. The data revealed that plant population at 30 DAS and harvest was not significantly affected by the different weed management practices [8].

The data presented in Table 2 on plant height (cm) of mustard at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, and at harvest were significantly affected by different weed management treatments in pooled results. Significantly taller plant height at 30 DAS was observed under treatment T8 (weed free: removal of all weeds including Orobanche), but it did not differ significantly from treatments T1 (glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS), T5 (seed treatment with @ sulfosulfuron 1.0 ppm followed bv pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation), T6 (neem cake @ 500 kg/ha), and T7 (castor cake @ 500 kg/ha). Significantly taller plants were observed at 60 DAS and at harvest under the weed-free treatment (removal of all weeds including Orobanche), which remained at par with treatment T1 (glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS) at harvest [9].

The pooled data on number of silique per plant are presented in Table 3 revealed that weed free

(removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) treatment T_8 had registered significantly higher number of silique per plant and it was at par withtreatment T_1 (glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS). Significantly higher number of seeds per siliqua was recorded under treatment T_8 weed free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*), but it was at par with treatments T_1 and T_2 .

The pooled data on the number of siliques per plant, presented in Table 3, reveal that the weedfree treatment (removal of all weeds including Orobanche), designated as T8, registered a significantly higher number of siliques per plant. This treatment was on par with treatment T1 (glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS) [10].

Additionally, a significantly higher number of seeds per silique was recorded under the weed-free treatment T8, although it was comparable to treatments T1 and T2. Furthermore, the weed-free treatment T8 also registered the highest

1000-seed weight, significantly surpassing the rest of the treatments.

The data on seed and stover yield of mustard are presented in Table 4 indicated that different weed control treatments exerted significant effect on seed and stover yield. Treatment T_8 (Weed free: removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) produced significantly the highest seed yield of 1809 kg/ha, followed by treatment T_1 (1604 kg/ha). Similarly, weed free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) treatment (T_8) registered significantly the highest stover yield (4143 kg/ha).

3.2 Bio-Assay Study

Plant stand of succeeding bajra crop was found non-significant. It indicated that no residual effect of herbicide was observed on succeeding crop bajra. Phytotoxic effect due to any of the herbicidal treatments on succeeding bajra was not observed in mean data of three years. (Table 10).



Fig. 1T1. Glyphosate @ 25 ga.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS



Fig. 2T₈. Weed free (Removal of allweeds includingorobanche)

Treatments	Plant population per metre row length									
		2	5 DAS	• •	•	At	harvest			
	2017-18	2019-20	2021-22	Pooled	2017-18	2019-20	2021-22	Pooled		
T ₁ : Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	6.57	6.55	6.51	6.54	6.37	6.22	6.33	6.30		
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	6.52	6.54	6.56	6.54	6.35	6.19	6.30	6.28		
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	6.45	6.49	6.52	6.49	6.31	6.17	6.26	6.25		
T4: Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0	6.47	6.42	6.50	6.46	6.32	6.18	6.24	6.24		
ppm										
T ₅ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	6.51	6.45	6.48	6.48	6.26	6.14	6.29	6.22		
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	6.54	6.41	6.47	6.47	6.24	6.11	6.20	6.18		
T ₇ : Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	6.49	6.45	6.57	6.50	6.27	6.08	6.28	6.21		
T ₈ : Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	6.55	6.59	6.60	6.58	6.40	6.27	6.39	6.35		
T ₉ : Control	6.60	6.47	6.54	6.54	6.03	6.00	6.09	6.03		
S.Em ±	0.23	0.24	0.22	0.12	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.13		
CD (P= 0.05) Y * T	NS	NS	NS	NS NS	NS	NS	NS	NS NS		
CV (%)	7.14	7.48	6.72	7.12	7.08	7.04	7.90	7.36		

