

Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International

33(58B): 108-117, 2021; Article no.JPRI.77382

ISSN: 2456-9119

(Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919,

NLM ID: 101631759)

Community Insights Regarding COVID-19 Vaccine Uptake Intention versus Hesitancy

Khalida Naz Memon ^{a≡}, Kanwal Naz Ariser ^{bω}, Rafaina Shah ^{bω}, Muhammad Ilyas Siddiqui ^{a#}, Shanti Chouhan ^{c†*} and Sindhu Almas ^{dω‡}

^a F/O Community Medicine and Public Health Sciences, Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan.

^d Community Medicine, LUMHS, Jamshoro, Pakistan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i58B34178

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77382

Original Research Article

Received 12 November 2021 Accepted 14 December 2021 Published 15 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: It is widely believed that vaccine hesitancy is prevalent. Achievement of COVID-19 vaccination depends upon public willingness towards immunization against this disease. This study aimed at revealing the underlying situation regarding community hesitancy for COVID-19 vaccine. This study was conducted to determine the level of acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine uptake among community and to seek association between socio-demographic factors and various perceptions about COVID-19 vaccine.

Methods and Materials: A cross-sectional study was carried out on 390 health-care providers and general Pakistani people of age 20 years and above through non probability snowball sampling. An online questionnaire was used to gather data. The socio-demographic variables along with various

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shantichohan88@gmail.com, shanti.kumar@aku.edu;

^b Department of Community Medicine, Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan.

^c Aga Khan University (AKU), Post-graduate of Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences, Jamshoro. Pakistan.

[■] Dean;

^ω Lecturer;

[#] Chairman,

[†] Research Associate;

[‡] Dr.:

concepts regarding intentions to take vaccine as well as hesitancy for the same were tried to bring on the surface. The p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: This study reveals that 61.28% of participants desired to get vaccinated. There was significant association between the desire to get vaccination with participant's age & occupation (p-value < 0.01). The significant association between the positive views of the health-care providers and the other study subjects (p<0.00) is highly encouraging. The other socio-demographic variables have varied effect on vaccine uptake intentions and hesitancy and require further research on this subject.

Conclusion: The study conducted on three hundred & ninety respondents consistently reveals the significant association between the positive views of the health care providers and the other study subjects with the p-values lesser than 0.001. The study concludes that other socio-demographic fabric of the community showed varied effect on COVID 19 vaccine uptake intentions and hesitancy.

Recommendation: 1. The broad based qualitative research is strongly recommended in order to better seek the community insights & perceptions regarding this public health issue.

2. The large number of Community people although want to get vaccinated against covid-19, still there is a widespread prevalent doubts about this vaccine as being not so safe for them. Such type of doubts among community necessitate that some counselling sessions be conducted in order to remove uncertainties among common people. Motivations of people need to be raised so as to increase the coverage of covid-19 vaccination.

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccination; intensions; vaccine hesitancy; vaccine uptake.

1. INTRODUCTION

The corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has imposed a serious disease burden throughout the world in an unfortunate background of unavailability of any specific antiviral treatment for this disease [1-2]. Immunization is the most successful and costeffective health intervention for controlling the infectious diseases. Similar is the case with vaccines against COVID-19 which remain of great importance to prevent and control COVID-19 [3,4,5]. However, the achievement and coverage of COVID-19 vaccination program depends on consumers' willingness acceptance for the vaccination [6]. Therefore, it is important to know the consumers' perceptions regarding vaccination against a newly emerged disease. However, public perception towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake is not available. Generally, consumers have queries regarding the safety and efficacy of the vaccine, adverse health outcomes etc. Besides are prevailing there myths misconceptions about the need for vaccination and lack of trust in the health system [7-8]. Compliance for immunization against a novel strain of influenza altogether changes due lack of knowledge among the community regarding vaccine-preventable diseases [9]. Misinformation leading towards vaccine hesitancy could put public health at risk in responding to the current crisis [10]. Vaccine hesitancy is characterized by

unwillingness or delay in acceptance and even refusal of vaccination although the service of vaccination is available. This could be due to failure of effective public health messaging because people who are hesitant can be convinced towards the vaccines' safety, efficacy, and necessity [11].