Table 1. Effect of different treatments on plant population of mustard

Treatments	Plant height (cm)											
		30	DAS			60	DAS			At h	arvest	
	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled
T ₁ : Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	32.3	38.1	35.3	35.2	123.2	126.7	125.2	125.1	156.9	156.0	160.8	157.9
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	31.6	36.7	34.5	34.3	119.0	123.9	120.7	121.2	150.3	148.6	156.3	151.7
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	31.0	37.6	33.3	34.0	107.2	111.2	113.4	110.6	140.7	141.8	145.5	142.7
T ₄ :Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	30.7	36.5	33.8	33.6	106.2	109.1	110.8	108.7	135.5	136.8	138.5	137.0
T ₅ :Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm fb pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	31.9	38.1	34.3	34.8	115.8	118.6	119.9	118.1	145.1	143.7	154.6	147.8
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	33.6	37.8	34.0	35.1	111.5	117.6	117.6	115.6	132.4	129.6	142.8	134.9
T7: Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	33.8	38.9	36.0	36.2	117.2	120.4	122.0	119.9	139.3	133.6	150.4	141.1
T ₈ :Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	33.0	41.5	35.7	36.8	134.3	136.5	137.6	136.1	168.0	165.5	170.3	167.9
T ₉ : Control	29.1	37.1	31.3	32.5	100.4	102.2	105.4	102.7	127.3	121.1	129.8	126.1
S.Em ±	1.2	1.6	1.4	0.8	5.6	5.5	5.9	3.0	7.2	6.6	7.2	3.7
CD (P= 0.05) Y * T	NS	NS	NS	2.2 NS	16.4	15.9	17.2	8.3 NS	21.1	19.2	20.9	10.33 NS
CV (%)	7.41	8.31	7.92	7.96	9.76	9.20	9.90	9.62	10.06	9.25	9.54	9.53

Table 2. Effect of different treatments on plant height of mustard

Treatments	Νι	umber of	silique/	plant	Nu	Number of seeds/siliqua				Test weight (g)			
	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled	
T ₁ : Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	281.4	283.8	291.4	285.5	13.0	12.4	12.3	12.6	4.72	4.83	4.90	4.81	
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	276.3	267.7	287.2	277.0	12.9	12.2	12.0	12.3	4.56	4.71	4.68	4.65	
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	251.2	253.5	269.4	258.0	12.3	11.4	11.5	11.7	4.39	4.55	4.40	4.45	
T ₄ :Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	244.3	240.2	249.6	244.7	12.0	11.2	11.0	11.4	4.26	4.37	4.32	4.32	
T₅: Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	267.0	262.7	279.2	269.6	12.6	11.6	11.8	12.0	4.49	4.63	4.65	4.59	
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	241.2	225.5	240.9	235.9	11.8	11.1	10.8	11.2	4.15	4.03	4.18	4.12	
T7: Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	260.0	236.2	273.3	256.5	12.5	11.2	11.2	11.6	4.51	4.11	4.47	4.36	
T ₈ : Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	297.0	301.7	299.4	299.4	13.3	12.5	12.7	12.8	5.20	5.17	5.25	5.20	
T ₉ : Control	197.5	184.8	207.4	196.6	11.9	10.2	10.3	10.5	3.61	3.52	3.73	3.62	
S.Em ±	12.9	13.1	14.3	7.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.090	
CD (P= 0.05) Y * T	37.8	38.2	41.7	20.23 NS	NS	NS	NS	0.7 NS	0.45	0.52	0.47	0.25 NS	
CV (%)	10.06	10.44	10.73	10.42	7.79	8.65	8.78	8.39	7.03	8.01	7.08	7.38	

Table 3. Effect of different treatments on number of silique/plant, number of seeds/siliqua and test weight of mustard

Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha) Stover yield (kg						eld (kg/ha	a)
	2017-	2019-	2021-	Pooled	2017-	2019-	2021-	Pooled
	18	20	22		18	20	22	
T1: Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	1631 ^b	1517 ^b	1654 ^a	1604 ^b	3693 ^b	3466 ^b	3806 ^b	3653 ^b
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	1445 ^{bc}	1328°	1424 ^b	1399°	3240°	2962°	3108°	3103°
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as	997 ^e	1176 ^{cd}	1103 ^{cd}	1092 ^{de}	2134 ^f	2544 ^e	2362 ^f	2347 ^{de}
herbigation								
T ₄ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	957 ^e	1020 ^{de}	1086 ^{cd}	1021 ^e	2011 ^h	2171 ^f	2345 ^g	2176 ^e
T ₅ :Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg	1205 ^d	1272°	1307 ^{bc}	1261 ^{cd}	2602 ^e	2771 ^d	2898 ^d	2758 ^{cd}
a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation								
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	936 ^e	820 ^f	972 ^d	908 ^e	2087 ^g	1883 ^h	2206 ^h	2058 ^e
T7: Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	1280 ^{cd}	848 ^{ef}	1155 ^{cd}	1094 ^{de}	2781 ^d	1984 ^g	2578 ^e	2448 ^{de}
T ₈ :Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	1870 ^a	1718 ^a	1835 ^a	1809 ^a	4295 ^a	4010 ^a	4133 ^a	4143 ^a
T ₉ : Control	618 ^f	531 ^g	645 ^e	598 ^f	1297 ⁱ	1125 ⁱ	1389 ⁱ	1270 ^f
S.Em ±	70.5	62.0	74.2	42.14	137.0	157	145	87
CD (P= 0.05)	205.9	180.8	216.6	118.4	400.1	460	425	245
Y * T				NS				NS
_CV (%)	11.59	10.90	11.95	11.53	10.22	11.48	10.57	10.71

Table 4. Effect of different treatments on seed and stover yields of mustard

Table 5. Effect o	f different treatments	on econom	ics of	fmustard
-------------------	------------------------	-----------	--------	----------

Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Gross return (₹/ha)	Net return (₹/ha)	BCR
T1: Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	1604	3653	37531	98067	60535	2.61
T2: Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	1399	3103	38741	85492	46750	2.21
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	1092	2347	37989	66694	28704	1.76
T ₄ :Seed treatmentwith sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	1021	2176	36221	62348	26127	1.72
T ₅ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	1261	2758	38159	77039	38880	2.02
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	908	2058	47231	55509	8278	1.18
T ₇ : Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	1094	2448	42131	66864	24733	1.59
T ₈ : Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	1809	4143	45571	1,10,611	65040	2.43
T ₉ : Control	598	1270	32201	36515	4314	1.13

Selling price: Mustard Seed :₹ 60/kg Stover :₹0.50/kg

Treatments	No. of Orobanche/m row length											
		55	DAS			65	5 DAS					
	2017-	2019-	2021-	Pooled	2017-	2019-	2021-22	Pooled				
	18	20	22		18	20						
T1: Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha	1.72 ^e	1.71 ^e	1.69 ^e	1.71 ^e	1.68 ^e	1.62 ^f	1.61 ^e	1.64 ^e				
@ 50-55 DAS	(2.50)	(2.44)	(2.37)	(2.42)	(2.35)	(2.12)	(2.10)	(2.19)				
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	1.98 ^d	2.01 ^d	1.86 ^d	1.95 ^d	1.99 ^d	2.04 ^e	1.91 ^d	1.98 ^d				
	(3.43)	(3.55)	(2.96)	(3.31)	(3.46)	(3.66)	(3.15)	(3.42)				
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-	2.31°	2.33°	2.09 ^c	2.24 ^c	2.37°	2.37 ^d	2.17°	2.30°				
35 DAS as herbigation	(4.84)	(4.92)	(3.89)	(4.53)	(5.13)	(5.16)	(4.22)	(4.80)				
T ₄ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	2.36°	2.38 ^c	0.12°	2.29°	2.47°	2.50 ^{cd}	2.21°	2.39 ^c				
	(5.09)	(5.18)	(4.02)	(4.73)	(5.54)	(5.73)	(4.41)	(5.20)				
T ₅ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm fb	2.02 ^d	2.04 ^d	1.93 ^d	1.99 ^d	2.05 ^d	2.09 ^e	2.00 ^d	2.04 ^d				
pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-	(3.59)	(3.69)	(3.21)	(3.48)	(3.71)	(3.85)	(3.51)	(3.68)				
35 DAS as herbigation												
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	2.65 ^b	2.56 ^b	2.37 ^b	2.52 ^b	2.72 ^b	2.66 ^{bc}	2.39 ^b	2.59 ^b				
-	(6.53)	(6.05)	(5.10)	(5.87)	(6.92)	(6.59)	(5.26)	(6.23)				
T ₇ : Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	2.33°	2.68 ^b	2.09 ^c	2.36 ^c	2.38°	2.73 ^b	2.19°	2.43 ^{bc}				
-	(4.92)	(6.68)	(3.92)	(5.09)	(5.17)	(6.96)	(4.30)	(5.42)				
T8:Weed free (Removal of all weeds including	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^g	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f				
Orobanche)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)				
T ₉ : Control	3.03ª	3.16ª	3.07ª	3.09 ^a	3.20ª	3.22ª	3.15ª	3.18ª				
	(8.69)	(9.49)	(8.96)	(9.04)	(9.74)	(9.92)	(9.42)	(9.63)				
S.Em ±	0.053	0.054	0.049	0.058	0.056	0.068	0.055	0.056				
CD (P= 0.05)	0.154	0.157	0.142	0.170	0.162	0.198	0.161	0.17				
ΥxΤ				0.145				0.17				
_ CV (%)	4.96	4.95	4.87	4.94	5.12	6.15	5.41	5.59				