With the surge of post marketing phase of COVID-19 vaccines in different countries, community reservations regarding uptake of this vaccine is highly expected. This situation is more serious in the scenario of using imported vaccines in Pakistan. This situation necessitates that researcher should come forward to have an insight into perceptions of common people regarding this vaccine.

This study helps in finding out false perceptions regarding COVID-19 vaccine; this will in turn help in designing simple health education messages to motivate common peoples about COVID-19 vaccine and ultimately it will increase the vaccine uptake rate in developing countries.

2. OBJECTIVES

- 1. To determine the level of acceptance of COVID 19 vaccine uptake among community.
- 2. To seek association between sociodemographic factors & various perceptions about covid-19 vaccine among study subjects.

3. METHODOLOGY

This Cross-sectional study was carried out among 390 health care providers and general Pakistani people above age 20 years via non probability snowball sampling. An online predesigned close ended Google form questionnaire was used to gather data about the community perceptions regarding COVID 19 vaccination. The study was completed in 4 months after the approval of ERC. Respondents of either gender, above 20 years of age were included in the study. The data was analyzed by using SPSS 23.00 and the associations between variables were sought by applying chi-square test, with p< 0.05 set as cut-off for level of significance.

4. RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of three hundred & ninety respondents are summarized in Table 1. There was slight males' preponderance in comparison to females i.e.52.31% males against 47.69% females. The age distribution of respondents was 40.89 ± 3.54 years. The gender wise segregated age distribution was observed as 39.40 ± 4.34 years in males as compared to 42.39 ± 4.85 years in females. Majority of the (95.90%) belonged to Sindh respondents province and the participation from other provinces was only 4.10%. The 43.08% participants were health care providers while 56.92% were related to other occupations as shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows that 61.28% of participants said that they want to get COVID 19 vaccination. 31.28% of participants said that COVID-19

vaccine provides full protection while others 68.72% said it doesn't provide full protection.46.2% said COVID-9 vaccine safe for all ages. The 42.56% participants were of opinion that COVID-19 vaccine cause severe side effect. The 12.56% respondents were afraid thatCOVID-19 vaccine cause infertility; while 16.56% thought that these vaccines contain microchips. The 27.44% showed their desire to get vaccinated after recovery of COVID -19.

Table 3 shows the significant associations between the desire to get vaccination with participants age & their occupation (p- value < 0.01). Gender of participants revealed consistent in significant association with desire to get vaccination (p= 0.35), vaccine providing complete protection against COVID-19 (p = 0.52), vaccine safety for all ages (p= 0.13) and participants opinion about prior adequate testing of vaccine (p= 0.23). Contrasting to this, segregation of study participants into health care providers & other occupations consistently depicted significant associations with all the variables related to their opinion regarding uptake of vaccine i.e. p= < 0.01.

Table 4 shows that associations between nature of occupation & perceived health hazards of COVID -19 vaccine ere all statistically significant (p <0.001) except the perception that COVID-19 vaccines contain the microchip for population tracking (p=0.38). the gender of the respondents showed no significant association with any of the perceived health hazards; while age of the respondents revealed significant results with perceptions of the respondents that the vaccine may lead to death (p< 0.001).

Table 1. Demographic distribution of study participants

Demographic characteristic					
	Age Groups	Frequencies (%)			
	20 - 30 years	228(58.46%)			
	31- 40 years	55 (14.10%)			
AGE	41-50 years	54(13.84%)			
	51 - 60 years	32 (8.20%)			
	> 60 years	21(5.40%)			
GENDER	Male	204(52.31%)			
	Female	186(47.69%)			
PROVINCE	Sindh	374(95.90%)			
	Punjab	11(2.82%)			
	Balochistan	5(1.28%)			
OCCUPATION	Health care providers	168(43.08%)			
	Other than health care providers	222(56.92%)			

Table 2. Community insights regarding COVID-19 vaccine

n =390

Variable		Frequency (390)	%
Want to get COVD-19 vaccination	Yes	239	61.28%
Š	No	151	38.72%
COVID-19 vaccine provides full protection	Yes	122	31.28%
	No	268	68.72%
COVID-9 vaccine is safe for all ages	Yes	180	46.15%
	No	210	53.85%
COVID-19 has undergone adequate test	Yes	153	39.23%
• •	No	237	60.77%
COVID-19 vaccine causes severe side effects	Yes	166	42.56%
	No	224	57.44%
COVID-19 vaccine causes infertility	Yes	49	12.56%
•	No	145	37.18%
	Not sure	196	50.26%
	Yes	117	30.00%
COVID 19 vaccine causes death	No	273	70.00%
COVID-19 vaccine contains a microchip	Yes	63	16.15%
·	No	327	83.85%
COVID-19 vaccine can make sick with corona	Yes	166	42.56%
virus	No	224	57.44%
Still need to get vaccinated after recovery of	Yes	107	27.44%
COVID -19	No	34	8.72%
	Not had COVID 19	249	63.84%

Table 3. Association between socio-demographic profile and views about covid-19 vaccine safety

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE	Do you want to get COVID-19 vaccination?				p-	
			n=390			values
AGE	Yes		No)		_
20 -30 years	160	(70.18%)	68	3	(29.82%)	_
31 – 40 years	30	(54.55%)	25	5	(45.45%)	
41 -50 years	27	(50.00%)	27	•	(50.00%)	< 0.01*
51 -60 years	12	(37.50%)	20)	(62.50%)	
> 60 years	10	(47.62%)	11		(52.38%)	
GENDER						
Male	120	(58.82%)	84	ļ	(41.18%)	0.35
Female	119	(63.98%)	67	•	(36.02%)	
OCCUPATION						
Health care providers	136	(80.95%)	32	<u>-</u>	(19.05%)	< 0.01*
Other than health care providers	101	(45.50%	12	21	(54.50%)	
	Do	you think CO	VID- 19 vaccin	ер	rovides full	
			protection?			
AGE						
20 – 30 years	75	(32.89%)	15	3	(67.11%)	
31 – 40 years	17	(30.91%)	38	3	(69.09%	
41 – 50 years	19	(35.18%	35	5	(64.82%)	0.24
51 – 60 years	9	(28.12%)	23	3	(71.88%)	
> 60 years	2	(9.52%)	19)	(90.48%)	
GENDER						
Male	64	(31.37%)	14	10	(68.63%)	0.52
Female	58	(31.18%)	12	28	(68.82%)	
OCCUPATION						
Health care providers	66	(39.28%)	10)2	(60.72%)	
Other than health care providers	56	(25.23%)	16	6	(74.77%)	< 0.01*

	Do y	ou think COVID-	19 vaccine is ges?	safe for all		
AGE						
20 – 30 years	112	(49.12%)	116	(50.88%)		
31 – 40 years	24	(43.63%)	31	(56.36%)		
41 – 50 years	25	(46.29%)	29	(53.71%)	0.41	
51 – 60 years	13	(40.63%)	19	(59.37%)		
> 60	6	(28.58%)	15	(71.42%)		
GENDER						
Male	100	(49.02%)	104	(50.98%)	0.13	
Female	80	(43.01%)	106	(56.99%)		
OCCUPATION		,		,		
Health care providers	102	(60.72%)	66	(39.28%)		
Other than health care providers	78	(35.13%)	144	(64.87%)	< 0.001	
•	Do you think that COVID-19 has undergone					
	adequate test?					
AGE		-				
20 – 30 years	100	(43.85%)	128	(56.15%)		
31 – 40 years	23	(41.82%)	32	(58.18%)		
41 – 50 years	15	(27.78%)	39	(72.22%)	0.10	
51 – 60 years	10	(31.25%)	22(68	3.7 5 %)		
> 60 years	4	(19.05%)		30.95%)		
GENDER		,	`	,		
Male	76(3 ⁻	7.25%)	128(6	32.75%)	0.23	
Female	•	1.39%́)	`	58.60%)		
OCCUPATION	`	,		,		
Health care providers	85 (5	50.59%)	83(49	9.41%)		
Other than health care providers	,	0.64%)	,	69.36%)	<	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-	• - /	2.(-	/	0.001*	

Table 4. Association between socio-demographic profile and views about perceived health hazards of covid-19 vaccine