Table 6. Effect of different treatments on Orobanche population at 55 and 65 DAS

*Figures in the parenthesis are original values. All Figures are square root ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$) transformed values

Treatments			No. of O	robanche	count/m	row lengt	h	
		75	DAS			At h	arvest	
	2017-	2019-	2021-	Pooled	2017-	2019-	2021-	Pooled
	18	20	22		18	20	22	
T1: Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	1.74 ^e	1.73 ^e	1.74 ^d	1.76 ^e	2.11 ^f	1.87 ^e	1.96 ^e	1.98 ^f
	(2.52)	(2.50)	(2.52)	(2.51)	(3.96)	(2.99)	(3.33)	(3.41)
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	2.05 ^d	2.12 ^d	1.96°	2.05 ^d	2.35 ^e	2.31 ^d	2.19 ^d	2.28 ^e
	(3.72)	(4.03)	(3.37)	(2.39)	(5.03)	(4.85)	(4.31)	(4.71)
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as	2.47°	2.40 ^c	2.33 ^b	2.40°	2.62 ^{cd}	2.49 ^{cd}	2.45°	2.52 ^{cd}
herbigation	(5.62)	(5.27)	(4.93)	(5.26)	(6.40)	(5.70)	(5.51)	(5.85)
T ₄ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	2.50 ^c	2.53°	2.38 ^b	2.47 ^{bc}	2.69°	2.57°	2.48 ^c	2.58°
	(5.78)	(5.91)	(5.19)	(5.60)	(6.72)	(6.10)	(5.64)	(6.14)
T ₅ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg	2.11 ^d	2.16 ^d	2.12 [℃]	2.13 ^d	2.48 ^{de}	2.36 ^d	2.25 ^d	2.36 ^{de}
a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	(3.98)	(4.19)	(4.00)	(4.04)	(5.62)	(5.07)	(4.59)	(5.06)
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	2.74 ^b	2.76 ^b	2.41 ^b	2.63 ^b	2.89 ^b	2.77 ^b	2.66 ^b	2.77 ^b
	(7.02)	(7.11)	(5.32)	(6.44)	(7.86)	(7.20)	(6.57)	(7.19)
T ₇ : Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	2.48 ^c	2.78 ^b	2.37 ^b	2.54 ^{bc}	2.67 ^{cd}	2.87 ^b	2.47°	2.67 ^{bc}
	(5.65)	(2.25)	(5.13)	(5.97)	(6.65)	(7.76)	(5.61)	(6.63)
T8: Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^e	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^g	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^f	0.71 ^g
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
T ₉ : Control	3.25 ^a	3.27ª	3.16 ^a	3.23ª	3.48 ^a	3.54ª	3.40 ^a	3.47ª
	(10.05)	(10.25)	(9.51)	(9.92)	(11.61)	(12.09)	(11.06)	(11.55)
S.Em ±	0.071	0.061	0.062	0.375	0.066	0.063	0.055	0.048
CD (P= 0.05)	0.208	0.179	0.182	0.105	0.193	0.184	0.160	0.14
Y * T				NS				0.17
_ CV (%)	6.36	5.37	5.86	5.87	5.43	5.28	4.79	5.19