SOCIO-DEMOGRAF PROFILE	•	Do you think that COVID_19 vaccine cause severe side effect? n=390				
AGE	Do y	ou think that COVID_1	9 vaccine cause severe side e	ffect?		
	<u>n=39</u>					
	YES		NO	<u></u>		
20 – 30 years	84	(36.85%)	144 (63.15%)			
31 – 40 years	23	(41.81%)	32 (58.19%)			
41 – 50 years	28	(51.85%)	26 (48.15%)			
51 – 60 years	19	(59.37%)	13 (40.63%)	0.33		
> 60 years	12	(57.15%)	9 (42.85%)			
GENDER						
Male	89	(43.62%)	115 (56.38%)	0.65		
Female	77	(41.39%)	109 (58.61%)			
OCCUPATION						
Health care providers	s 47	(27.97%)	121 (72.03%)			
Other than health ca	re 119	(53.61%)	103 (46.39%)	< 0.001*		
providers						
		accine causes infertility				
	es	No	Not sure			
•	0(8.78%)	95 (41.66%)	113(49.56%)			
31 – 40 years 1	`	%) 15 (27.27%)	30 (54.54%)			
41 -50 years 9	(16.66	,	25 (46.29%)	0.14		
51 -60 years 5	(15.62	, , , ,	16(50.01%)			
> 60 years 5	(23.829	%) 4 (19.04%)	12 (57.14%)			

SOCIO-DEMOGRA PROFILE	λPH	IC Do you th effect? n=		at COVID_19 va	accine ca	use severe side	p - value
GENDER							
Male	26	(12.75%)	74	(36.27%)	104	(50.98%)	0.92
Female	23	(12.36%)	71	(38.17%)	92	(49.47%)	
OCCUPATION							
Health care	10	(5.95%)	80	(47.63%)	78	(46.42%)	
providers							< 0.001*
Other than health	39	(17.58%)	65	(29.27%)	118(53.15%)	
care providers							
Do you think that	CO	VID - 19 vaccir	ne can	cause death?			
AGE			Yes		No		
20 -30 years			42	(18.43%)	186	(81.57%)	
31 – 40 years			24	(43.63%)	31	(56.37%)	
41 -50 years			24	(44.45%)	30	(55.55%)	< 0.001*
51 -60 years			16	(50.00%)	16	(50.00%)	
> 60 years			11	(52.38%)	10	(47.62%)	
GENDER							
Male			68	(33.34%)	136	(66.66%)	0.13
Female			49	(26.35%)	137	(73.65%)	
OCCUPATION							
Health care provide	ers		24	(14.28%)	144	(85.72%)	< 0.001*
Other than health c	are	providers	93	(41.89%)	129	(58.11%)	
Do you think that	CO	VID-19 vaccine	e conta	ains a Microchi	ip to trac	k population?	
AGE			Yes	1	No		
20 -30 years			32	(14.04%)	196	(85.96%)	
31 – 40 years			10	(18.19%)	45	(81.81%)	
41 -50 years			12	(22.22%)	42	(77.78%)	
51 -60 years			7	(21.87%)	25	(78.13%)	0.42
> 60 years			2	(9.53%)	19	(90.47%)	
GENDER							
Male			32	(15.68%)	172	(84.32%)	0.79
Female			31	(16.66%)	155	(83.34%)	
OCCUPATION				•		•	
Health care provide			24	(14.28%)	144	(85.72%)	
Other than health c	are	providers	39	(17.56%)	183	(82.44%)	0.38

Table 5 consistently reveals significant associations with occupational status of participants and their views regarding COVID-19 vaccine uptake (p < 0.001) while other

demographic variables consistently show insignificant results for the same outcome variables.

Table 5. Association between socio-demographic profile and views about covid-19 vaccine uptake

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE	Do you think that COVID-19 vaccine can make you p - value sick with corona virus? n=390							
AGE	Yes		No	0.10				
20 -30 years	80	(35.08%)	148 (64.92%)					
31 – 40 years	28	(50.91%)	27 (49.09%)					
41 -50 years	30	(55.55%)	24 (44.45%)					
51 -60 years	18	(56.25%)	14 (43.75%)					
> 60 years	10	(47.2%)	11 (52.38%)					
GENDER								
Male	82	(40.19%)	122 (59.81%)	0.32				
Female	84	(45.16%)	102 (54.84%)					

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE	Do you think that COVID-19 vaccine can make you p - valusick with corona virus? n=390						
OCCUPATION							
Health care providers	46 (27.38%)		122 (72.62%)	< 0.001*			
Other than health care	120 (54.06%)		102 (45.94%)				
providers							
	If you have alre	ady had COV	ID-19 and recovered,				
AGE	do you think	you still need	to get vaccinated?				
	Yes	No	Not Had COVID-19				
20 – 30 years	69 (30.26%)	17 (7.46%)	142 (62.28%)	0.27			
31 – 40 years	16 (29.09%)	2 (3.64%)	37 (67.27%)				
41 – 50 years	11 (20.37%)	6 (11.11%)	37 (68.52%)				
51 – 60 years	6 (18.75%)	6(18.75%)	20 (62.50%)				
> 60 years	5 (23.81%)	3(14.28%)	13 (61.91%)				
GENDER							
Male	55 (26.96%)	18(8.83%)	131 (64.21%)	0.97			
Female	52 (27.95%)	16(8.61%)	118(63.44%)				
OCCUPATION	,	,	,				
Health care provider	67(39.88%)	12 (7.15%)	89 (52.87%)	< 0.001*			
Other than health care providers	40(18.01%)	22(9.91%)	160(72.07%)				

5. DISCUSSION

Vaccines are the most optimal public health strategy to prevent infectious diseases [12]. The study aimed to link vaccine hesitancy with related conspiracy thinking of consumers. Despite the fact that vaccination is considered as successful contributor to improvement of health and prevention of diseases, vaccine hesitancy contributes towards reduced uptake [13].

Nonetheless, the current study showed that 61.28% of total population wants to get COVID-19 vaccination. Increased vaccine acceptance in relation to vaccine effectiveness was found in other studies [14]. Among them 70% (p<0.001) of young population wanted to get vaccination; Salam et al in their study conducted in eleven counties also endorsed the same findings reporting age of study subjects as being significant factor contributing towards desire to get vaccinated [15]. In this study female (63.97%) participants were found willing to get vaccination than male while males were the most common recipients of COVID-19 vaccine in another study [15].

This study shows that majority of the health care providers i.e. 80.95% wanted to get COVID 19 vaccination that revealed statistically significant difference in distribution of health care providers and participants related to other occupation (p<0.001) as they are at higher risk of get infected with COVID 19. Study of indonesia (Harapan) also support this study [16]. Another

involvina eight studies systematic review regarding acceptancce of COVID 19 vaccine conducted on healthcare workers and three surveys reported vaccine acceptance rates below 60%, with the highest rate being among doctors in Israel (78.1%) and the lowest vaccine acceptance rate (27.7%) reported among healthcare workers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). Among the three studies conducted on parents/guardians, the vaccine acceptance rates were more than 70%. Similarly. two studies conducted in Malta on the university students revealed the vaccine acceptance rate as 57.3%; in this study the university staff was excluded. The study conducted by Salam et al however showed the vaccine acceptance rate as 86.1% in Italy [15]. The wide spread continuum of any vaccine uptake largely depends upon the level of acceatability of latter by the community [17].

Widespread online misinformation has been observed during current pandemic period and could seriously intimidate vaccine acceptance in countries where accurate evidence-based information is not readily accessible [18]. To combat this situation, it is necessary to have a complete knowledge about the demographics of those who pose refusal towards a newly introduced vaccine [19].

However, the prevalence of belief towards the COVID 19 vaccine providing full protection was 31.28%; overall concern towards vaccine causing side effects was 42.56%. Among study

subjects 58.18% participant of age group 31 years to 40 years, 56.38 % males, 58.61% females and 72.03% of health care providers ere of the opinion that COVID-19 vaccine does not cause severe side effects. While 36,27% males 38.17% female and 47.42% health providers stated that COVID-19 vaccine does not cause infertility. The views of health care providers however were statistically significant (p<0.001). Responding to the guery regarding microchip attached with the COVID-19 vaccine for tracking the population, 84.32% of males and 85.72% of the health care providers rejected this perception. Contrasting to this another study conducted in Jordon and Kuwait by sallam et al showed that around one-quarter of the study subjects dealt it as misinformation that COVID 19 vaccines causes infertility and it is used to implant microchips into humans or as a population-control scheme [20].

Concerning the claim that the vaccine can cause death, 81.57% of subjects of 20-30 years of age, 66.66% males,73, 65% female and 85.72% health care providers rejected this claim with the p-values as p <0.001, p=0.13 and p< 0.001 respectively. This is a very important piece of information arising from current study because it has been observed that understanding who will take up a vaccine, who plans not to or are uncertain, and why, is critical to designing a successful vaccination programme [21].