Table 7. Effect of different treatments on Orobanche population at 75 DAS and at harvest

*Figures in the parenthesis are original values. All figures are square root ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$) transformed values

Treatments		Dry weigl	ht (kg/net p	lot)	Orobanche reduction over cont (%)			ver control
	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled	2017- 18	2019- 20	2021- 22	Pooled
T ₁ : Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	0.83 ^d (0.18)	0.86 ^d (0.23)	0.84 ^f (0.21)	0.84 ^f (0.21)	79.52	78.22	75.97	78.03
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	0.89⁰ (0.31)	0.94 ^c (0.38)	0.92 ^e (0.35)	0.92 ^e (0.35)	64.84	64.79	60.39	63.07
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	0.99 ^b (0.48)	0.96 ^c (0.42)	0.97 ^{cd} (0.44)	0.97 ^{cd} (0.44)	45.74	60.99	50.56	53.20
T ₄ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	0.99 ^b (0.48)	0.98 ^c (0.47)	0.99 ^{bc} (0.50)	0.99 ^{bc} (0.48)	44.97	56.38	43.67	48.87
T ₅ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	0.92 ^c (0.34)	0.95 ^c (0.40)	0.94 ^{de} (0.39)	0.93 ^{de} (0.37)	61.52	62.95	55.66	60.61
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	1.01 ^b (0.56)	1.03 (0.56)	1.03 ^b (0.56)	1.02 ^b (0.54)	42.53	47.52	36.15	42.46
T ₇ : Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	0.98 ^b (0.45)	1.05 ^b (0.60)	0.98 ^{cd} (0.46)	1.00 ^{bc} (0.51	48.56	43.30	48.09	46.22
T ₈ : Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	0.71 ^e (0.00)	0.71 ^e (0.00)	0.71 ^g (0.00)	0.71 ^g (0.00)	100	100	100	100
T ₉ : Control	1.17ª (0.88)	1.25ª (1.07)	1.18ª (0.88)	1.20ª (0.94)	0	0	0	0
S.Em ± CD (P= 0.05)	0.012 0.034	0.016 0.045	0.015 0.043	0.008 0.04	-	-	-	-
Y * T CV (%)	2.42	3.26	3.15	0.04 2.98	-	-	-	-

Table 8. Effect of different treatments on dry weight and reduction of Orobanche

*Figures in the parenthesis are original values. All figures are square root ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$) transformed values

Treatments	Weed index (%)						
	2017-18	2019-20	2021-22	Pooled			
T ₁ : Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	12.48	11.61	9.89	11.33			
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE of orobanche at 42-45 DAS	22.80	22.70	22.40	22.66			
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE of <i>orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	46.69	31.55	39.89	39.64			
T ₄ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	48.89	40.61	40.81	43.56			
T ₅ : Seed treatment with sulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE of <i>Orobanche</i> at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	35.66	25.98	28.80	30.29			
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	50.09	52.27	47.04	49.81			
T7: Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	31.74	50.63	37.05	39.52			
T ₈ : Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
T ₉ : Control	67.01	69.11	64.85	66.94			

Table 9. Effect of different treatments on weed index of mustard

Table 10. Bio-assay study and phyto toxicity rating on succeeding bajra (Mean data)

Treatments	Plant stand at 15 DAS per meter row length	phototoxicity rating (0 to 10 scale)
T1: Glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS	9.3	0.0
T ₂ : Glyphosate @ 0.25% PE to Orobanche at 42-45 DAS	9.6	0.0
T ₃ : Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation	9.3	0.0
T ₄ : Seedtreatmentwithsulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm	9.3	0.0
T ₅ : Seedtreatmentwithsulfosulfuron @ 1.0 ppm <i>fb</i> pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i./ha PE to	9.3	0.0
Orobanche at 30-35 DAS as herbigation		
T ₆ : Neem cake @ 500 kg/ha	9.6	0.0
T ₇ : Castor cake @ 500 kg/ha	9.6	0.0
T ₈ : Weed free (Removal of all weeds including Orobanche)	9.3	0.0
T ₉ : Control	9.6	0.0