The current study showed 42.60% prevalence of the perception that COVID-19 vaccine can cause or enhance the disease in which 35.08% participants were younger than thirty years (p= 0.10), 40.19% were males against 45.16% females (p=0.32), 27.38% health care providers (p<0.001).

Another study with similar objectives showed 82.7% respondent thought about possibility of being re-infected after recovering from a previous COVID-19 infection and 1.1% respondent said they already had COVID-19, so they think they are immune to the disease [14]. Vaccine-associated enhanced disease has been rarely encountered with existing vaccines [22].

Taken as a whole, the main reasons given for vaccine hesitancy among community are fears about side effects (infertility) and future adverse effects (i.e. death, microchip installation for tracking population) of a COVID-19 vaccine. Study on international survey among low and middle countries The main reasons of vaccine

refusal were fear of side effects (41.2%) and participants from Asian countries (Malaysia, Thailand, and Bangladesh) recorded a high percentage for fear of COVID-19 vaccine side effects [14]. Study of Lucia VC et all also showed the similar contributing factors to vaccine hesitancy that were concerns about serious vaccine side effects and lack of the information [23]. The results relating to uncertainty and misconceptions about COVID-19 point to areas that could be usefully targeted by public information campaigns [24].

6. STRENGTH

This is the first large representative study conducted in Pakistan about vaccine uptake or hesitancy and the reasons about why a COVID-19 vaccine has been accepted or refused. The results inferred from this study may be utilized in formulation of behavior change strategies in community so as to enhance the COVID-19 uptake.

7. CONCLUSION

The study conducted on three hundred & ninety respondents consistently reveals the significant association between the positive views of the health care providers and the other study subjects with the p-values lesser than 0.001. The study concludes that other socio-demographic fabric of the community showed varied effect on COVID 19 vaccine uptake intentions and hesitancy.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. The broad based qualitative research is strongly recommended in order to better seek the community insights & perceptions regarding this public health issue.
- 2. The large number of Community people although want to get vaccinated against covid-19, still there is a widespread prevalent doubts about this vaccine as being not so safe for them. Such type of doubts among community necessitate that some counselling sessions be conducted in order to remove uncertainties among common people. Motivations of people need to be raised so as to increase the coverage of covid-19 vaccination.

CONSENT

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethics approval was obtained from Liaquat university Of Medical and Health Sciences RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE. (NO. LUMHS/REC/-63 DATED: 29-3-2021)

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, RAFAINA SHAH., upon reasonable request.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

5.

- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020;395:497–506. [CrossRef]
- World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's Opening Remarks at the Media Briefing on COVID-19—11 March.
 Available:https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-sopening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020 (Accessed on 13 April 2020).
- Wang J, Jing R, Lai X, Zhang H, Lyu Y, Knoll MD, Fang H. Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccination during the COVID-19 Pandemic in China. Vaccines (Basel). 2020 Aug 27;8(3):482. DOI: 10.3390/vaccines8030482 PMID: 32867224; PMCID: PMC7565574.
- Lurie N, Saville M, Hatchett R, Halton J. Developing Covid-19 vaccines at pandemic speed. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 May 21;382(21):1969-73.

Yang Y, Peng F, Wang R, Guan K, Jiang

T, Xu G, Sun J, Chang C. The deadly coronaviruses: The 2003 SARS pandemic and the 2020 novel coronavirus epidemic in China. J. Autoimmun. 2020;109:102434. [CrossRef]
Yang Y, Peng F, Wang R, Guan K, Jiang T, Xu G, Sun J, Chang C. The deadly coronaviruses: The 2003 SARS pandemic and the 2020 novel coronavirus epidemic

- in China. Journal of Autoimmunity. 2020 May 1:109:102434.
- 6. Wirsiy FS, Nkfusai CN, Ako-Arrey DE, Dongmo EK, Manjong FT, Cumber SN. Acceptability of COVID-19 Vaccine in Africa. International Journal of Maternal and Child Health and AIDS. 2021;10(1):134.
- 7. Larson HJ, Clarke RM, Jarrett C, et al. Measuring trust in vaccination: A systematic review. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2018;14 (7):1599–1609.
 - DOI: 10.1080/21645515.2018.1459252
- 8. Setbon M, Raude J. Factors in vaccination intention against the pandemic influenza A/H1N1. Eur J Public Health. 2010;20 (5):490–494. DOI: 10.1093/eurpub/ckq054
 - Xiao X, Wong RM. Vaccine hesitancy and perceived behavioral control: A meta-analysis. Vaccine. 2020;38(33):5131–5138.
 - DOI: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.04.076
- 9. Gidengil CA, Parker AM, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Trends in risk perceptions and vaccination intentions: A longitudinal study of the first year of the H1N1 pandemic. Am J Public Health. 2012;102(4):672–679. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2011.300407
- Al-Mohaithef M, Padhi BK. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in Saudi Arabia: A web-based National Survey. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2020 Nov 20;13:1657-1663.

DOI: 10.2147/JMDH.S276771 PMID: 33262600; PMCID: PMC7686470

- 11. Razai MS, Osama T, McKechnie DG, Majeed A. Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy among ethnic minority groups.
- 12. Piltch-Loeb R, DiClemente R. The vaccine uptake continuum: Applying social science theory to shift vaccine hesitancy. Vaccines. 2020 Mar;8(1):76.
- Riad A, Pokorná A, Attia S, Klugarová J, Koščík M, Klugar M. Prevalence of COVID-19 vaccine side effects among healthcare workers in the Czech Republic. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021 Jan;10(7):1428.
- Bono SA, Faria de Moura Villela E, Siau CS, Chen WS, Pengpid S, Hasan MT, Sessou P, Ditekemena JD, Amodan BO, Hosseinipour MC, Dolo H. Factors affecting COVID-19 vaccine acceptance:

- An International survey among Low-and Middle-Income Countries. Vaccines. 2021 May;9(5):515.
- 15. Sallam M. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy worldwide: A concise systematic review of vaccine acceptance rates. Vaccines. 2021 Feb;9(2):160.
- Harapan H, Wagner AL, Yufika A, Winardi W, Anwar S, Gan AK, Setiawan AM, Rajamoorthy Y, Sofyan H, Mudatsir M. Acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine in Southeast Asia: A cross-sectional study in Indonesia. Frontiers in Public Health. 2020;8.
- 17. Gostin LO, Ratzan SC, Bloom BR. Safe. Vaccinations for a Healthy Nation: Increasing US Vaccine Coverage through Law, Science, and Communication. Jama. 2019;321:1969–1970).
- Ditekemena JD, Nkamba DM, Mavoko AM, Hypolite M, Siewe Fodjo JN, Luhata C, Obimpeh M, Van Hees S, Nachega JB, Colebunders R. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the Democratic Republic of Congo: A cross-sectional survey. Vaccines. 2021 Feb;9(2):153.
- Bond L, Nolan T. Making sense of perceptions of risk of diseases and vaccinations: A qualitative study combining models of health beliefs, decision-making and risk perception. BMC Public Health. 2011;11:943.

- 20. Sallam M, Dababseh D, Eid H, Al-Mahzoum K, Al-Haidar A, Taim D, Yaseen A, Ababneh NA, Bakri FG, Mahafzah A. High rates of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and its association with conspiracy beliefs: A study in Jordan and Kuwait among other Arab countries. Vaccines. 2021 Jan;9(1):42.
- 21. WHO. Vaccines and immunization: World Health Organisation; 2020. Available:https://www.who.int/healthtopics/vaccines-and-immunization
- Haynes BF, Corey L, Fernandes P, Gilbert PB, Hotez PJ, Rao S, Santos MR, Schuitemaker H, Watson M, Arvin A. Prospects for a safe COVID-19 vaccine. Science Translational Medicine. 2020 Nov 4;12(568).
- Lucia VC, Kelekar A, Afonso NM. COVID-23. 19 vaccine hesitancy among medical students. Journal of Public Health (Oxford, England), 2020 Dec 26. Kelekar Α. Afonso N. Lucia Mascarenhas AK. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among medical and dental students. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2021:S104-5.
- 24. Faasse K, Newby J. Public perceptions of COVID-19 in Australia: Perceived risk, knowledge, health-protective behaviors, and vaccine intentions. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020;11.

© 2021 Memon et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77382