3.3 Effect on Orobanche

Maintaining weed free condition (removal of all weeds including Orobanche) had recorded significantly the lowest Orobanche count per meter row length at 55, 65, 75 DAS and at harvest, over rest of the treatments tested in present study during individual years and in pooled data. Amona different herbicidal treatments, application of glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS significantly lowered the population of Orobanche count per meter row length at 55, 65, 75 DAS and at harvest (Table 6 and 7). Significantly the lowest dry weight of Orobanche at harvest was obtained under weed free treatment (removal of all weeds including Orobanche). When comparison done among different herbicidial treatments, application of glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS registered minimum dry weight of Orobanche plants over other treatments.

3.4 Economics

Maximum net realization was obtained under treatment T₈ weed free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) followed by glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS (Table 5). Higher benefit cost ratio was observed with application of glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha @ 50-55 DAS followed by removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*.

4. CONCLUSION

On three years pooled results rewarded that for securing higher yield and net return keep mustard crop weed free (removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) but under labourer scarce situation, advised to carry out directed spray of glyphosate 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g a.i./ha at 50-55 DAS on *Orobanche*. Further, weed free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) also significantly increased seed yield (1809 kg/ha) while maximum net realization and benifit: cost ratio (BCR) was registered with application of glyphosate @ 25 g a.i./ha at 25-30 DAS and 50 g/ha @ 50-55 DAS followed by treatment weed free (Removal of all weeds including *Orobanche*) in pooled results.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dhanapal GN, Struik PC, Udayakumar M and Timmermans PCJM. Management of broomrape (Orobanche spp.): A review. Journal of Crop Science. 1996;165:335-359..
- Yernaidu Y, Reddy GRM, Sriram K, Babu MEK, Sravani P. Optimizing Weed Control: A Review of Integrated Weed Management in Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). J. Exp. Agric. Int. 2024;46(5):654-71.

[Accessed on:2024 May 19]. Available:https://journaljeai.com/index.php/ JEAI/article/view/2420

- Tyagi S, Kumar A, Acharya SS, Paul SC. Effect of Nutrient Levels and Weed Management on Weed Control Efficiency, Grain Yield and Economics of Mustard. Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol. [Internet]. 2022 Jun. 20 [cited 2024 May 19];41(19):57-7. Available:https://journalcjast.com/index.ph p/CJAST/article/view/3888
- Shekhawat K, Rathore SS, Dass A, Das TK, Mahajan G, Chauhan BS. Weed menace and management strategies for enhancing oilseed brassicas production in the Indian sub-continent: A review. Crop protection. 2017;96:245-57.
- Das T, Singh T, Sonnad P. (2023). Broomrape (*Orobanche* sp.) Management in Indian Mustard. Chronicle of Bioresource Management, 7(Mar, 1), 011-015.
- Punia SS, Yadav DB, Punia T. Effectiveness of different methods for controlling Orobanche in mustard. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2020;52(1):43-46.
- 7. Punia SS, Duhon A, Sharma AR. Management of Orobanche in mustard crop through glyphosate application. Indian Farming. 2018;68(08):14–15.
- Rathore SS, Shekhawat K, Premi OP, Kandpal BK, Chauhan JS. Biology and management of the fast-emerging threat of broomrape in rapeseed–mustard. Weed Biology and Management. 2014; 14(3):145–158.
- Sheoran P, Punia SS, Singh S, Singh D. Orobanche weed management in mustard: Opportunities, possibilities and limitations. Journal of Oilseed Brassica. 2014;5(2):96– 101.

Patel et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 961-974, 2024; Article no.JSRR.117838

10. Singh SP, Yadav RS, Godara AS, Bairwa RC. Screening of herbicides for broomrape (Orobanche) control in

mustard. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2020;52(1):99-101.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117838